Every person who maliciously cuts, defaces, breaks or injures any book, map, chart, picture, engraving, statue, coin, model, apparatus, or other work of literature, art, mechanics or object of curiosity, deposited in any public library, gallery, museum or collection is guilty of a misdemeanor.

Penal Code of California 1915, Section 623
Eric Larson, whose home on Walnut Avenue in Fresno was photographed in a series of albumen prints, was not important enough to be included in the biographical sections of Fresno County histories written in 1916 and 1934, but his modest prosperity is evident. Walnut Avenue was one of seven two-mile-long avenues running north and south in the Central Colony, the first of the farm colonies around Fresno.

Inspired by schemes in Pasadena and in Riverside and Orange counties in which a development company purchased a large tract of land and sold small farm plots to the individual families, the Fresno colonies made irrigated land available to small farmers. Twenty-acre plots in the Central Colony were sold for $50 an acre, with $100 down and payments of $12.50 a month for five years, at the end of which a final $150 was due. Each plot included two acres of raisin grapes. The compiler of the 1916 county history, who noted that a farm smaller than 320 acres was considered very small indeed when wheat was the major crop in the San Joaquin Valley, commented that with irrigation an eighty-acre spread was "too much" and that "intelligent twenty-acre men are laying up what eastern farmers would consider a fortune and are enjoying during the accumulation process more of the comforts and pleasures of life."

Yet the first years of the farm colonies were characterized by "costly and aggravating experiments" with crops that were not suited to the land and climate. The many Scandinavian "home-building settlers" among the early colonists persevered, however, supplementing their farm income with other work when necessary to make ends meet. By 1916 an unexpected by-product of irrigation had become apparent, as the water table rose and alkalinization made "large areas of the first colonized lands about Fresno that were once things of joy and beauty and show places to take the visitor to" into "nightmares around which wide detours are made." Many of the children of the Scandinavian farmers whose biographies were included in the county history became stenographers, retail clerks, and mechanics in the growing Fresno town economy rather than carrying on their parents' farms.

An inmate receives civilian clothes as he prepares to leave San Quentin.
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CALIFORNIA'S ORIGINAL WATERSCAPE

HARMONY &

MANIPULATION

by Norris Hundley
nce it was a far different place. Aboriginal California, with about three hundred thousand residents by current reckoning, was the most densely populated area in North America at the time of European contact, but the native peoples left scarcely an imprint on the watershed or landscape. Sharp indeed is the contrast with modern California, largely an artificial creation supporting more than twenty-seven million residents (making it the most populous state in the nation) and relying for its existence on massive hydraulic works that move enormous quantities of water from areas of relative abundance, both within and outside the state, to areas of scarcity. The difference between now and then is not simply one of population size and technology—though numbers and machines speak volumes in their own right—but also a reflection of attitudes and values. For the Indians of California, nature warranted deep respect, yet it was a respect that permitted manipulation of the environment, including the most precious of nature’s resources—water.

Living properly in aboriginal California meant, first of all, understanding and existing in harmony with the environment rather than subjugating or dominating it. Nature was neither the enemy nor simply the means to an end or a commodity to be exploited for wealth or power.

Rather, nature had an intrinsic value of its own. To most tribes, plants and animals shared with mankind creation and spirit in a world where all living things were interconnected and each form of life had a special function. As anthropologists Robert F. Heizer and Albert B. Elsasser have observed, “the animal’s role was to supply food for men; the plant’s role was to nourish both men and animals; and the human role was to gather plants and hunt animals as necessary for food.”

The key words were “as necessary,” for indiscriminate slaughter or wasteful gathering were abhorrent for practical and spiritual reasons. Some scholars have claimed contrary findings for other areas or times, but this norm prevailed in California at the time of contact. So, too, did the belief of many Indian groups that plants, animals, and even stones, springs, and trees possessed thought and feeling. Some tribes believed that humans could transform themselves or be changed by another individual into an inanimate form or into coyotes, fish, ducks, foxes, owls, lizards, and other creatures. Death for other tribal groups meant new life as a form of wildlife. Such ideas helped instill and reinforce a strong respect for nature, and this seemed to have supernatural corroboration in the regularity of the seasons, the annual salmon runs and geese migrations, and other endless wonders.

But none of this is to say—as some mistakenly believe—that native Californians lived in complete harmony with nature or refrained from any significant manipulation of the environment. Like modern residents of the state, they sought to “improve” their natural world, but unlike today’s developers they were sensitive to the balances that held together delicate ecosystems. They recognized that adverse interference with those relationships threatened their existence and the natural world on which they depended and of which they were an integral part.

The tenacity with which California natives held to their values and life styles set them apart from most other Indian peoples of the Southwest and Mesoamerica. Their typical patterns on the land did not resemble the check dams, miles of irrigation ditches, and great apartments built by the Hohokam along the Gila and Salt rivers after 300 B.C. or by the Anasazi at such places as Mesa Verde and Chaco Canyon some five hundred years before the Spaniards arrived. Nor did they live in anything like the less extensive but no less impressive or elaborate agricultural villages established several generations later and still inhabited by the Pueblo peoples of New Mexico. And they stand in stark counterpoint to the Aztecs with their chiampas (floating gardens) that helped make possible in the Central Valley of Mexico an urban civilization rivaling any in Europe. They had no need for such elements of material culture and hence placed no value on developing them.

ost California Indians went to the water sources and settled near them rather than diverting water or storing it. Villages and population density reflected the availability of food and water, which in turn influenced life
styles and social patterns. To understand these relationships, it is important to recognize that the waterscape of aboriginal California differed markedly from that of today. In an age before massive dams and aqueducts, California’s rivers flowed uninterrupted into valleys, marshes, bays, and the ocean. The great Central Valley stretches some 450 miles, is 40 to 70 miles wide, and lies between the Sierra Nevada on the east and the coastal ranges on the west. Two hundred years ago it included numerous rivers, lakes, and marshlands that alternately expanded and contracted in rhythm with the seasons and supported an abundance of fish, game, and waterfowl as well as beaver and otter. Cattails, tules, willows, and sometimes alder dominated freshwater marshes inhabited by ducks, swans, marsh wrens, rails, and geese that darkened the sky with their enormous numbers when they took flight. Larger than any other comparable valley west of the Rockies, the Central Valley contained in the south the now nonexistent Tulare Lake, nearly four times the area of Lake Tahoe and home to an abundance of fish and vast quantities of turtles that ended up in rich soups and stews. Not far below were Buena Vista and Kern lakes which now are also gone but in earlier times would often combine into a single large body of water following an especially heavy snowmelt in the Sierra Nevada. On the valley’s west side and above the marshes, the lands were arid, receiving only slight and intermittent runoff from the Coast Range. To the east and over the Sierra, the floor of the Owens Valley contained swampy areas, Owens Lake held water, and, further north, Mono Lake was full and the islands for which it later gained fame were completely surrounded by water and safe habitats for millions of migratory birds, including the California gull for which the area is the primary breeding ground.

In the San Joaquin, Sacramento, and coastal valleys, deciduous forests, virtually nonexistent today, grew profusely beside rivers and streams and in bottomlands. The dense and sometimes impenetrable riparian forests of willow, sycamore, oak, elder, poplar, alder, and wild grape provided both shelter and food for a rich wildlife. Along the coast as well as in the inland valleys and foothills oak savannas were more extensive and chaparral stands less evident than today, while seas of grass—tall, lush, perennial bunchgrass now all but gone—dominated valley floors and prairies. Deer, antelope, California’s own unique tule elk, rabbits, and other game animals browsed the hills and valleys in the tens of thousands. “The inland,” noted a member of Francis Drake’s expedition in 1579, “we found to be . . . a goodly country, and fruitfull soyle, stored with many blessings fit for the use of man: infinite was the company of very large and fat Deere, which there we sawe by thousands, as we supposed, in a heard.” San Francisco Bay was then an even greater body of water ringed by large salt marshes, while the delta teemed with fish, game, and a birdlife that numbered in the millions. The vast southern California coastal plain overlay a water table so near the surface that artesian springs—and even fountains—were commonplace in many areas. Similar artesian belts existed throughout California and reflected the vast storehouse of groundwater that helped nurture the abundant flora and fauna on the surface. There was no Salton Sea in southeastern California, only a shallow sink sometimes containing water following a flash rain and more rarely when the Colorado River detoured temporarily from the Gulf of California to the sink.

California of the past and present is similar in one especially significant way: the amount of precipitation that falls on the area. The annual rain and snow fall produces approximately 200 million acre-feet of water (an acre-foot is about 326,000 gallons or the amount of water that will cover an acre to one foot in depth). Most of this precipitation—about sixty-
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five percent—evaporates directly into the atmosphere with nearly all the remaining 71 million acre-feet making its way into streams and, in aboriginal times, ultimately the ocean, save for the small amounts entering underground basins or aquifers. The source of all this water is the Pacific Ocean from which vast clouds of moisture develop in the Gulf of Alaska and are driven ashore by the prevailing easterly wind currents. When the heavily laden clouds strike first the Coast Range and later the Sierra Nevada, they are driven higher into colder elevations where their capacity to retain moisture decreases and the result is rain and snow fall. Such a precipitation pattern helps to explain why California is a land of many climates. As the clouds condense following their collision with the mountains, the higher elevations receive more precipitation than the valleys, and the lands east of the Sierra receive the least moisture of all. Moreover, because the storms ordinarily originate in the North Pacific, the northern part of the state is more heavily watered than the south. In terms of averages, precipitation varies from 50 inches annually along California’s north coast to approximately 10 inches on the southern coastal plain to 2 inches or less in the inland desert valleys. And nearly all this precipitation arrives not in the summer growing season but between November and May.9

But it is a mistake to think in terms of averages and regular cycles of precipitation for California. The evidence, both recent and in tree rings that date from prehistoric times, reveals great variation from year to year. For example, the average annual precipitation in the Los Angeles area as determined over a century is nearly 15 inches, but that average rests on such annual rainfall extremes as 11 inches one year followed in consecutive years by 28 inches, 7 inches, 15 inches, 8 inches, and 17 inches. Though the average varies throughout California, this pattern of extremes has remained the norm. The long-term record reveals alternating cycles of drought and heavy precipitation, but the cycles are of no predictable length and they vary from place to place with the result that simultaneously some areas can be experiencing drought while others are literally under water.10 Thus, irregular cycles and extremes characterize the typical precipitation pattern throughout California.

Despite such variation in a resource of fundamental importance, Indians fashioned life styles that harmonized unusually well with their world. They had their lean—even starving—times, but they were successful enough to make California the most densely populated area north of Mexico. In doing so, they created a variety of culture areas that echoed the potential of the different environments available to them. Anthropologists have identified six such areas—coastal, riverine, lakeshore, valley and plains, foothills, and desert—none entirely distinct from the others but each reflecting regional variations in climate, terrain, water, animals, and plants. These differences masked even greater linguistic diversity that reflected the Indian practice of living in small isolated groups, thereby increasing further the carrying capacity of the land. The native peoples belonged to six
distinct language families, spoke more than a hundred dialects and lived in perhaps 250 villages ranging in size from a few families to a population of a thousand or more. Despite such diversity, they developed social organizations and rituals to satisfy similar needs—adequate food, restoration of good health, driving away of evil spirits, and the like. Among tribes throughout California, but especially in the dry areas, the rainmaker's ceremony occupied a place of importance. The degree of that importance varied over the years, but with climatic extremes as the norm, this worthy's services eventually came into heavy demand everywhere.

Water played a significant role in village location. Unlike peoples who frequently established rivers as boundaries, California natives looked upon the watersheds of streams as natural territories. Such an outlook reflected economic as well as political considerations, for it ordinarily gave a tribe control of both banks of a river or stream or creek, and it provided easy access to game and fowl which sought out such water courses. It also meant greater variety of available resources since watersheds typically embrace several life zones or areas where the number and kinds of plants and animals vary seasonally and according to altitude, precipitation, and climate. Control of a stream meant, as well, access to fish, especially the highly prized king salmon that could be found in abundance in 650 miles of uninterrupted California waterways and that served as a major part of the diet for many Indians. The fresh water of a stream or lake was also crucial in the preparation of acorns, the principal vegetable food of most California natives. Unless the ground acorn meal could be leached with boiling water, its tannic acid content made it inedible. Even the less common method of removing the tannin required water to produce the mud in which the unshelled acorns were buried for as long as a year to make them palatable. Thus even those Indians who lived along the coast and relied heavily on shell-fish and ocean catches located their villages near sources of fresh water in order to sustain their acorn diet and to meet their personal needs.

For the peoples inhabiting California's deserts, water naturally played an even more critical role in survival. They settled near springs or an infrequent stream, often moving because of the seasonal nature of the water supply. In their hunting-gathering activities, they relied heavily upon game and mesquite berries but especially on pinon nuts, rather than the acorn, since the otherwise ubiquitous oak was not present in the deserts. Population density was low—the lowest in California—because the extreme heat, low rainfall, and typical desert-plant cover produced fewer natural foods than elsewhere.

Among those who survived only by hunting and collecting, village life was virtually unknown, with small bands or even a single family being the primary unit. Water or food shortage frequently caused even these groups to splinter. Such fragmentation and isolation could profoundly affect cultural life, leading to the development of new and distinctively different ceremonials.

That California's many environments, including especially the location and quantity of water, influenced the settlement patterns, material culture, and life styles of the native peoples should not be construed as geographic or environmental determinism. The Indians were not unthinking or passive dwellers on the land. They were environmentally intelligent enough to survive, and usually comfortably. They were also conscious manipulators of their various environments. Virtually everywhere California natives actively practiced what could be called natural-resource management, and it was done with a recognition that, above all, they were part of nature and had to manage wisely their own lives. This entailed devising social and cultural restraints calculated to ensure survival. Birth control during times of shortage was an example. So, too, were rituals that prevented overhunting or overfishing that might threaten subsistence in the future. The Yurok and Karok tribes proscribed anything that en-
dangered the capacity of the Klamath River to attract the annual salmon runs. The Sierra Miwok and others harvested acorns carefully so as to avoid damaging the oak trees that provided their main staple. Observed an old Wintu woman in recollection: "When we...kill meat, we eat it all up. When we dig roots, we make little holes....We don't chop down the trees. We only use dead wood."14

But resource management also entailed manipulating the physical environment. An especially dramatic example of environmental alteration was the use of fire by Indians. They practiced controlled burning of grasslands, chaparral, and coniferous trees to encourage fresh growth attractive to grazing game, to flush animals, and to provide greater access to wildlife in densely forested areas. The Miwok, Wintu, Yurok, Hupa, and perhaps other groups grew tobacco by scattering seeds on well-watered, burned-over slopes or in the ashes of old logs to which they had set fire. Utilizing another approach, some desert peoples appear to have regularly trimmed mesquite in order to increase pod yield.15

But the most significant form of Indian manipulation of the environment was agriculture.

For years conventional wisdom among scholars held that the California natives did not practice agriculture—the tilling, planting, and cultivating of the soil. Some contended that native hunting and gathering practices, especially the acorn economy, were so successful that agriculture was unnecessary, while others emphasized the climatic obstacle posed by California's hot summers for the "usual Indian triad of corn, beans, and squash" and still others called attention to the lack of annual grasses that could be domesticated.16 These arguments seem attractive for much of California, particularly when considered along with the Indians' use of fire and other resource-management techniques, but they fail to explain the growing body of evidence that agriculture—and irrigation agriculture, in particular—was being practiced long before the arrival of Europeans. At first such evidence was grudgingly labeled "incipient agriculture," "semi-cultivation," or even "irrigation without agriculture," the latter an expression coined by Julian Steward, among the most respected anthropologists of the last generation.17 Recent research, however, seems convincingly to demonstrate that some California Indians practiced agriculture in the strict sense and on a wide scale in aboriginal times. The latest findings are strongest for two areas, the Owens Valley in eastern California and along the lower Colorado River in what is now the southeastern portion of the state.

The Owens Valley is a long, narrow trough lying between the Sierra Nevada on the west and the White-Inyo Range on the east and extending some seventy-five miles, with a width of six to ten miles. Precipitation averages less than six inches, but the area is well watered during much of the year by runoff from Sierra streams. There the Paiute, probably sometime around 1000 A.D., constructed an elaborate irrigation system with the largest development in the northern portion of the valley in the vicinity of the present community of Bishop. They built a dam on Bishop Creek and
diverted water several miles through large earthenwork canals to two immense plots, one measuring about two miles square and the other approximately four by one to one-and-a-half miles. The plots were irrigated in alternate years in a practice similar to fallowing that promoted increased yield and lessened the chances for undesirable vegetation. The primary crops grown were native plants—yellow nut-grass for protein and rich tubers and wild-hyacinth for its nutritious bulb-like corms. Harvesting was accomplished by turning the soil with a special wooden tool or digging stick that exposed the desired plant parts while at the same time facilitating the replanting of the soil with smaller corms and tubers.18

The crops were local and not the melons, maize, pumpkins, and squash associated with Spanish cultivation, and this in itself is evidence that agriculture developed independently in the Owens Valley and did not diffuse there in historic times from the missions or other colonial settlements. Nor for the same reason does it seem likely that the Paiutes borrowed the practice from the agricultural tribes and pueblos in what is presently New Mexico and Arizona where the principal crops were similar to those at the Spanish settlements. To have borrowed the means (irrigation) and not the raison d'etre (the crops produced) constitutes an action at variance with anthropological knowledge. Also supporting the case for independent development of agriculture among the Paiutes is the fact that their words associated with the growing of crops—for example, tuaiajii' (head irrigator), tūvaqdut (to irrigate), and pavado (irrigator's pole)—are Paiute and not derived from other Indian languages or Spanish.19

An intriguing question is why they did so. A popular theory for explaining the origins of agriculture worldwide holds that population growth is the trigger. When the natural increase in the population of an area creates a food demand incapable of being met through hunting and gathering alone, the resulting pressure prompts the development of agricultural techniques.20 Archaeological evidence in the Owens Valley seems to support this theory, though the population pressure appears to have come from immigration and not natural increase. About 1000 A.D. the climate in the area became drier and prompted migration from less accommodating lands of southeastern California into the Owens Valley where even decreased runoff from the Sierra supported relatively abundant game and vegetation. As those resources became increasingly taxed, the Indians' settlement patterns, which had heretofore reflected only hunting-gathering characteristics, began to reveal features typical of agricultural societies. The most striking feature was a decline in nomadism and the appearance of permanent villages. Eventually the Owens Valley became home to some thirty such villages inhabited by at least two thousand people, making the region one of the most densely populated areas in the Great Basin.21

The adoption of agriculture would have required no great cultural leap for the Paiutes. Always in close touch with their environment, the food-conscious natives of the Owens Valley could not have helped but notice that the naturally occurring nutritious plants grew in more abundance in the marshlands and areas along creeks subject to occasional overflow. It would have been but a short step to watering promising lands artificially. The natives themselves doubtless contributed to this development by their traditional fishing practice in which whole villages or groups of villages cooperated to divert streams in order to gather fish from the exposed beds. The dry-creek method of fishing later became an integral part of the Indians' agricultural complex, for they regularly gathered fish in this way when they damned streams and diverted the water into their irrigation canals. The introduction of irrigation agriculture did not result in the Owens Valley Paiutes becoming only farmers and occasional consumers of fish. Seldom has an "agricultural" society anywhere completely abandoned hunting and gathering, and the Paiutes continued
to rely on game and especially on the piñon nut for sustenance. Agriculture’s special role was to supplement the available natural foods that became increasingly inadequate, especially during the long and sometimes severe Owens Valley winters.  

The other California area of major agricultural development was along the lower Colorado River. There the Quechan (Yuma), Mohave, Halchidhoma, and Kamia cultivated the bottomlands between Black Canyon, near the southern tip of what is now Nevada, and the present Mexican border, while the Kamia also worked the rich soils of what is today the southern Imperial Valley. Like the Owens Valley Paiutes, these peoples practiced agriculture long before the arrival of the Spanish, some perhaps as early as 800 A.D. in the area where the Gila River empties into the Colorado. Agriculture there, however, does not appear to have been an independent invention, but rather diffused from tribes further east where cultivation of the soil went back many generations. Crops included not only some local native vegetation and a type of maize characteristic of that found in ancient Hohokam sites, but also watermelons, muskmelons, and black-eyed beans which had been introduced to the New World by the Spaniards and diffused northward faster than the advance of white settlements.  

The river tribes had numerous plots, rather than a vast field or two like the Paiutes, and relied upon the periodic floods of the Colorado River instead of building dams and canals. The overflows deposited a rich layer of silt on their plots which they planted by punching a hole with a stick and dropping in seeds. Because the river did not always overflow and since weeds lessened the harvest, they, like the Paiutes, did not abandon their hunting and gathering practices. Ordinarily, however, they could rely on agriculture for a substantial portion of their food—fifty percent for the Mohave and thirty to fifty percent for the other tribes. Their success was such that at the time of European arrival they numbered perhaps 3,500, or sixty-three percent of California’s desert population. As in the Owens Valley, the pressure of such numbers seems to have been the reason for the adoption of agriculture in the area, for scholars agree that reliance on natural foods alone could not have sustained anywhere near the numbers present at contact.  

In a fascinating study of despotism and the rise of civilization, Karl Wittfogel has argued that large-scale irrigation was possible only in a tightly ordered and hierarchical society whose members surrendered control of their labor—and much of their political and personal freedom—to a centralized authority. Wittfogel’s theory does not seem to find support in the experiences of California’s aboriginal irrigationists. The Owens Valley Paiutes practiced irrigation on an extensive scale that required vast amounts of labor, the men primarily responsible for constructing the dams and canals and the women for gathering the harvest. But their efforts were communal and freely given in recognition of the need for a stable food supply and in anticipation that all participants would share in the harvest. Such communal efforts were not limited to irrigation but were characteristic of hunts for game, when an entire
WATER SAYS: “YOU CAN DIG A DITCH AND PUT ME IN IT, BUT I GO ONLY SO FAR AND I AM OUT OF SIGHT. . . . WHEN I AM OUT OF SIGHT I AM ON MY WAY HOME.”

village or group of villages joined to drive antelope or rabbits. A village or district headman supervised such efforts, but he was chosen by the people, not self-appointed and certainly not a despot. In the case of agriculture, the head irrigator was elected in the spring by a popular assembly which also approved the date for irrigation to begin.25

Along the lower Colorado River, irrigation agriculture proved successful without check dams, canals, or ditches. This is not to say that agriculture had no effect on the Indian societies there, however. The distinctive features are striking and suggest that the river people, while certainly not subject to anything resembling despotism, could exercise control over a wider area and command a larger following than the Paiutes with their large-scale hydraulic systems. In such respects, they also differed from their nearby nonagricultural kinsmen. The explanation seems traceable to both cultural and environmental influences. Among those lower Colorado peoples who shunned the movement toward agriculture and continued to rely strictly on a hunting and gathering economy, the basic political unit remained the patrilineal band consisting of 100 to 150 people. It operated autonomously, was governed by a leader who was ordinarily hereditary, and claimed a specific territory that it jealously guarded against other bands with which it frequently engaged in lengthy feuds. Their agricultural relatives, on the other hand, developed a deep sense of tribal—rather than band—loyalty among those speaking the same language and living within the general vicinity of one another. Leaders acquired power through individual success, rather than invoking heredity as in the band, and exercised their authority—the resolution of disputes, calling of ceremonies, redistributing gifts, selecting a course of action in critical situations—over a relatively broad region rather than over the more restricted territories of bands.26

Intertwined with the cultural differences were sharp contrasts in settlement patterns. The agricultural peoples ordinarily resided in individual family units spread over a considerable area. This scattering reflected the dispersed nature of the suitable farmland that seldom existed in tracts large enough to support more than a family or two. The reason was the river. The action of the water normally produced considerable erosion and unevenness of contour, resulting in suitable plots of only an acre or two, enough for a family but clearly insufficient for a band. Also contributing to the spread-out living patterns were the frequent heavy floods that destroyed farm plots or changed the course of the river and deprived lands of water. Both eventualities necessitated habitual change of homesites.

The mobility and dispersal made it impossible for agricultural peoples to maintain the residential unity characteristic of the hunting-gathering bands and made it difficult, if not impossible, to continue political and cultural patterns that rested on such unity. Unable to identify with a particular band or headman, agricultural peoples began identifying instead with those engaged in a similar horticultural economy who spoke the same language, lived in the same general area, and shared many of the same fears and aspirations. They selected headmen on the basis of individual achievement—their ability to persuade others of the wisdom of their choices, their generosity in distributing goods, and especially their talents for inspiring confidence through their dreams. This “dream power” became a preeminent cultural characteristic of the agricultural peoples and the means by which leaders legitimized their claims to authority. Among the nonagricultural bands dreams received no such emphasis, since heredity continued to serve as the sign of the legitimate leader. Warfare also gained great prominence among the agriculturalists. Whereas hunting and gathering bands engaged in protracted feuds with one another, they devoted most of their energies to subsistence. The farming peoples, on the other hand, fought more frequently, more bitterly, and on a large scale, some even attacking
A s important as water was to the subsistence patterns and political and social customs of the agricultural peoples of the lower Colorado River and Owens Valley, the natives did little to disturb the land. The Paiutes' large canals and networks of subsidiary ditches are today hardly discernible, even to the trained eye, a circumstance that helps explain the generations of uncertainty about the existence of agriculture in aboriginal California. To scar the land unnecessarily conflicted with their desire for symbiosis with nature and to avoid anything that might lead to disaster. Such considerations may explain the Paiute practice of annually destroying their dams once those structures had served their purpose. The reliance of the Colorado River agriculturalists on the natural ebbs and flows of the river for watering their fields resulted in even fewer marks on the landscape. The contrast with agribusiness of a later era could not be greater.

Still another feature in counterpoint with the future was the absence among Indians of a private property right in the use of water that could be bartered or sold. Such a concept was completely alien, for water, like land, belonged to no individual but rather was interconnected with all of nature and essential for both human and animal survival. A corollary was a shrewd understanding of water's ultimate illusiveness. An old Wintu woman put it this way to an interviewer: "Water says this, 'Wherever you put me I'll be in my home. I am awfully smart. Lead me out of my springs, lead me from my rivers, but I came from the ocean and I shall go back into the ocean. You can dig a ditch and put me in it, but I go only so far and I am out of sight. I am awfully smart. When I am out of sight I am on my way home.'"

Such wisdom notwithstanding, bands and tribes jealously defended their territories, and in doing so, they were also guarding the springs, streams, and rivers that watered those lands and attracted the game, fowl, and fish that contributed to survival. Viewed this way water belonged—if only fleetingly—to a particular community and, especially in the more arid regions, must have been the source of conflict. But just as later generations of Californians with a different ethic would permanently alter the landscape with their massive hydraulic structures, so too would their battles over water become monumental, dwarfing any possible differences among Indians as the latter-day behemoths pitted vast regions and even nations against one another.

Still, as the evidence adduced here suggests, native Californians manipulated their environments, sometimes dramatically so, though in ways calculated not to despoil the landscape and waterscape. Put another way, their commitment to living in harmony with nature did not mean gleaning only what nature presented. Their value system reflected a sensitive accommodation to both harmony and manipulation, a legacy only dimly perceived, if not lost, in the California of today.

See notes beginning on page 69.
ASSEMBLYMAN W.
BYRON RUMFORD
SYMBOL FOR AN ERA

by Lawrence Paul Crouchett

When in 1948 William Byron Rumford became the first black person to be elected to public office in Northern California, open discrimination on the basis of race was still a way of life, despite increasing signs of change. By the time he left office in 1966, few public officials, employers, or property owners dared to admit using race as a criterion for denying access to anything from a seat on a bus to a house in an exclusive neighborhood. Although racial discrimination persisted, a changed social climate required that it be cloaked in some other justification. Rumford stands as an appropriate symbol for this age of radical transformation in public institutions, both because he played a crucial role in writing legislation to outlaw the most egregious forms of discrimination and because his career reflects the interaction of decades-long political efforts by black community leaders with the demographic and economic transformations brought about by World War II.

The milestone "firsts" registered between 1940 and 1950 give some measure of the barriers minority people faced:

*Dr. Fitzroy E. Younge, an obstetrician, was the first black doctor to be given full hospital privileges at a Bay Area hospital (Berkeley General, now Herrick Hospital). Black doctors had been required to turn their patients over to white doctors for treatment when they entered private hospitals, where minority patients were customarily assigned to a segregated ward or forced to pay for the extra bed in a double room.¹

*Berkeley hired its first minority teacher, Ruth Acty, a black woman, in 1943. Alameda hired Carolese Hargrave as its first minority teacher in 1950. Oakland had employed only three black teachers before 1940. East Bay colleges and universities had no black faculty members into the 1950s, and the University of California had thirty-five black students in a student body of sixteen thousand in 1950.² California legislation authorizing school segregation by race was not removed from the books until 1947. By that time, however, the primary instrument of school segregation was the creation of segregated neighborhoods by restrictions on access to housing.

*Marguerite Johnson (Maya Angelou) became the first black streetcar conductor in San Francisco in 1944,³ and the Key Route Transit System in the East Bay hired its first black bus driver in 1951.⁴ The Yellow Cab Company in San Francisco hired its first minority drivers in 1956.⁵

Ironically, the widespread use of restrictive covenants and screening by real estate agents to exclude blacks and other minorities from many Bay Area neighborhoods created the political base from which Rumford was elected. As real estate interests banded together to "protect housing values" by fashioning and enforcing restrictive covenants in the face of black immigration to the Bay Area during World War II, black newcomers concentrated in what had been the heterogeneous areas of North and West Oakland, making these districts the center of their cultural, political, and social activities.⁶ Here they established their...
fraternal and denominational organizations, their churches, and their businesses—barbershops, newspapers, printing shops, real estate offices, mortuaries, cafes, cleaning establishments, drugstores, and nightclubs. These areas fell in the Seventeenth Assembly District, and by 1948 black political leaders realized they had the votes to make election of a black candidate feasible if white liberals could be induced to join forces with them.

There had been black candidates in the East Bay before, but they had faced white incumbents and lost in campaigns requiring coalitions of white and black voters. In 1920, Progressive John W. Fowler had lost the race in the Thirty-Ninth Assembly District. In 1938, Republican attorney Jay Maurice lost in the Seventeenth Assembly District primary. In 1940, Thomas L. Berkeley, John C. Henderson, and Jay Maurice, all attorneys, had lost in the primary, and Claude Allen failed in a write-in effort to unseat incumbent Edward J. Carey in the November general election. Carey’s decision not to seek reelection in 1948 opened the field, and black leaders began looking for a candidate early in the year.

William Byron Rumford was born on February 2, 1908, in Courtland, Arizona, a small copper-mining camp in southwestern Arizona Territory. He spent his early childhood, along with his mother Margaret Lee, older brother Chauncey, and maternal grandmother Louise Alice Galbreath Johnson, traveling in the territory. Family tradition has it that the grandmother was seeking a place where the children could attend unsegregated schools, a dream that was shattered when Arizona was admitted to statehood in 1912 and allowed to segregate its pupils by race. Despite his grandmother’s protest, young Byron attended segregated schools and in 1926 graduated from the “Colored Department” of Phoenix High School, where he excelled in his courses, drama, sports, and music. He then moved to San Francisco to work his way through the University of California School of Pharmacy, earning his pharmacy degree in 1931. Turned down for employment at several white-owned drugstores in San Francisco, Rumford took a part-time position as a pharmacist at Alameda County’s Highland Hospital in Oakland, where he was permitted to fill prescriptions only for outpatient clinics. While he was employed at Highland, Rumford moved to Berkeley, where he went to work for black pharmacist William Montgomery, who had opened his own drugstore on Sacramento Street in 1927. Montgomery died in 1943, and Rumford purchased the business. But Rumford was to be more than a druggist. In the 1930s he was one of a group of black friends who founded the Appomattox Club to exert an influence in Berkeley city politics. The club eventually joined with the United Negro Labor Committee and the Alameda County Democratic Club to support Democratic candidates in municipal, state, and federal elections. By 1942, Democrat Rumford had come to the attention of Republican Governor Earl Warren through a black law school classmate of Warren’s who helped the governor identify black candidates for public appointments. Warren named Rumford to the Berkeley Emergency Housing Commission to help mediate between landlords and minority defense workers in need of housing. In 1943 Rumford appeared before the Berkeley City Council to represent the Berkeley Interracial Committee in asking for nondiscrimination in the selection of tenants for Cordovianes Village, a war emergency housing complex. In 1944 Warren appointed Rumford to
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the Berkeley and regional rent control boards and then to the State Housing Commission. It was in these capacities that Rumford first gained popular notice and attracted the attention of social reformers and Democratic Party regulars. Many of these people began to talk of sending him to Sacramento.

Meanwhile, Rumford’s drugstore had become a popular gathering center for a coterie of black patrons and—to a lesser degree—their white friends who came there to purchase black weekly newspapers and monthly magazines and to talk. Rumford’s eloquence in discussions of social and political topics in this context added to the reputation he was establishing in Democratic Party circles.

As the election of 1948 approached without an incumbent running for the Seventeenth Assembly District seat, black Democrats and Republicans agreed to support a single black candidate regardless of party affiliation, remembers political activist Frances Albrier.10 A public meeting of district residents was held in March, 1948, at the Beebe Memorial African Methodist Episcopal Temple in Oakland to select a single black candidate to enter the Democratic primary in June. A group of Democratic Party regulars and Congress of Industrial Organizations (CIO) union leaders had asked permission to place Rumford’s name in nomination, but he went to the meeting to support another candidate. After a series of maneuvers in which that candidate withdrew, Rumford’s sister-in-law nominated him from the floor, and—to his own surprise—he was chosen. Having agreed on a black candidate, the meeting issued a call for labor organizations and other groups to make every effort to get out the vote for Rumford, who filed for the Republican primary as well under the cross-filing system then in effect.

Rumford won the Democratic nomination, and Edgar S. Hurley, a white conservative with American Federation of Labor (AFL) backing, won the Republican contest. Since neither had received a majority of the total vote, they faced off for the November general election. The campaign became one of the most dramatic in Alameda County history as it developed into both a racial confrontation and a struggle between liberal and conservative agendas. As old-timers remember it, Hurley pandered to race prejudice and union baiting. They say that his tone was openly anti-black.11 Rumford attacked Hurley’s record during a term in the Assembly in the 1920s, charging his opponent with indifference to blacks and labor and pointing out that Hurley had voted against bills to improve conditions for labor, the elderly, and children and that he had sponsored a poll-tax bill to disenfranchise non-whites.

When the votes were counted, Rumford had won by a memorable margin of 20,387. Blacks and whites of both parties had supported him with full knowledge that he would fight against racial injustices and for social reform.12 Two black men had been elected to the Assembly before Rumford, but he was the first from Northern California. Frederick M. Roberts, a Republican from an all-black district in Los Angeles, served from 1919 to 1935. Augustus F. Hawkins, a Democrat, succeeded Roberts in 1935 and was still in the Assembly when Rumford was elected. Hawkins is currently a member of the U.S. Congress. Although Hawkins had sponsored a host of civil rights measures, the legislature had rejected all of them. Although they were no longer willing to pass new restrictions on the civil and social rights of blacks and other minorities, the members of the legislature were not yet prepared to risk the controversy that would result from a serious challenge to the status quo. The first move toward legislative activism had come in 1947, when both houses passed the first modern anti-discrimination law in California, a bill sponsored by Assemblyman Glenn M. Anderson (D-Inglewood) to abolish
racial segregated public schooling in the state.\textsuperscript{13}

Upon his arrival in Sacramento the night before his installation as a member of the Assembly, Rumford was refused a room—which he had reserved without identifying his race—at the William Land Hotel across the street from the State Capitol. To prove that the incident was not a mistake, he left the hotel and placed a call from a public phone booth inquiring about a room. The clerk, assuming the caller was white, assured him there was a room available. Rumford then returned to the hotel and demanded the room he had originally reserved, threatening to bring the matter up on the Assembly floor if he were refused and presenting documents to confirm his status as a legislator. The manager backed down, and Rumford was shown to a room.\textsuperscript{14}

On January 3, 1949, Rumford entered the Assembly chamber to take the oath of office for the first time. The galleries were packed with his friends and supporters, both black and white. They represented an emerging bipartisan coalition supported by Governor Earl Warren and committed to enhancing civil rights for minorities. They saw Rumford as a standard bearer. As he recalled, “When the governor ended his speech to the joint session of the legislature, he drew me aside and asked me to come to his office. When we met, he expressed the wish that I push through some civil rights bills, and promised that if they were passed he would surely sign them into law. He specifically urged me to press for legislation to abolish racial discrimination in the state National Guard.”\textsuperscript{15}

Aided by Augustus Hawkins, who kept a drawer full of civil rights bills, Rumford brought two civil rights measures to the floor in his first month in the Assembly. Following the governor’s suggestion, he proposed a rider to a bill sponsored by Richard H. McCollister (D-Sonoma/Marin) petitioning the federal government to return National Guard units to state jurisdiction. The rider called for a ban on racial discrimination in the National Guard once it was returned to the state. McCollister saw the rider as an obstacle to passage of his bill and opposed it, but the hearing committee passed it with only a few Democratic defections. The Democrat-controlled Assembly then passed the bill and rider by a vote of 47 to 17. The Senate amended the bill and the rider so much that Rumford decided they would actually strengthen racial discrimination and opposed them. Looking back, he described the critical factor in his decision as the lack of an enforcement clause in the Senate’s version of the anti-discrimination legislation. This insistence on enforcement provisions would characterize all of Rumford’s legislation.

In the end, the Senate Committee on Military and Veterans Affairs voted both the bill and the rider down.

On January 18, Rumford returned to the fight with his own bill (AB 807) to prohibit California National Guard units from segregating or discriminating against members on the basis of race, color, or creed. At that time, all black members of the Guard were assigned to one of two segregated units, one in Los Angeles and one in San Francisco. In other communities black guardsmen trained with their white colleagues, but they were carried as detached servicemen from the two black units. Rumford’s bill also banned National Guard units from using race or religion as criteria for discriminating in enlistments, promotions, and commissions. This meant the Guard could not reduce the rank held by black members when they were reassigned or assigned to integrated units. When the bill came before the Assembly Committee on Military and Veterans Affairs, Attorney General Fred N. Howser opposed it on the grounds that it might cause the federal government to withhold funding for the state units. Howser
wanted the National Guard returned to California jurisdiction before changes like those advocated by Rumford were made. Rumford pointed out that New Jersey and Connecticut had integrated their National Guard units without losing federal money. In a pattern of white liberal support which became typical for Rumford’s successful legislative efforts, Richard J. Dolwig (D-San Mateo) helped persuade the committee to recommend “Do pass” and submit the bill to the full Assembly.

The Assembly passed the bill and sent it to the Senate, where the Committee on Military and Veterans Affairs deliberately delayed hearings by holding them at night when it was difficult to get a quorum. Rumford had to seek out members of the committee himself to urge them to attend the hearings. A brief hearing was finally held on June 27, after much caucusing among Democratic members, and enough “yes” votes were secured to pass the bill on to the full Senate, which voted for it by a small margin on June 30. Governor Warren signed it into law on July 18, 1949.16

The second bill Rumford brought into the Assembly, also in January, 1949, reflected the practice he continued throughout his career of going home to his drugstore on weekends to talk politics and problems with his constituents. Many of the bills he brought to the Assembly floor were conceived in drugstore conversations about specific problems faced by individuals as a result of racially discriminatory customs that were nearly invisible except to their victims. Rumford’s second bill tackled insurance companies which refused to issue policies covering automobiles owned by blacks and other minority people and sought to make the practice illegal. Insurance company representatives suggested that certain people were bad risks and that each group should carry its own losses, but Rumford argued that since the state required every car owner to carry a public liability policy, it was unconstitutional to refuse insurance solely because of the applicant’s race, color, or creed. Moreover, Rumford charged, insurance companies that did grant coverage to black car owners raised the premium by as much as fifteen percent. The bill (AB-32) passed both houses, and the governor signed it with the comment, “It’s about time we end these discriminatory practices.”

As Rumford established himself in Sacramento, Democratic Party regulars at home began to consider him as a candidate for his district’s congressional seat, which would be empty in 1950. Rumford quashed this proposal, however, by announcing that he preferred to remain in the Assembly where he thought he could accomplish more on issues of unemployment, education, and discrimination in employment and housing. In his second general election Rumford ran for his Assembly seat unopposed, and in his second term he successfully shepherded several civil rights bills through the legislature into law.

Employment was a regular theme in Rumford’s bills. He co-authored a law to prohibit public school districts from using photographs and letters of reference as part of the process of hiring teachers, because these instruments had been used to identify the race of applicants and exclude blacks and other minorities without considering their qualifications.17 He fought against a bill sponsored by Assemblyman Thomas A. Maloney (R-San Francisco) to establish a Commission on Political Equality with no funding or enforcement powers. Arguing that Maloney’s bill was weak and meaningless—as he had argued against McCollister’s National Guard bill—Rumford proposed an alternative which called for a permanent Fair Employment Commission to be appointed by the governor and provided with a paid executive secretary and support staff and which would be able to impose financial penalties ($500) and jail sentences (six months) on employers and labor organizations that discriminated on the basis of race.
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color, or creed. This measure was denounced by both employer and labor groups as "a step toward nationalization of jobs" which "constitut[ed] an intrusion by the government on the private right of property of employers." Rumford and his supporters suggested that the failure of labor unions to support this bill was due to fear among white officials and members that blacks would compete for well-paid jobs monopolized by white men. In the end, Rumford's bill was defeated in committee by a vote of 15 to 3, but his opposition had also helped defeat Maloney's measure by a 9-to-3 margin. The field was still open for an effective fair employment commission, and Rumford promised to return to the issue.  

In the meanwhile, there were limited campaigns to wage for specific results. With backing and lobbying assistance from Governor Warren, Rumford and Edward M. Gaffney (D-San Francisco) pushed through AB 546 to open union membership and apprenticeship programs to all qualified employees regardless of race, color, or creed. When the governor signed this bill into law on June 22, 1951, the practice of restricting apprenticeship positions to relatives and proteges of influential union members or employers, especially prevalent in plumbing and carpentry, was outlawed. Outraged by Armstrong Business College in Berkeley, which accepted Chinese and Japanese applicants but prohibited the enrollment of black students, Rumford and Hawkins proposed a bill to forbid discrimination in enrollment in both public and private vocational, business, and professional schools. The bill passed the House but died in the Senate, and Armstrong did not admit its first black student until a similar bill passed in 1955, a time lapse that allowed its president to soften his 1951 promise to "get out of the business" if Rumford's bill passed.  

Rumford's constant awareness of the need to enlist the authority of the state on behalf of the victims of discrimination showed itself in a pair of bills to end free-speech protection of hate literature. The bills— which prohibited the promulgation of propaganda designed to discredit any religious belief and forbade the dissemination of material advocating hatred of any person or group on the basis of race, color, or religion—were Rumford's response to a resurgence of white supremacist activities. This was particularly notable in the Imperial Valley, where the Ku Klux Klan and other hate groups were active.  

In his fourth term, which began in 1955, Rumford returned to the fair employment fight. Joined by Hawkins and eighteen other members of the Assembly, Rumford introduced AB 971 to establish a "little" Fair Employment Practices Commission. The bill called for a five-member commission authorized to hold hearings on employment discrimination, and to issue orders to correct the effects of discriminatory practices or to prevent their recurrence. Enforcement would be through District Courts of Appeal, and violations would be treated as misdemeanors. "Of course it fell short of my wishes," remembered Rumford years later, "but it did fit into my overall hope of resolving job discrimination. Since Assemblyman Hawkins had introduced much stronger bills in 1945 and 1947, and had failed to get them passed, I felt this was the only type of legislation I could get passed by this legislature." The bill was passed and became law. Other fourth-term accomplishments were the passage of Rumford bills to provide free polio vaccine to millions of Californians and to prohibit the use of marital status or age as reasons for rejecting applicants for public school teaching positions.  

In January, 1959, as Rumford began his sixth term, he and Hawkins found the conditions right for a civil rights campaign that meant "getting to the center of bigotry
which prevents real equality of opportunity not only for racial minorities but for other victims of prejudice as well.25 Once more, he acted on what he called "the democratic assumption that it is the duty of the state to provide and ensure equal protection of the laws to all its citizens." With the Democrats in control of both houses of the legislature and Pat Brown in the governor’s mansion, Rumford and Hawkins on January 7 invited members of the legislature to affix their signatures to AB 91 to create a permanent Fair Employment Practices Commission. As proposed, the commission would be able to bring before a hearing panel by subpoena if necessary any person or persons, employers, or organizations who were accused of discriminating on the basis of race, creed, color, or national origin against any person or persons seeking employment. It could also assess a penalty of six months in prison, a $5,000 fine, or both. It would have a paid executive secretary and support staff, and its members would be appointed by the governor. Before presenting his bill in the legislature, Rumford had already enlisted support from the California State Chamber of Commerce, the California Manufacturers’ Association, the California Labor Federation, AFL-CIO, and from Governor Pat Brown. Brown had made fair employment legislation a centerpiece of his legislative program, using his inaugural address to urge lawmakers to "enact legislation to bar discrimination by an employer or labor union on grounds of race, creed, national origin, or age."27

The contest went on for three months, with opponents reminding legislators that voters had defeated a fair employment practices initiative by a margin of two to one in 1946. Almost a hundred amendments were added to the original bill before it passed two different committee hearings in the Assembly on February 19, yet there was little debate when the Assembly voted sixty-five to fourteen for the bill and sent it to the Senate. It took until April 8 for the Senate Labor and Finance Committee to bring the bill to the floor, where more amendments were added before the vote was taken. The bill passed the Senate thirty to five. The Assembly accepted the Senate amendments but added a few more, requiring the bill to go to a conference committee which removed age as one of the outlawed bases for discrimination in a final resolution of the differences between the two versions of the bill. On April 10 the Assembly passed Rumford’s measure by a clear majority. After fourteen years of legislative efforts, California now had an enforceable fair employment law; it went into effect on September 18, 1959.

The creation of the Fair Employment Practices Commission was the most memorable event of the 1959 legislative session, but two other civil rights bills also made their way into law. The Unruh Civil Rights Act forbade business establishments dealing with the public to deny services on the basis of race. It declared, "all persons within the jurisdiction of the State are free and equal, and entitled to the full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, and privileges or services in all business establishments of any kind whatsoever." Hawkins also brought a bill into law which prohibited racial discrimination in the sale of houses financed with mortgages insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or the Veterans Administration (VA). Although the penalty on sellers who violated the law were slight, it did create a Commission on Discrimination in Housing.

It took four more years to prepare what Rumford hoped would be the crowning legislative measure to end all discrimination and segregation: a fair housing bill. A fair housing measure introduced by Hawkins in the 1961 session of the legislature failed, and experience had taught the anti-discrimination coalition that legislation on housing was the most
controversial kind. Attempts to ensure equal access to housing by forbidding property owners to discriminate on racial grounds in selling houses—in effect limiting their right to choose to whom to sell—were guaranteed to stir up widespread and strongly held racist feelings. Thus supporters of the legislature had already marshalled backing and votes for fair housing before bringing a measure to the Assembly. On February 13, 1963, Governor Pat Brown called on a joint session of the legislature to “pass legislation to eliminate discrimination in the private housing market in California.”

On the same day, Rumford announced that he had on his desk a bill supported by the governor and a broad array of civil rights organizations. The bill, AB 1240, was essentially the same as AB 801, the fair housing bill Hawkins had unsuccessfully sponsored two years before. With Democrats in the majority in both houses and with the governor behind the bill, the time seemed ripe for its passage. Many legislators rushed to add their names as sponsors, and those who opposed it assumed evasive postures.

When the bill reached the floor of the Assembly on April 25, Rumford opened the argument for it by asking that California “rid itself of this insidious practice, that of housing discrimination affecting a great number of American citizens in this state.” In the hearings that followed, debate ranged endlessly over the right of the state to restrict the right of private property by legislating against discrimination practices in private housing. The California Real Estate Association (CREA) asserted that there was “no widespread discrimination in the state.” Charges flew that the measure was unconstitutional, that it was a kind of class legislation which would create “a special privilege for a chosen group while destroying the private rights of others. After days of quibbling, long-winded speeches, and heckling by activist groups, the Assembly finally passed Rumford’s bill by a vote of 47 to 25, with Republicans casting all the negative votes.

On the Senate side, the much-amended AB 1240 was assigned to the Committee on Governmental Efficiency and Economy chaired by Luther E. Gibson (D-Solano). Gibson was rumored to be determined not to let the bill pass his committee, whose mostly rural members often voted as a unit against measures concerning housing and employment opportunities for nonwhites. The rumors proved to be correct, as Gibson employed delaying tactic after delaying tactic. After the first two-hour meeting in May, Gibson announced that the committee would vote on May 29—and immediately provoked a demonstration by members of the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE) who vowed to stay in the Capitol rotunda mezzanine until AB 1240 was acted upon. They sat there for three weeks. On June 14, Gibson announced at a hearing, “My committee will never approve a bill prohibiting discrimination in private housing” and proposed an amendment that exempted single-unit dwellings not financed by FHA, VA, or CAL-VET loans. When Rumford pointed out that Hawkins’s 1959 bill already covered publicly financed housing, Gibson adjourned the hearing without announcing a new meeting time.

Days passed without a new hearing being announced. Rumford and Assembly Speaker Jesse Unruh met to arrange a conference—and a compromise—with Gibson. Gibson then announced a compromise he claimed he had reached with Rumford, but Rumford denounced Gibson’s version of their agreement. As the dispute heated up, Gibson again went public with a statement that negotiations were at an impasse and an offer to meet with Rumford again, but Rumford retorted that he “would prefer to discuss the matter with the full committee.” Finally Gibson announced that his committee would hold no further hearings on the measure. A breakthrough came at a closed-door meeting convened by
Democratic Party leaders at which members of Gibson's committee, Rumford, and Governor Brown agreed to remove certain provisions of the bill while preserving its substance and the enforcement power of the commission.

As it turned out, on June 21, the last day of the legislative session, after literally picking the bill apart and adopting twenty-three amendments, the Committee on Governmental Efficiency and Economy reported the bill out to the full Senate. The Senate adopted the bill and its amendments and referred it to the Senate Finance Committee to determine whether the measure could and should be financed. Standing in the back of the Senate Chamber, the Finance Committee acted quickly early in the evening and returned the bill to Gibson's committee with a "Do Pass" recommendation.

Watching the clock as the last hours of the session approached, Rumford thought he saw evidence that the Gibson committee would use the long calendar of bills awaiting attention as an excuse not to act on the housing bill. Unable to approach Gibson himself because of the anger between them, Rumford sought help from Senators Joseph A. Rattigan (D-Sonoma) and Bruce V. Regan (D-Los Angeles). Both senators were members of the liberal Democratic majority and shared the governor's commitment to seeing the bill through. They persuaded Gibson to bring the bill to the Senate floor, but if the full Senate adopted any further amendments, the clock would run out before the whole bill could be returned to the Assembly and come before the Senate again for a final vote. While Rumford worried that Gibson or one of his allies might introduce some delaying amendment, Governor Brown moved into the political scuffle, lobbying key senators until resistance to the bill crumbled in the Senate—almost as if by prearrangement. Playing parliamentary rules adroitly, Senator Regan moved at 10:40 p.m. "that AB 1240 as amended be taken up as a 'special order of business' at 11:00 p.m." Regan had already garnered solid support from most Senate Democrats for this motion, which effectively cut off debate and prevented any further amendments.

Twenty minutes later, at exactly 11:00 p.m., Lieutenant Governor Glenn M. Anderson upheld from the chair a point of order by Senator Rattigan and cut off Hugh Burns, the president pro tempore of the Senate and a determined foe of the bill, in mid-speech. Rattigan called for an immediate vote on AB 1240 as amended, following Regan's earlier motion. The bill passed by a vote of 22 to 16 and was rushed to the Assembly within minutes for a vote on the Senate's amendments.

Assembly Speaker Jesse Unruh entertained a motion that AB 1240 be made a "special order of business" and it carried. Voting was set for 11:35 p.m. At the appointed hour, floor leader Jerome R. Waldie (D-Contra Costa) rose to a point of order to call for consideration of the bill with the Senate's thirty-five amendments. Voting began at 11:55. The Assembly Chamber, crowded with anxious spectators who did not know which way the decision would go, was hushed as the roll call began. Rumford said he had expected the Democratic vote, but others were less certain. Slowly the tally mounted to 63 for, 15 against. The gallery crowd burst into a loud cheer of approval, and members of the Assembly gave Rumford a standing ovation. Opponents were silent, perhaps already uniting in a massive and deadly opposition. Outside in the rotunda, sit-downers joined hands and sang "We Shall Overcome." AB 1240 was sent, correctly enrolled, to the governor's office on July 2; it was signed into law on July 18.

In its final form, the Fair Housing Act of 1963 made it illegal for anyone selling, renting, or leasing any residence to attempt to restrict its use on account of race, creed, color, or national origin. Thereby it set a standard for equal access to housing for
all discriminated-against minorities. Although the passage of this law can be regarded as the completion of Rumford’s civil rights program, it would be almost four years before the final word was in on the use of this right.

The opposition did not disappear when the clerk of the Assembly tallied the vote on June 21. State Senator John G. Schmitz (R-Tustin), the California Real Estate Association, and a coalition of apartment building owners soon led the way to a campaign against what they called “forced-housing” legislation. Their claim that the new law was a dangerous infringement on the rights of private property owners was persuasive to people all over California, and the public debate which followed was heavily laced with derogatory images of blacks and other nonwhites. Rumford became the target of personal abuse and vilification and even physical threats. In December, 1963, CREA, the official magazine of the California Real Estate Association, ran an editorial mocking the Fair Housing Act and promising to restore the right of choice to the property owners of California.

Before the ninety-day waiting period for the act to go into effect was over, its opponents were trying to circumvent or reverse it. They displayed a deep distrust of lawmakers by devising means to bring the matter to a popular vote. An initial campaign to subject the act to a popular referendum was vetoed by the California Real Estate Association, which preferred the initiative proposition route. If the public would vote for a constitutional amendment prohibiting legislation against discrimination in housing, future legislatures would be bound by it, the association reasoned.

By February, 1964, those who opposed fair housing legislation had gathered enough signatures to qualify a state initiative to invalidate the Fair Housing Act. More than six hundred thousand citizens signed the petition to place Proposition 14—which would reverse the 1963 law and bar the state or any locality from adopting fair housing legislation—on the November ballot.
Ronald Reagan in the gubernatorial race of 1966. In 1966 Rumford ignored the advice of some of his Assembly colleagues and local supporters and gave up his Assembly seat to seek the newly created Eighth (State) Senatorial District seat in Alameda County. With nine successful campaigns for the Assembly and an impressive legislative record behind him, Rumford’s decision seemed logical. Yet he was estranged from most of the local white Democratic leadership because of his insistence on seeking the State Senate seat despite their fears that he could not win it. Young black militants looked askance at his growing conservatism in matters not tied to civil rights. The campaign against him castigated Rumford for his role in enacting the Fair Housing Act. He lost the election by 801 votes to Republican Lewis F. Sherman of Berkeley.

Rumford returned to private life in Berkeley. His attempt to regain his old Assembly seat in 1968 failed when he lost by 5,754 votes in the April 4 primary to the new incumbent, black Democrat John J. Miller. Contemporary analysts asserted that Rumford was not liberal enough for the radicals in his district and that he was too liberal to forestall the growing conservatism of California under Governor Ronald Reagan. In the emotional climate created by the Vietnam War and the mass civil rights movement of the mid-1960s, Rumford no longer matched the radical demands placed on elected officials, especially minority ones.

In his last public position, Rumford served the Nixon and Ford administrations for five years as Assistant Director for Consumer Protection and State-Federal Relations of the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). He was appointed by his former Assembly colleague, Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare Caspar W. Weinberger. Rumford left the FTC in 1976 to return to pharmacy, from which he retired in 1981. His last years were spent in humble illness in his Berkeley home. Byron Rumford died of Parkinson’s disease on June 12, 1986.

In eulogizing Rumford, Los Angeles Mayor Tom Bradley wrote, “Mr. Rumford was one of the torchbearers of the equal rights movement in California. He was a universal man who sought to reach the ideal American goal of a nonracial society where all peoples could be respected and treated as individuals, and as equals.” Supreme Court Justice Allen E. Broussard added that the effect of Rumford’s work in civil rights and of his mentoring of leading citizens in all walks of life constituted a “monument more enduring than bronze, longer than that of many other historical figures.”

The principles Rumford stood for and the institutions he helped create are now placed in the service of other discriminated-against classes of people. According to statistics compiled by the State Fair Employment Practices office, discrimination complaints concerning employment are now much more likely to stem from gender bias than from race. In 1966, eighty-one percent of complaints were filed for racial reasons; in 1983, by contrast, thirty-nine percent of the complaints alleged gender discrimination and only twenty-seven percent charged race or color discrimination. In 1982, fifty-nine percent of complaints about housing discrimination involved race, down from ninety percent in 1959. The handicapped and single mothers with children are now using the principles Rumford espoused to gain access to housing and employment.

Rumford made his mark in an age when formal political institutions still sanctioned discrimination. Yet, as he was the first to acknowledge, his effectiveness was due to the sustained efforts of black-and-white civil rights activists over decades. In the continuing struggle to translate laws into social and economic reality, however, individuals do not stand out as clearly, and appropriate and feasible goals are harder to define. ■

See notes beginning on page 70.
UNIQUE STATE PARK
ANGEL ISLAND'S square mile of trees and grassy ridges make it the largest island in the central waters of San Francisco Bay. Its broad trails, sheltered coves, and sweeping marine views make it one of the area's most popular parks. Mount Livermore, which rises to 781 feet above the surface of the bay, 35 feet higher than the towers of the Golden Gate Bridge, makes it the tallest island in the bay.

The island has been a state park since 1962, but its written history antedates the Declaration of Independence. Captain Juan Manuel de Ayala brought the San Carlos, the first European ship to sail through the Golden Gate, into what is now Ayala Cove in August 1775. Ayala named the island Isla de los Angeles.\(^1\) Richard Henry Dana called it Wood Island in *Two Years Before the Mast* and recalled a cold night spent there loading firewood in the winter of 1835.\(^2\)

The ships of the Gold Rush took most of the island's trees for their stoves, and so, during the 1850s, it was largely grassland on which grazed what remained of Don Antonio Osio's cattle. Gray sandstone from Quarry Point fortified Alcatraz Island and built a bank in San Francisco and barracks at the Presidio. The island became a military preserve in 1860, and except for small enclaves assigned to the Coast Guard, Immigration Service, and Public Health Service, it was a military base for almost a century. It is part of Marin County, except for the eastern extremities of Quarry Point and Point Blunt, which, with the Farallons, are in San Francisco.\(^3\)

The geology of Angel Island, first described in detail by University of California geologist F. Leslie Ransome in 1894 is predominantly sedimentary. Layers of gray sandstone slope northwestward into the deep canyon of Raccoon Strait, a canyon that lies between the island and Tiburon on the mainland.\(^4\) At Quarry Point, easternmost extremity of the island, a massive block of gray sandstone stood a hundred feet high in 1850. By 1922, however, when the last quarrying was done for the construction of the Richmond harbor, Quarry Point had been reduced to its present level, a few feet above the water.\(^5\) Where the strata are exposed on the southeastern slopes, the sandstone layers are shallow and interspersed with radiolarian chert,
igneous intrusions of granite, fourchite, and serpentine, the last forming conspicuous gray-green outcrops along the island's southern ridges.

The rock formations are not as easily seen now as they were at the turn of the century. Native trees such as live oak, madrone, and California bay have returned since the Gold Rush woodcutting ended. More conspicuous has been the development of such exotics as eucalyptus, pine, cypress, and acacia. The eucalyptus and acacia, along with French broom, dominate several areas, crowding out native chaparral, poison oak, and sagebrush. On the sheltered northeast slope around Winslow cove there are Norfolk pines, deodars, Australian tea trees, and royal palms.

The predominant wildlife on Angel Island are the deer. In the absence of predators they have multiplied too fast for their food supply. State Park System managers have experimented with shooting them and removing them to the mainland to keep the numbers down. Raccoons are numerous, as are lizards and the island's one unique species, the Angel Island mole. There are no rabbits, squirrels or skunks. Bird life is diverse and abundant, including gulls, pelicans, jays, hawks, grebes, robins, and hummingbirds. Sea lions can often be seen on the rocks around Point Blunt.  

OF MOST OF the island's long human history there is no record except the vestiges of shell mounds and a few bones. When the first Spaniards came to Angel Island in 1775 they found a number of newly built but uninhabited Miwok structures near Ayala Cove. The earliest written mention of Angel Island goes back five years beyond that date. When Sergeant José Francisco Ortega reached what are now the Berkeley hills in October 1769 he looked out over a body of water much too large to be what Sebastian Cermeno had named the Bay of San Francisco (now Drake's Bay) in 1595. Ortega reported to his commander, Gaspar de Portolá, that he had seen two islands in this body of water. Father Juan Crespi shows three islands in his 1772 map of this expedition to the bay; one of them appears to be Angel Island.

The next, and most important, early records are in the journals of Lieutenant Juan Manuel de Ayala, captain of the San Carlos, the little snow-rigged ship that anchored on August 13, 1775, in the cove now named for him, and in the journals of the ship's chaplain, Father Vicente Santa Maria. The San Carlos, dispatched by Viceroy Antonio Maria
Camp Reynolds pier, looking northeast toward the Tiburon Peninsula ca. 1880. The tennis court in the foreground suggests that amenities other than a flexible ferry schedule were a priority.

(Below) Fred and Isabella Perle, shown here with their children at their house above Perle’s Beach in 1919, represented one of the few families to live on Angel Island for more than one generation. Fred Perle was the water system engineer for Fort McDowell until his death in 1924. He inherited the house from his father, James Perle, who moved to Angel Island in 1886 and operated the Camp Reynolds dairy farm.

Bucareli from San Blas to carry supplies to Monterey and to explore the “new” Bay of Saint Francis, entered the Golden Gate early in the morning of August 5, 1775. The ship was preceded into the bay by navigator José de Cañizares and ten men in a longboat, sent ahead to explore the entrance to the harbor and find an anchorage for the San Carlos. A strong flood tide prevented the longboat from rejoining the ship, and so Ayala sailed boldly in through the Golden Gate to what he called Carmelite Bay (now Richardson’s Bay). After five days’ unsatisfactory anchorage there he moved his ship to the sheltered cove on the northwest shore of the island which Ayala called Isla de los Angeles and which Father Vicente called Isla de Santa María de los Angeles.

While navigator Cañizares was exploring and charting the bay, Father Vicente was visiting Miwok villages on the mainland and exploring the island. There he found deer, an abandoned Miwok encampment, and a small structure containing an arrangement of arrows which he thought might be a shrine. The San Carlos remained at Angel Island for a little over three weeks. Shortly after her departure on September 7 she broke her rudder on a rock and spent ten more days in Horseshoe Bay (Fort Baker) being repaired. She finally departed for Monterey and San Blas on September 18. The following year José Joaquin Moraga established the Presidio of San Francisco, and more ships came in on the flood tide through the Golden Gate.
Reports of the splendid harbor of San Francisco Bay brought a whole series of foreign visitors to fuel the anxiety of the Spanish viceroy in Mexico City. One of the first was Captain George Vancouver, who arrived from across the Pacific in 1792 and mentioned the islands in the bay in his narrative. Another was Count Nikolai Rezanov, head of the Russian American Company, who arrived from Alaska in April, 1806, to trade furs for food. The Russian presence on the Pacific coast caused strong Spanish suspicion, but Rezanov so charmed Commandant José Argüello and his daughter Concepción that Rezanov returned to Alaska with a cargo of food and Concepción’s promise of marriage. Rezanov died in Siberia the following year and Doña Concepción became a Dominican nun. Rezanov’s physician and scientist Georg von Langsdorf made drawings of the bay noting the sheltered anchorage at Angel Island. The Russians also found an abundance of sea otters around the island and within five years hunted them to extinction.

Other ships visited Angel Island in the first half of the nineteenth century. In March, 1814, the British sloop Raccoon was careened on the beach at Ayala Cove for repairs to a damaged hull. It was called Raccoon Cove for the next fifty years, and the strait between the island and Tiburon still bears the name. Captain Frederick William Beechey arrived in 1826 aboard the Blossom. After exploring the bay twice, he produced a new chart in 1828. Inadver-
tently he reversed the names Ayala had given to Alcatraz and Yerba Buena islands. Beechey's names have survived. His journal mentioned the strong currents of Racoon Strait and reported seeing seven American whalers moored near Sausalito. In 1827 French Captain Auguste Bernard du Hautcilly (also Duhait-Cilly) sailed the Heros to the north end of the bay and mentioned hearing a story about a bear swimming toward Angel Island across Racoon Strait.

One of the best descriptions of this period was by Richard Henry Dana in Two Years Before the Mast. He described his 1835 experience as a twenty-year-old seaman gathering wood for the brig Alert this way:

Having collected nearly all the hides that were to be procured, we began our preparations for taking in a supply of wood and water, for both of which San Francisco is the best place on the coast. A small island, situated about two leagues from the anchorage [Yerba Buena Cove] called by us "Wood Island" and by the Spaniards "Isla de los Angeles" was covered with trees to the water's edge; and to this, two of our crew who were Kennebec men, and could handle an axe like a plaything, were sent every morning to cut wood, with two boys to pile it for them. In about a week, they had cut enough to last us a year, and the third mate, with myself and three others, were sent over in a large, schooner rigged open launch, which we hired of the mission, to take in wood, and bring it to the ship.

Dana also described a cold and sleepless night aboard this open boat in a chill winter rainstorm, running up and down the beach between loads of wood to keep their feet from freezing, being caught by fog and a strong ebb tide, and having to spend another night anchored at the island before getting back to the ship.

Mexican Governor Juan Bautista Alvarado recalled in his journal that Antonio María Osio expressed interest in Angel Island as early as 1830, when he was a customs officer at Monterey. It was not until October 7, 1837, however, that Osio made a formal application for a land grant to the island "to build a house on it, and breed horses and mules." Because Angel Island might have a role in harbor defense, Alvarado referred the request to Mariano Guadalupe Vallejo, commanding general of Mexican forces in California. Vallejo approved the request in principle but advised Alvarado to uphold the existing prohibition on grants of coastal land and give Osio something less than outright ownership. On February 19, 1838, Alvarado issued to Osio a permit to occupy the island to "make such use of it as he deem most suitable, to build a house, to raise stock, and do everything that may concern the advancement of commerce and agriculture, upon condition that whenever it is convenient, the Government may establish a fort thereon." On July 20 the Ministry of the Interior announced that coastal grants could be made to Mexican citizens.
effect to prevent "foreign adventures." Osio asked on February 19, 1839, that his leasehold be converted to a grant, and Alvarado made the grant on July 11.

Osio, who had held a number of public offices in both northern and southern California, was then secretary of the legislative body for Mexican California. Hubert Howe Bancroft later described him as "a man of fair ability and education, and of excellent reputation for honesty. As a politician he was somewhat too cautious and timid, disposed to seek safe ground on both sides of a controversy, and in an emergency to have an urgent call to some far-away spot." When the United States declared war on Mexico in 1846, Osio took his wife and five children to Hawaii to wait until things settled down.

Once he had received title to the island, Osio and his friend William Richardson, owner of the Sausalito Ranch, moved fifty head of cattle to Angel Island in 1840. They built several small buildings and a reservoir and planted a vegetable garden at Raccoon Cove. The cattle flourished—by 1846 Osio’s majordomo reported a herd of five hundred head—but instead of adding to Osio’s wealth, they fell victims to wartime thieves and butchers. In 1847 Richardson informed Osio that he could not control the slaughter but that he had found a tenant to watch over what was left of the herd.

Osio was facing a more serious threat. By 1849 the U.S. Army Engineers had prepared a plan for the defense of San Francisco Bay, and on November 6 President Millard Fillmore signed an order reserving the four major islands (Angel, Yerba Buena, Alcatraz, and Mare) and much of the mainland shore for military purposes. Osio did not simply abandon his island, however. On February 2, 1852, he presented his claim to the California Land Commission, which confirmed his title to Angel Island in 1854. The government appealed this decision to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and on September 10, 1855, the court also ruled in Osio’s favor.

The government then moved up its big guns. U.S. Attorney General Jeremiah Black and his successor Edwin McMasters Stanton appealed the district court’s decision to the U.S. Supreme Court, arguing that Governor Alvarado “had no authority, without the concurrence of the Departmental Assembly” to grant the island to Osio. The Supreme Court invalidated Osio’s title on March 12, 1860, but the decision did not affect Osio financially. Prudently anticipating the final outcome, Osio had sold his rights to the island for $12,000 in 1853. The buyer was Cornelius K. Garrison, mayor of San Francisco and manager of the Nicaragua Steamship Company. Garrison and his partners saw the island as a better base for storage and refitting than the crowded San Francisco Bay.
Quarry Point has been permanently altered by human use. When C.E. Miller sketched it on March 16, 1879, its sandstone outcropping still retained its original shape. By 1910, much of it had gone into construction on Angel Island and at the Presidio. In 1940 only its outline was left at East Garrison.

Francisco embarcadero. Attorneys general Black and Stanton were commended for "a series of notable victories in combatting fraudulent land claims in California." Osio lived on his Point Reyes ranch for a few years, then retired to his native San José del Cabo in Baja California.

IN 1851, with Osio's cattle nearly gone and the Army pressing its case for eminent domain, enterprising builders saw a new resource on Angel Island. At Point Smith, named for Persifer Smith, an early military governor of California, stood a knob of bluish-gray stone a hundred feet high. It was solid sandstone, reasonably easy to cut, and ideally situated for transportation by water. Point Smith was soon known as Quarry Point. Prison inmates were employed in the quarry during the 1850s, and according to the Daily Alta California seventeen of them escaped from their confinement there. The stone was used for a variety of purposes. We know, for example, that it was used in 1854 for military fortifications at Alcatraz. We also know that it was used in 1856 for the foundation and basement of the Gibb Building at the corner of Front and Vallejo streets in San Francisco.

C. B. Grant, proprietor of a stone-yard in San Francisco, was awarded a contract in 1857 to provide two thousand tons of Angel Island stone (at $1.74 per ton) for construction of the new Navy base at Mare Island. Grant kept his quarry concession for ten years, building a house near the
quarry for his manager, Thomas H. Jones. His schooner Eagle transported Angel Island stone for the California Bank building at Sansome and California streets, completed in 1867. Jones was killed in a blasting accident in May, 1865, and two years later General Irvin McDowell ordered Grant to remove his property from the quarry, which he wished to bring under Army control. The Army took stone from the quarry to build roads at Fort Winfield Scott, foundations for new barracks at the Presidio, and buildings at Fort McDowell on Angel Island. The last recorded stone cut from the quarry went to the Richmond breakwater in 1922. By that time, the block of stone at Quarry Point projected only a few feet above water level.

CALIFORNIA'S first lighthouse was built on Alcatraz Island in 1854. Fortification was begun that same year, and by 1858 the island had seventy-three guns, of which fifty-two were permanently mounted; by 1860 it was garrisoned by 230 officers and men. In 1860—when the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the government claim to the island—the Army's chief engineer, Colonel Gilbert Joseph Totten, recommended that two additional permanent batteries be mounted on Angel Island. On August 11, 1863, General Henry Halleck, the Army's chief of staff and a wealthy San Francisco attorney, ordered the installation of twenty guns on Angel Island. Construction at what was to become Camp Reynolds began within a month.
Soldiers from Battery B of the Third Artillery landed in September on the southwestern shore and set up camp in the gentle valley between Point Stuart and Point Knox. Camp Reynolds—named for General John F. Reynolds, who had died at Gettysburg in July—was commanded first by Second Lieutenant John Tier non and a month later by First Lieutenant Louis H. Fine. Battery B set up five thirty-two pounders along the beach and began building a wharf, which was completed in February.

Camp Reynolds came up in the military hierarchy when it acquired a brevet-major as its third commander in January, 1864. Major George P. Andrews took charge of the serious business of evicting squatters who occupied much of the island’s level land and who had extended less than a hero’s welcome to Battery B. Starting with the closest neighbor, Andrews gave Peter Davis, whose small farm lay within the Camp Reynolds area, a week’s notice in mid-February. A week later he sent an armed patrol across the island to apprehend Otto Kurz, who had threatened men from Battery B with a pistol. On May 13 he ordered Achille Derosin, who had worked for Osio and lived at Raccoon Cove, to leave the island. When Derosin appealed, Andrews gave him until June to move, since he wanted to build the camp hospital on Derosin’s land. By mid-summer there were two barracks buildings, officers’ quarters, a guard house, a stable, and a post trader’s store, and nineteen guns were in place between Point Stuart and Point Knox, which boasted two ten-inch Rodmans. Engineers were building emplacements for seven more guns at Point Blunt, across the island to the southeast, and Captain Michael Hannon’s sloop Shooting Star, carried passengers and supplies twice a day from Camp Reynolds to Meiggs Wharf in San Francisco. A cemetery was begun above Camp Reynolds when Major Andrews’s daughter Mattie died and was buried there in June. She was joined less than a month later by Private John McNeil, the first of more than ninety soldiers to be interred there. Also in June, Andrews assigned the camp’s first commanders, Tiernon and Fine, to survey the oat field liberated from Peter Davis, who had made a claim for the loss of his crop. Andrews planned to use Davis’s field as the post garden and to sell cattle grazing rights for $2.00 per head per year.

In the late summer of 1864 Battery B was concerned about convenience. On August 3 the Shooting Star’s daily 7:00 a.m. departure was rescheduled to 8:00 a.m., and on November 23 it was moved to 9:00 with a market boat leaving at 8:00. In February, the market boat’s departure was set back to 8:30 and the Shooting Star’s first departure for the city was set at “after Guard Mounting.”

In the midst of the adjustments to the ferry schedule, gold was discovered on Angel Island. Formation of
the Angel Island Mining District to develop the island's new "gold quartz mines, a vein producing $20 to $30 in gold to the ton" was noted in the Marin Journal on October 8, 1864. The vein ran "not only across the entire island, but over into the hills near Saucelito, where it crops out as boldly as where it was first struck," according to the newspaper account. General McDowell demanded a report, and Andrews responded on October 15 that he had "looked for and found gold, in what is commonly called rose quartz, in a ledge leading from the west toward the east of the island. After examining the mining laws of California I located for myself, and as agent for friends, a claim, of which I now hold, individually, a portion. I then made the discovery public." He had been one of the organizers of the mining district, Andrews added, but if "military necessity" made it impossible to develop the claim as state law required, work on it would be deferred until the military emergency had passed.

The Marin Journal's Angel Island correspondent reported on November 5 that "five tons of quartz from the newly discovered gold mines [have been shipped] to San Francisco," and that "the yield has been $29 to the ton in gold." After consulting with U.S. Attorney Delos Lake, General McDowell directed Andrews on December 8 to "notify all persons concerned in any undertaking, present or prospective, for working any mines or 'prospecting' for any mines on Angel Island that they will not be allowed to prosecute any such business on this island; and that if any such has been commenced, it must be abandoned." Since Andrews relayed this order, gold-bearing rose quartz has been unknown on Angel Island.

Raccoon Cove was renamed Hospital Cove when the Camp Reynolds hospital was built there in 1864, but the name is more closely associated with the San Francisco Quarantine Station which operated there from 1891 to 1937. Responding to an 1878 epidemic of yellow fever, Congress appropriated funds the following year to build quarantine stations in major American ports. The Marine Hospital Service chose Angel Island as the site for San Francisco's facility and persuaded the Army to grant a ten-acre easement at Hospital Cove. Construction began in 1890, and although it did not officially open until May, 1892, the station was in shape to receive the China with smallpox aboard in April, 1891. By that time a dozen buildings were complete, including houses for the surgeons, pharmacists, and attendants, as well as separate dormitories for cabin, second, and steerage class passengers, who were housed on the island until they were declared disease free. A steerage class bath and an attendants' dining room were finished in 1893, and the old cruiser Omaha was moored next to the pier.
to pump steam and sulphur fumes into contaminated ships.  

Camp Reynolds was not comfortable with the Quarantine Station. When it opened, post surgeon Major W. H. Gardner made an urgent request to Colonel William Shafter, the commanding officer, that a high fence be placed around the facility. It was built a year later and was still standing in 1915 when a survey of Quarantine Station buildings advised against spending anything to repair it "as it serves no useful purpose." The report also suggested that the inner half of the cove be filled in to add four more acres of building space. Bureaucratic inertia and a general decline in the Quarantine Station’s activity—it was shut down in 1937—combined to thwart this proposal. The last detainees were a Japanese family exposed to smallpox in 1935. The 400-person crew of the German cruise ship Columbus, scuttled off the east coast of Mexico on December 19, 1939, stayed in the empty barracks for several months in 1940. The closed Quarantine Station remained until 1953, when the state of California acquired thirty-five acres at Hospital Cove.

OF ALL THE uses people have made of Angel Island, it is probably best known for its role as the “Ellis Island of the West.” Passage of the Chinese Exclusion Law of 1882 and subsequent amendments required that arriving Chinese immigrants be examined to determine whether they fell into the increas-
Air view of the Immigration Station at China (now Winslow) Cove in 1927, showing the headquarters building which burned in 1940 and beyond it the detention dormitory famous for the poems Chinese internees left on its walls. This building housed prisoners of war in World War II and is now the Angel Island Museum.

(Below) Chinese women and children in the detention center meet with an American social worker about 1920.

ingly narrow categories of Chinese allowed to enter the United States. By the turn of the century the detention shed at the Pacific Mail Company's dock in San Francisco was so crowded with Chinese waiting to be examined that Secretary of Commerce Victor H. Metcalf called it "discreditable in the extreme for the Government" and requested funds to build an immigration facility for San Francisco similar to that established at Ellis Island in 1892. Congress appropriated $150,000, and the Secretary of War transferred ten acres at Schofield Beach (later China Cove and now Winslow Cove) to the Immigration Service for use as a detention and screening center.

Construction began in the summer of 1906, and the new Angel Island immigration station opened on January 12, 1910. The San Francisco Chronicle described it as the "finest immigration station in the world... with most of the conveniences of a first class hotel" and "lots of sunshine and a splendid marine view." The inmates, most of them Chinese, were less enthusiastic. Many of them expressed their anger and frustration in poetry carved in the redwood walls of their barracks. For example:

America has power, but not justice.
In prison, we were victimized as if we were guilty.
Given no opportunity to explain,
It was really brutal.
I bow my head in reflection but there is nothing I can do." The most notorious deportation hearing to take place at the immigra-
tion station was that of Harry Ren-ton Bridges in 1939. Bridges, a native of Australia and leader of the International Longshoremen's Association, was so successful in organizing strikes against West Coast shippers that Secretary of Labor Frances Perkins bowed to employer pressure to have him arrested for deportation as a communist. In a makeshift room partitioned out of the administration building's dining room, Harvard Law School Dean James Landis presided over nine weeks of testimony. Every morning the principals, eighteen newspaper reporters, and representatives of the American Legion, American Civil Liberties Union, Associated Farmers, International Labor Defense, and assorted other organizations rode the Immigration Service ferry Angel Island to the China Cove pier. Bridges was represented by three attorneys and was frequently accompanied by his fourteen-year-old daughter Betty. In the end he was cleared, and six years later he was granted U.S. citizenship. The administration building burned on August 11, 1940, and the remaining buildings became the North Garrison of Fort McDowell a year later.

ANGEL ISLAND was used most consistently for military purposes until it became a state park. Aside from its fortification as part of the defense system for San Francisco Bay, it was used as a support facility for three foreign wars. Soldiers returning from the war in the Philippines—87,000 by 1905—were demobilized on Angel Island, and the Army discovered that the island was suitable for a large military base. The whole island was named Fort McDowell in April, 1900, and a major construction program had been planned by 1905 on the recommendation of General Frederick Funston. The huts and tents that had served the Philippines army's Depot of Recruits and Casuals were replaced in 1910 and 1911 by the major East Garrison buildings still standing today: the Thousand Man Barracks, the mess hall and drill hall, the Post Exchange, the hospital, the headquarters building, and the row of Mission Revival officers' houses along East McDowell Drive. During World War I the base was known as the Fort McDowell Recruit and Replacement Depot and was so full that temporary wooden barracks were built west of the Thousand Man Barracks. In 1920, it became the Discharge and Replacement Depot.

For the next twenty years, Fort McDowell was quiet. In 1937, 268 enlisted men and 24 officers were permanently posted there. Three years later, in 1940, its capacity to handle casual troops was raised from 4,200 to 6,000 and the ferry General Frank M. Coxe made eight round trips a day to Fort Mason. After Pearl Harbor the pace picked up rapidly as Fort McDowell became part of the San Francisco Port of Embarkation Authority. Eighteen new barracks were built in 1942 at China
Cove and at Point Simpton to the east. The central immigration station buildings were fenced for use as a prisoner-of-war camp. In July, 1942, forty Japanese prisoners, including five officers, were confined there. The East Garrison became a staging area for overseas replacements; by the end of 1942 nearly seventy thousand troops had been processed there. The first contingent of veterans returning from combat duty in the Pacific arrived in February, 1943. Traffic was heavy enough in 1944 to add Army launch J710 to the Fort Mason run. The first WAC detachment arrived that year, reported the Fort McDowell Salute, and an Italian Service Unit was formed in which Italian internees earned eighty cents an hour as laborers. Fort McDowell’s own band made its debut in November, 1944, and an Air Force band arrived from Oregon in December.

When Germany surrendered in May, 1945, almost three hundred German prisoners were in the North Garrison enclosure, and several thousand American veterans were in the East Garrison waiting to be discharged. In September, as a stream of troop ships was coming through the Golden Gate with men returning from the Pacific, General Homer Gronninger ordered a sign with sixty-foot letters saying WELCOME HOME and WELL DONE to be placed over the road in the Alcatraz Gardens area. Construction was finished in three weeks and floodlights illuminated it for soldiers who passed it at night.

The Army announced in 1946 that it would close Fort McDowell and declared it surplus in July. After the flag was lowered on August 28, the National Park Service, the State of California, Marin County, and the cities of San Francisco and El Cerrito showed varying degrees of interest in acquiring the island from the War Assets Administration.

It took until 1950—the year the quarantine station was officially closed—for the Angel Island Foundation to be organized and begin a campaign to make the island into a park. Three more years passed before the state began to acquire the island. The California Division of Beaches and Parks occupied the Hospital Cove area in April, 1954, but the Army had found a new use for the rest of the island. The Secretary of the Interior granted permission in February, 1954, to install a battery of Nike missiles on Angel Island, and Battery B of the Second Missile Battalion, 51st Artillery, arrived in May, 1955. Its launchers were close to Point Blunt, its headquarters in the East Garrison hospital, its observation and fire control center atop Mount Ida, and its eighteen families settled in the East Garrison officers’ houses. In addition to the state’s holdings at Hospital Cove, the Coast Guard maintained small enclaves at Point Blunt, Point Knox, and Point Stuart.

In 1955, California renamed Hospital Cove to honor Juan Manuel de Ayala, captain of the San Carlos; the
Like the artillery emplacements of the nineteenth century, the Nike base was viewed as part of a defense system encompassing the entire San Francisco Bay.

(Below) Field-grade officers’ quarters above East McDowell Drive, 1942. These Mission Revival houses have been preserved and are in the process of restoration. Several of them were used by families associated with the Nike battery and later by state park personnel.

following year Mount Ida was renamed for Caroline S. Livermore, a leader of the Angel Island Foundation. China Cove became Winslow Cove, for Charles A. Winslow, another early champion of the park, in 1966.

The Nike battery—nearly obsolete by the time its installation was completed—was demobilized in 1962, and at the end of the year the rest of the island was added to the park. Regular ferry service from Tiburon to Ayala Cove began in May, 1966. That year the state commissioned a survey of the island, its three hundred buildings, and its history by Oakland architect Marshall Welch McDonald. McDonald’s recommendations for preservation and best use of the island, together with a plan prepared by the Department of Parks and Recreation staff in 1979, have been the basis for the park’s development. Among the recent achievements of a small staff and many volunteers are the museum in the old China Cove barracks, the visitors’ center at the East Garrison guard house, the refurbishing of the brick warehouse at Camp Reynolds, and the restoration by Robert and Mary Noyes of the commanding officer’s house at Camp Reynolds. In addition, new anchorages have been completed at Quarry Point and Winslow Cove. Like the strata in its rock formations, Angel Island’s varied structures tell a story of changing human use to which new chapters will no doubt be added in the future.

See notes beginning on page 71.
THE APPRENTICESHIP OF

KATHLEEN NORRIS

by Deanna Paoli Gumina

Kathleen Norris fulfilled the dream of many aspiring writers: she was paid to write romantic fantasies. Heralded as “America’s Most Popular Woman Writer for Women,” she produced ninety-three novels, countless magazine articles and short stories, and many serialized novellas, and for twenty years she wrote a weekly syndicated newspaper column. Kathleen also oversaw the transformation of sixteen of her novels into Hollywood screenplays and wrote two plays, two historical essays, and a radio show. Her books, including international translations, have sold ten million copies; some were reprinted in the 1970s as popular romantic novellas. The theme of every piece Kathleen wrote—no matter how slight or fabricated the plot—was her definition of woman.

With a simple, straightforward style, Kathleen assured her readers that their sexuality made them all-important. For women to act as the nurturers and caretakers of conceived life was not a confining role, she argued, but a source of power that obligated women to define their individual identity before marriage. Kathleen claimed that women had let men dominate them and thereby lost sight of the power inherent in their sexuality. Her biting rhetoric on this subject never mellowed.  

Kathleen’s philosophy of woman and her yearning to write were fostered during her childhood in secluded Mill Valley. The second child and first daughter of James and Josephine Thompson, Kathleen and her younger sister Teresa wrote stories to entertain their younger brothers and sisters. The six Thompson children were encouraged to read voraciously and eclectically by their father, who had them tutored at home for four years before sending them to an ungraded school. “My father’s main concerns were with the moral rather than the intellectual side of our development,” Kathleen wrote in Family Gathering. “We were not allowed to bring any schoolwork home, and he would inquire suspiciously, if he saw one of us reading, ‘You’re not studying are you?’ “ The effect of this caution was to make Teresa, Kathleen, and Joe, the eldest child, “passionate if stealthy students.” The values Kathleen formed under the tutelage of her Irish Catholic parents and “Aunt Kitty,” James’s sister Kathleen Mary, who lived with the Thompsons, were even more important in her education than book learning. In an age when Protestantism was the national cultural norm, Kathleen won a mass audience for stories that frequently depicted her Catholic faith and Irish ethnicity.

In the holiday season of 1899, while she was being fitted for a “dress that was to be all ruffles for my first ball,” Kathleen’s world shattered. Just before Thanksgiving her mother died of pneumonia, and by Christmas her father was dead too. Joe, Kathleen, then twenty, and Teresa were left as the self-designated “breadwinners” for the three younger children (aged sixteen, twelve, and eight) and Aunt Kitty. They found a flat in San Francisco, because “the cost of commutation [sic] to the city for the three of us who must be breadwinners would more than cover a city rent, schools were much too far away for the children to manage, and long lonesome days in the old house would be too hard on Aunt Kitty.” At thirty dollars a month, the Hyde Street flat was expensive for the young trio, who together earned a mere eighty-five dollars a month and had spent what little money they were bequeathed on headstones for their parents’ graves. But the neighborhood was the one where their parents had settled as newlyweds.

“There was no one to help us, except with affection and advice,” wrote Kathleen. “The terrible gap between the protected old life, and the raw harshness of the new, was bridged by our sense of [the] dramatic.” During this tense period when their grief was nearly unbearable, they turned the uneasy solitude of the days and evenings into tourna-
ments of writing contests or word games. They took long tramps to the beach and feasted upon coffee and toast, gave impromptu "monthly" birthday parties for their house, and, to the dismay of their upstairs neighbors, opened wide the front room windows and sang the songs that Josephine had played for them, preferring to sing rather than cry, for they were all beyond tears. They took in boarders to defray the rent.

Writing at her leisure was no longer a possibility for Kathleen. She got her first job from family friend and Bohemian Club member Raphael Weill, the owner of the White House Department Store. For six months she sold shirtwaists in the ladies' wear department before giving in to pleas from her mother's family that she quit. "The prospect of 'one of poor dear Jo's daughters' becoming a common, vulgar saleswoman brought into contact with supercilious society women all day, had absolutely floored my aunt Margaret and my grandmother," recalled Kathleen. While her elders were relieved that she was no longer exposed to the gossips of San Francisco society, Kathleen regretted having to give up a "good paying job" to protect her social honor.

Her second job was as a clerk in the accounting office of a hardware firm. Her aunt and grandmother approved of this position once they learned that Kathleen's desk was behind a glass partition separating her from the male employees. Kathleen's employment with this firm became the background for the opening of her novel Saturday's Child (1914) in which the heroine was an attractive, naive, nineteen-year-old, also parentless, who found work calculating discounts.

Kathleen worked at the hardware firm for two years and like Susan Brown of Saturday's Child, quit because there "was no future for her, or for any girl here, that she knew," as she wrote in Saturday's Child. Office work was "monotonous . . . grimy," and uninteresting. Each
of Susan’s co-workers “dreamed bright dreams in the dreary [office] place, and waited, as youth must wait, for fortune, or fame, or position, love or power, to evolve her from the dullness . . . .” of their routine.

Kathleen left her desk in the accounting department to become a librarian at the Mechanic’s Institute Library, a prestigious institution frequented by San Francisco’s intelligentsia. She began writing short stories at this time, one of which “Aux Italiens,” was published in December, 1904, by the Overland Monthly. Leaving the library sometime in 1905, Kathleen enrolled as a special student in creative writing classes at the Berkeley campus of the University of California. She audited the daily themes composition class offered by Professor Chauncey Wetmore Wells and spent two afternoons a week studying conversational French. After five years of family responsibilities, “I was reaching for freedom,” she wrote. This period in Kathleen’s life was filled with contrasting moments of happiness when “one paper after another was noted” by Professor Wells with the remark that “we appear to have a writer among us.” Yet there was also the loneliness of “no associates, no young wardrobe, no undergraduate entertainment, no identity” which gnawed at Kathleen.

But in the classroom with Professor Wells Kathleen could conceal neither her talent nor her ambition. Both Professor and Mrs. Wells, who invited Kathleen to afternoon tea, encouraged her to continue to write. The Wells’s praise and the publication of two short stories, “Julie” and “The Grand Duchess,” in Occident Magazine, a periodical sponsored by the English Club of the University of California, were the highlights of her academic career. Years later she remembered Wells’s support and dedicated him to her novel Storm House. At Christmas time, however, Kathleen’s rekindled dream of becoming a writer ended, for she was urgently called to return home. Aunt Kitty had contracted pneumonia, and Teresa needed her help.

It was early spring before Kathleen had regulated the household and could start to think of writing again. At the end of March, 1906, most of the family moved back to Mill Valley to ready the house for a summer rental. The house and the community, recalled Kathleen, held a “tonic charm” for the parentless Thompsons, and each brought friends to spend a holiday in the country.

Two-and-a-half weeks later came the great earthquake and fire which destroyed much of San Francisco, including the neighborhood they had just left. Safe in Mill Valley, the family brought mattresses outdoors and slept in a row on the front porch, watching San Francisco smolder under a vermillion sky and breathing the soot that drifted over the Bay from the ruined city. Within forty-eight hours, Kathleen and five other aspiring writers—whom she never named—rushed stories of the tragedy off to eastern magazines. Seven weeks later, Kathleen received her manuscript with a polite note thanking her for her effort. Her colleagues had received pink acceptance slips. “It was a deep blow to me that my efforts should be rejected when the Eastern magazines were so obviously eager for earthquake material,” she wrote.

While Kathleen’s earthquake story was in the East, the Argonaut published three or four of her short stories. (She could never remember the exact number published, only that their publication had been encouraging.) Her next story, “What Happened to Alanna,” was rejected.

Kathleen had hoped that her earthquake story would herald her debut as a writer. The seriousness of her aspirations was apparent in the jobs she sought. For a few weeks, she held a position as a reporter with the San Francisco daily Evening Bulletin, but was discharged for no apparent reason. She also did some work for the Associated Press wire service, but she was dismissed with the kindly assurance that her forte was not writing. “Long afterward I learned that my famous brother-in-law, Frank Norris, had been discharged from the San Francisco Wave for the same reason,” she wrote, a fact that gave her a shared sense of struggle with him.

Abandoning hopes for a literary career, Kathleen then went to work for the Red Cross. For four months, she was a social worker, recommending this applicant for a sewing machine and that one for new eyeglasses. Picking up “little human interest stories” from the earthquake refugees, she wrote stories “just for the fun of the thing,” signed them, and left them at the office of the San Francisco Daily Morning Call. It was only after her aunt had read one that she learned that the newspaper had been publishing these stories. Delighted, she hurried down to the newspaper office where she was paid for her work and offered a job by the city editor.

As a social reporter, Kathleen wrote two half-columns a day and four columns (accompanied by four pictures) on Thursday for the Sunday morning edition. As San Franciscans readjusted themselves after the shock of the earthquake and began rebuilding their social lives as well as their city, the society column expanded into a full page. Kathleen reported on gala parties, describing the decorations and costumes, or announced engagements, marriages, and births, and outlined the club activities that were reported on the women’s page. “Wild with hope,”
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she commented, “I enlisted the entire family, and everyone else of whom I dared ask favors, and owing to their combined sleuthing, a column took shape, a second column, a third. I began to breathe.” Her sisters—Teresa, now twenty-five years old, and Margaret, nineteen and engaged to a Navy midshipman—kept Kathleen informed of the events that concerned the young members of the city’s smart set, even though they were not members of it. Kathleen’s aunts Kitty and Margaret provided information on the genealogies and family histories of the socialites. Other help came from “the social editors of the other four papers [who] were friends, who prompted and corrected with a loyalty never met anywhere else.”

Later she wrote that she regarded the opportunity of working for a newspaper as a Godsend, and looking back date a happier and more confident frame of mind from the hour I accepted it. I worked gratefully, tirelessly, early and late; I went from one end of the city to the other, searching news, interpreting news, developing news. Life perhaps has no greater thrill than the thrill of the reporter who goes into the office with a big story triumphantly secured upon the scribbled yellow sheets in his pocket, and I often knew this thrill.

Kathleen had to learn to write with speed, clarity, and promptness to meet her deadlines lest she be subjected to the city editor’s dry admonishment to “Get a job on a monthly!” This training in the techniques of her craft contributed in later years to her large output as a writer. Furthermore, though she complained at the time, the subject of her reporting schooled her in the modes and mores of San Francisco’s plutocracy, which became the background for such novels as Mother (1911), Saturday’s Child (1914), The Story of Julia Page (1915), Hildegarde (1926), Little Ships (1925), Barberry Bush (1927), The Rich Mrs. Burgoyne (1912), and her finest, Certain People of Importance (1922). In these works, as Kathleen directed the heroine away from the temptations of the idle rich, she contrasted the shallow lifestyle of the elite with the happiness that a young woman could find in a simple life among those she loved.

After a few months with the Daily Morning Call, Kathleen was offered a job with the San Francisco Examiner, where she was paid “three golden half eagles every week,” thirty dollars a month, which to her was a “breathtaking” wage that eased the Thompsons’ financial strain. She was assigned to “unimportant interviews, special women’s stories, oddities in women’s news.” But under the tutelage of city editor Edmond Coblentz, who trained “a small army of writers,” Kathleen perfected her writing skills and began earning larger assignments. She covered the suffragist convention in Oakland.
and the Convention of Women’s Clubs in Watsonville, where she was more impressed with the farming community and fruitpacking plants than with the conventions, convinced that there was an immense story to be written about “this place and these people.” She was sent out on a tugboat to interview the concubine of a visiting Chinese dignitary who loftily described the moral misgivings of our fire-chastened city as mere rubbish. I rode a big horse around the ring in a rodeo; I affected feeble-mindedness and was taken by one of the older newspaper women to be committed and take a good look at the interior of the state hospital.

Until Aunt Kitty relented, Kathleen abided by her aunt’s stipulation that she must “never enter the Press Club,” a haunt the elder woman envisioned as filled “with spittoons, bar, tobacco smoke, and unscrupulous males.” But running deeper than these concerns were both Aunt Kitty’s and Kathleen’s grandmother’s fears that “Jo’s girls never would marry.”

In February, 1908, Kathleen had her first story syndicated by the wire services and reprinted in the New York Times. The story concerned Margaret Illington Frohman, a prominent actress who came to California for a quiet rest at an undisclosed site. Kathleen did some resourceful detective work, and found Mrs. Frohman in Clarabon Hospital in San Francisco. When the superintendent of the hospital denied that the actress was a patient, Kathleen remained, and relied upon the histrionic talent inbred in the Thompson character. She wrote, “I took out my card, that card that honestly said, ‘Representing the Examiner.’ Upon it I scribbled, ‘Margaret dear. They won’t let me in. Hope you are better, Kathleen.’” The ploy worked. Kathleen was admitted to the actress’s room and granted an interview, which the New York Times printed with her by-line.

At twenty-eight, Kathleen had supported her aunt, her younger brother Jim, and her sister Margaret for eight years. Many years later, in an article for Good Housekeeping magazine, she admitted to her readers that she had been burdened by responsibilities far too heavy for her bearing, fatherless, motherless, and further handicapped by ambition, restlessness, and all the boiling and pent-up energy that tortured her, as it does any woman who has not found her self-expression.

To the world’s eye, she was “an interesting spinster in a brown suit sent by the Examiner,” who wondered if life might go on for her on those terms indefinitely.

Kathleen came to another milestone in her life one rainy evening when she reluctantly attended a meeting of the smart set’s Skating Club in the hope that a certain couple would announce their engagement. As she crossed the room she was stopped by a young debutante who several weeks earlier had announced to her that she was engaged and going to be married soon. According to the rules of the newspaper, Kathleen had had to obtain the young man’s confirmation of this fact, and when she called him, he told her, “in a series of shouts,” that the young lady in question was a “mighty nice girl, but she’d been engaged to some six or seven fellows in turn, and he wasn’t among them.”

Kathleen was now confronted by the pair, and the young debutante apologized for her silliness in announcing her engagement to “Charley Norris,” whom she had in hand. Thus, tall and handsome and grinning boishly, Charles Gilman Norris—“Cigi”—came into Kathleen’s life, and the two spent the remainder of the evening together. He identified the skaters Kathleen did not know, and afterwards walked her back to the Examiner office so she could file her story.

On the following Sunday, Cigi presented himself at the Thompsons’ flat to drop off for Kathleen a book written by his novelist brother, Frank Norris. It was an awkward moment when Kathleen answered the door. She had been tearfully mulling over a letter from the tall, equally Irish, Jack Carrington, to whom she had considered herself engaged. “Our most ambitious plans were merely dated ‘sometime or other,’ and included a chicken farm,” Kathleen later wrote of the affair. Now Jack had written to say that marriage would be a “mistake” and that they should best forget the whole thing. With reddened eyes, Kathleen graciously accepted the book from Cigi and he asked her to join him in a walk. She accepted his invitation and asked him to remain for dinner that evening. He accepted.

The fortuitous meeting took place in a lonely period in both their lives. Kathleen been rejected by the man she thought she would marry, and Cigi, an aspiring writer, overshadowed by his deceased older brother Frank’s fame, had recently returned from New York and was working for a new western magazine, Sunset. No matter that Cigi was nearly two years younger than Kathleen, or that his family was more socially prominent than hers. All that mattered was that “we loved each other,” wrote Kathleen, and, it was all arranged in the course of three meetings, . . . all perfected over many a little table . . . in the French and Italian Quarters, where we used four dollars’ worth of light on each fifty-cent meal. We would have a little house and a garden, across the bay, and these would be my domain, and “Himself”—it was thus he was designated—should keep his position upon the railroad magazine Sunset. And eventually we would both write books, or plays, or both. So that was that.

From the moment Kathleen began to write, she had longed to go to New York. Cigi proposed casually
one evening that since she was eager to go East, they could marry immediately and move to New York, or he could go on ahead alone to find a job and be joined by his bride-to-be when all was in readiness. "Solemnly, across the little luncheon table in the Vienna Bakery," she wrote, it was decided: "We joined hands . . . We would be married. We would write books. We would live in New York."26

Three months later Cigi left San Francisco and returned to New York to begin work at the American Magazine, where he was hired because of his brother's friendship with the staff. Cigi's job, as he described it years later, was "make-up man, art editor, proof reader." With Cigi established in New York, Kathleen sought assurances from her sisters, Teresa, Margaret, and brother Jim that they would be able to support themselves and Aunt Kitty without her. Her brother Joe offered to purchase the Mill Valley home from the rest of the family for $1,500, a sum that amounted to $300 for each of the Thompson siblings plus Aunt Kitty. Simultaneously, an outside buyer made an offer for the Thompsons' undeveloped area adjoining Treehaven.

Cigi and Kathleen corresponded daily, from September 9, 1908, when Cigi departed San Francisco for New York until Kathleen left the city eight months later to meet him. The letters they exchanged at this time were categorized by Kathleen in later years as the "early engagement letters." Each one was numbered, and as the day of the nuptials grew nearer, each letter bore the number of days before the wedding. Kathleen wrote that during this period she was like a girl in a spell:

dream—I go about all day, deliciously excited—loving every instant of it . . . I start things and won't finish them—make engagements and break them—. . . and like best to be alone . . . [and] think of you—and nothing else.27

Kathleen and Cigi's mother, Gertrude Norris, left San Francisco on Friday, April 22, 1909, and arrived in New York the following Tuesday. Early on the morning of the wedding, April 30, 1909, Kathleen attended Mass at St. Agnes Catholic Church and afterwards bought herself a cherry-pink hat. In the meantime, Cigi bought a ring at Tiffany's. At seven o'clock that Saturday evening, Cigi and Kathleen were married at the Church of St. Paul the Apostle on Sixteenth Street and Columbus Avenue.28 With her marriage, Kathleen began the second half of her apprenticeship.

Overwhelmed with the exhilaration of being in New York, Kathleen found Cigi's associates on the American Magazine, America's oldest periodical, to be the most talented individuals she had thus far known. Hobnobbing with these professional writers, many of whom were making headline news as muckrakers and social reformers, and hearing their gossip and going to parties, dinners, and the theater with them made Kathleen eager to begin her own work, even though it would be months before she could concentrate on a theme. During her engagement period, Kathleen had ambitiously outlined stories that she hoped Cigi would place for her, a dependency upon his editorial acumen that grew as he created a role for himself as her literary agent. Before leaving San Francisco, she had spoken to the Hearst editors about sending back from New York a series of letters entitled "Rebecca's Letters" or "Susan's Letters." But now she was too dazzled by the city itself to write, and the Hearst series as well as the many stories she had planned never materialized.

Cigi did not share Kathleen's enthusiasm for life in a "large drafty studio" or in the "smoke-wreathed cellars" of bohemian Greenwich Village, but Kathleen was fascinated with the confusing labyrinth of nar-
row, twisting streets in the Village, where life was simple and cheap and people were free to be themselves. She associated the poverty and frustration of the area’s artistic inhabitants with the poverty she had known in San Francisco as a working woman supporting her family. Her high-hearted optimism enabled her to romanticize this life, as she would do in countless stories, to suit her fantasies. Very simply, she was starstruck, as Cigi was fully aware.

Ever the businessman, however, Cigi pointed Kathleen away from Greenwich Village and toward her desk and the business of magazine writing. The only way into the tight circle of New York’s literary establishment was to publish, and by the autumn of 1909 Kathleen had begun to write—or to think in earnest about writing. But all she was able to compose at first were letters to her family in rhyme, cake recipes also written in verse, or neatly listed grocery needs on a rented typewriter whose letters “a” and “p” sometimes slipped from their moorings. Occasionally, she wrote letters to newspapers and was pleased when they printed them. Finally, the New York Evening Telegram announced a short story contest. The winner was to receive the space rate for a story of between 1,800 and 2,000 words. A bonus of fifty dollars was thrown into the winnings for the one whose story was selected as the week’s best.

Kathleen searched her mind for two days before choosing “Jane Ireland” as her pen name. She felt more comfortable using this nom de plume, fearful that if her story failed to win she would be an embarrassment to the family as sister-in-law of the famous Frank Norris. With her identity so concealed, Kathleen worked on a story for several days, but she was frustrated and unable to make the story flow and put aside thoughts of entering the contest. She blamed her inability to write on her shyness which caused her to suffer agonies at the thought of anyone—“even my husband—reading anything that I wrote. I never let him even suspect what I was doing,” she wrote in Noon.32

Several months passed before Kathleen settled down and again took up her pen. This time she courageously entered the contest, sending in two stories to the Evening Telegram, and to her complete surprise the newspaper accepted both. She was paid twelve dollars apiece for them, and was also awarded the fifty-dollar prize money for the week’s best story. When a third story was accepted, the elated Kathleen combined the cash prizes as a gift to Cigi for his upcoming birthday. The first of Kathleen’s stories appeared on May 7, 1910, and was entitled, “A Present for a Gentleman,” signed “Jane Ireland.” The two others followed that month—“The Convalescence of Mam’selle” and “The Fifth Mrs. Frye.”30

Cigi’s reaction to Kathleen’s success went far beyond her expectations. As she wrote in Family Gathering, he was incredulous, exultant, and then brooded as he pondered her potential talent. If she would go on writing, that would improve their economic situation considerably, he told her. Cigi made only $25 a week; of this, $7.50 went for rent, $7 was allotted to Kathleen for groceries, $1 went to Cigi for pocket money, and the rest, $9.50, was set aside as savings. “If I would go on writing!” she recalled. “How my feet touched the ground after that I don’t know. It was one moment that ought to be in every woman’s life,” she wrote, “when her man is proud and a little bewildered at a new development in her, and they plan together as a team.”31

Success lessened Kathleen’s shyness, and she eagerly sought Cigi’s advice and editorial opinion. They struck a partnership based on the strength of their talents. Kathleen was the storyteller and Cigi the business manager. While waiting for Kathleen’s stories to appear in the paper, Cigi took from his wife’s trunk two San Francisco stories: “What Happened to Alanna,” written after the 1906 earthquake and fire, and “The Tide Marsh.”32 After carefully reading “Alanna,” Cigi decided that it would sell if properly presented to a prospective magazine, and he set about developing a sales strategy. It was at this point that Cigi stepped into his lifelong role as Kathleen’s literary agent.

. . . [The] matter was taken entirely out of my hands, and Charles listed what we felt were the 28—or perhaps it was 38—most promising magazines, listed them alphabetically, beginning with the Atlantic.

For weeks and weeks the story traveled. From every one of the 28, 38, editors, Alanna returned. The summer declined into autumn, . . . and still nobody wanted the story.33

For five long and discouraging months, “Alanna” came and went. When it returned for the twenty-eighth time, exhausting the list of prospective magazines, Cigi matter-of-factly readdressed the envelope and sent the story back to the Atlantic Monthly, the first magazine on his list. His experience as a manuscript reader had taught him that sometimes a reader hastily misjudges a work, and that it was best to give the magazine and the story a second chance.

Kathleen, now expecting their first child, was spending the summer with cousins in Catunet, Massachu-
setts, away from the sweltering heat of New York when Cigi succeeded in placing “Alanna.” On the second round the Atlantic Monthly accepted the story. It was rumored that the editorial staff had hesitated, because “Alanna” was not in the tradition of the magazine, but one editor had insisted that it was “intimately told, true to life.” Its heavy Irish Catholic emphasis, which would characterize many of Kathleen’s novels, particularly Little Ships (1925) and The Callahans and the Murphys (1924), caused
additional unease among editors who preferred a Protestant, Anglo-Saxon slant. "Alanna" was published in the September, 1910, issue and brought Kathleen $75.00. By early November, when Kathleen gave birth to her son, "The Tide Marsh" was scheduled for publication in the December issue of the American Magazine. In the next year, seven of Kathleen's stories were published, all in leading journals.

At age thirty-two, Kathleen published the work that elevated her to the status of an effective writer of fiction. A concise novelette, published in the American Magazine in 1911, Mother was such an absorbing and tenderly sentimental story that former President Theodore Roosevelt—the father of six children and an outspoken foe of planned parenthood—publicly praised it as a testimonial to the joys of raising large families. He shared Kathleen's love of children and feared that the spread of birth-control practices would destroy the American family.

The story was inspired by the unselfish devotion of Kathleen's own late mother, but it was undertaken because of a short story contest sponsored by Delineator magazine. A thousand-dollar cash prize was offered to the writer of the best three-thousand-word essay, and the ambitious Kathleen, eager not only to supplement the Norris household budget but, more importantly, to establish herself as a serious writer of fiction, set out to win the prize.

Kathleen worked on her story in a corner of the family's sitting room where she had arranged her rented typewriter upon a small kitchen table. While her infant son napped, she wrote. "It was at first to be a semi-humorous story," she revealed in Noon, called "Mary's Young Man," but as I worked, the marvel and mystery and miracle of a good mother began to seem to me for the first time what it truly is, the most beautiful thing in the world, and I wrote the story as seriously and as well as I could."

But before Kathleen could define what she considered a true picture of motherhood to be, she had overrun the contest word limitation by several thousand words. Rather than sacrifice the subject of the story for format, Kathleen claimed in her first autobiography that she set "Mother" aside and submitted another story for the contest, which was won by Zona Gale, a former New York World reporter.

After some time Kathleen returned to "Mother." Upon completing the revised work, she gave it to her husband to read for his editorial opinion. He told his wife that "Mother" could be worth ten times the prize money that she would have received from the Delineator contest. The following day, Cigi showed "Mother"
to his editor, John S. Phillips, who accepted it for publication in the August 1911 issue of the American Magazine.

"Mother" was written both as a work of fiction and as a tract against birth control. The question to which Kathleen addressed herself was whether birth control would breed promiscuity and threaten family life as she idealized it or would enhance sexual intimacy and create a more stable family environment. She was uncertain of the outcome of this dilemma. It was her wrestling with this controversy that focused the literary limelight upon her. Yet, birth control was a conflicting personal issue for Kathleen. While she publicly advocated no form of birth control, she privately counseled young mothers to practice abstinence to avoid an unwanted pregnancy. No half-baked boy from Ireland with his collar turned around had the right to tell some woman that another baby was a blessing when she and her family were already emotionally and financially hard-pressed, she confided to her niece, Rosemary.  

Yet, Regrettably, Kathleen never revealed this sentiment to her reading audience.

Proud yet protective of his wife's sensitivity as an unknown writer, Cigi presented "Mother" without Kathleen's knowledge to two book publishers. The first saw no potential in the story, but the second was encouraging. He told Cigi that if Kathleen could expand the 8,000-word story into a novelette of about 25,000 to 35,000 words by September 1, 1911, "Mother" could be released in book form for the holiday sales.

"This was early July," wrote Kathleen in Noon, "and we were established in a boardinghouse on Long Island." The publisher had given Kathleen less than two months to lengthen her story, and she thought the task impossible. Nevertheless, despite the distractions of a hot summer, an active baby, and a boardinghouse filled with noisy guests, the manuscript was ready by the end of August. Kathleen again gave it to her husband to read in what would become a ritual with each story that she wrote. This time Cigi was emotionally shaken by the story's force, and with tears in his eyes, he told his wife that it would make her famous. He was right; the response to the novel Mother was overwhelming.

With the publication of Mother, Kathleen emerged both as one of the nation's foremost "women writers" and a chief advocate of family life. In this later role she eventually fulfilled her self-imposed mission to take her written message beyond her fiction readership to all who would listen, addressing herself in her radio broadcasts and her syndicated newspaper column to the sanctity of marriage and the preservation of family life and motherhood. Of all the political crusades and social causes that she supported throughout her long life, her principal regard was for the maintenance of family ties as she had known them as a child.

By fall, Macmillan Company, the publisher of Mother, reported record sales. Phillips, Cigi's employer at the American Magazine, was so excited by the response to Mother that he told visiting Edward W. Bok, the editor and publisher of the Ladies Home Journal, about the novel. Politely, Bok replied that although he had not seen the book, he would, of course, like to own a copy. Cigi immediately left the office for the nearest bookstore, hoping to purchase a copy of Mother and present it to Bok at the train station. To his astonishment, Cigi found bookstore after bookstore sold out, and his only recourse was to borrow a friend's copy. Without a minute to spare, Cigi reached the train station, boarded the Philadelphia-bound train, and handed Bok a copy of his wife's work.

Several days later, Bok returned to New York, visited the Norrises and told the delighted Kathleen of his intention to publish an abridged form of Mother serially in the Ladies Home Journal. This was the first time in modern literature that a best-selling novel was serialized in a popular magazine. Bok had paid Kathleen one of the highest compliments a writer could then receive.

The editors explained their innovative action as "unusual" in a preface to "Mother: The Story of a Girl's Home." They had regarded this novelette as "one of the strongest stories of its kind that has been written of late years," and were hopeful that "it should be read and enjoyed by thousands of readers of this magazine in whose hands the book . . . may never fall."

With both the novelette and the short story version of Mother a success, Kathleen wrote in her autobiography that her "First Lesson"—and she capitalized the term—as a writer was completed:

I had passed the Rubicon of the writer, that very definite danger line where so many aspiring authors stop forever. I mean when they have had three or four, or six short stories accepted, without exactly knowing how or why, vaguely thinking each plot may be the last, and leaving the whole thing to blind impulse and chance.

By this series of happy events, continued Kathleen, she had become an established writer. The simultaneous publication of Mother with her short stories in popular magazines such as the American Magazine, the Ladies Home Journal, Everybody's, and Woman's Home Companion had given her the appearance of instant success. "But I knew better," she wrote in Noon. Every moment since 1899, the year in which both her parents died, had been part of the process of becoming a writer. "There was not one bitter or discouraging hour of it that had not been of use to me since," she concluded.

See notes beginning on page 72.
California suffered through rapid change from 1850 to 1880, and in the eyes of some persons crime appeared to endemic. Certainly by 1852 it was obvious to some that a state prison was needed. One writer labeled this period "California's three decades of terror." That may be an exaggeration, but as thousands of men swarmed into the mining communities, pickpockets, crooked gamblers, and ordinary thieves made their presence known among the honest law-abiding citizens. Crime became so important an issue that vigilante committees formed in San Francisco and in various mining camps throughout the Sierra. Life seemed to have little value in California, and murders occurred frequently. Hubert Howe Bancroft suggests that "diversity of thought" and "the absence of indigenous institutions" helped to create an atmosphere of excesses. In his opinion, "crime is generally the result of ignorance or passion." He also might have commented that the excess of guns in the hands of Californians made it easy for anyone to rob a fellow citizen of his purse. There can be little doubt that the gold rush provided "easy pickings" for both professional and amateur thieves, yet Bancroft concluded that "crimes against the person were more general than crimes against property." That, however, was far from the truth. A survey of San Quentin Prison Registers from 1851 to 1880 indicates just the opposite: approximately two-thirds of the inmates were convicted of crimes against property.

California's first prison developed to fill the need to incarcerate a growing criminal population that seemed to be overwhelming the honest citizens. As will be seen, the state prison system was poorly conceived and the state legislature preferred to spend as little money as possible to operate the first state prison on San Francisco Bay.

California adopted the lessee system, a method commonly used in the Midwest and South of awarding contracts to private individuals to run prisons or use prison labor. In 1825, Kentucky prison authorities had used that method in developing
their prison in Frankfort. The lessee system also received support in Missouri, Alabama, Texas, and Louisiana. State legislatures quickly learned that prisons were not self-supporting and accepted offers from private individuals to contract for the use of convict labor. In 1871, the Texas legislature forced the governor to lease the prison to the contractor presenting the highest bid. This practice at Huntsville continued for many years. In some cases, such as San Quentin, the lease included physical control of the prison. The lessee system provided cheap labor and was not discontinued in the South until the 1920s. It was a despicable business: legislatures and contractors intended to make a profit out of imprisonment. Few were successful, however, and in that sense, the California prison contractors were typical.

San Quentin had an inauspicious beginning in the hull of the decrepit bark Waban. On April 25, 1851, James M. Estell and Mariano Guadalupe Vallejo leased the labor of California’s convicts and agreed to operate the prison for a period of ten years. They converted the Waban into a prison ship, towed it to Angel Island, and put their thirty or so inmates to work in the quarry. In May of 1852, the legislature ordered the prison inspectors to find a permanent prison site. Two months later they paid $10,000 for twenty acres on Point San Quentin, a promontory thrust into San Francisco Bay, surrounded by Corte Madera and San Rafael coves.

The management of California’s first prison left much to be desired during its formative years. Numerous scandals and escapes provided entertaining reading for subscribers to the Alta California and other local newspapers. James Estell’s ten-year contract allowed him to work the inmates in any way he saw fit. At first he locked them in the prison ship and worked them in the quarry, but when the state purchased Point San Quentin, Estell moved his operations there and soon established a brickyard. He finished building the “Stones,” the first prison structure, in 1854, but the prisoners already outnumbered the cells 300 to 48. San Quentin, throughout its entire history, has never had enough cells to institute the single-cell system. Escape was easy, since Estell refused to build a wall around the prison complex, which would mean taking inmates away from the task of making bricks for profit, until the state legislature agreed to provide money for the undertaking. With a contract and cash in hand, Estell constructed a wall approximately five hundred feet square and twenty feet high. Though poorly designed, it made escape more difficult.

The specifications for San Quentin followed patterns used to build prisons in New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania; in fact, the typical cell contained more square footage than its eastern counterparts. Cells in the “Stones” building were five feet eleven inches by nine feet ten inches. State prison cells in Kentucky were seven by three feet, and in Illinois seven by four feet, but four prisoners shared the “Stones” cells, while in most eastern prisons only one or two inmates occupied a cell.

Conditions at San Quentin were abominable. Each cell in the “Stones” had a sheet-iron door with a small four by twelve-inch opening at the top called the “Judas hole.” There were no windows. Four men were forced to share these cramped quarters without adequate ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities. The crowding caused a good deal of comment, and in 1869 visiting physician Alfred W. Tallaferrro complained: “As you know, the men when locked up are literally piled one upon another; this fills the room with animal heat and impure air.” The odor emanating from the slop bucket provided for the prisoners at the far end of the cell can now only be imagined, and the stench encountered after opening the cell door must have been overwhelming. The bedding consisted of a vermin-infested straw mattress and a coarse double blanket. Many of the blankets were used until they virtually disintegrated. In a 146 by 24 foot room on the lower floor of the “Stones” approximately three hundred men were forced to live “like so many brute animals in a corrall” that confined fourteen-year-old boys with hardened criminals.

Every morning when the inmates stepped out of their cells, they brought with them the whitewashed slop buckets to be dumped, washed, and placed upon a rack to dry. Insection committees, periodically sent by the legislature, were appalled at the condition of the prisoners held by the contractor. Many inmates were not properly clothed, and less than half had shoes. Some were barefoot; others wrapped burlap bags around their feet. Some of the prisoners looked like “the commonest street beggars,” or worse. They must have dreaded the winter, since they lacked sufficient clothing to keep them warm. Bathing facilities were inadequate.

By time-honored tradition, the captain of the guard administered punishment: he flogged inmates with a rawhide strap, typically from twelve to twenty-four strokes for minor infractions of the rules such as talking at meals or while working. Returned escapees received harsh treatment, sometimes as many as 160 strokes. Despite the severity of this punishment, however, there are no records indicating that any inmate died from the floggings.

Another form of punishment, mild by comparison, included the shaving of half of the inmate’s head to distinguish him as a troublemaker. Guards frequently used cross-irons
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(a device that held the prisoner's arms immobile) and heavy chains on recalcitrant inmates.\textsuperscript{12} The punishments administered at San Quentin in the nineteenth century were similar to those used in penitentiaries throughout the United States.

The penitentiary system developed simultaneously in Europe and the United States during the early nineteenth century. Cesare Beccaria declared: "The purpose of punishment is not to torment a sensible being, nor to undo a crime already committed. . . . The end of punishment, therefore, is no other than to prevent the criminal from doing further injury to society and to prevent others from committing the like offense."\textsuperscript{13} Most of the early prison reformers believed that prisoners could be rehabilitated. Louis Dwight and his followers provided inmates with Bibles and sermons, hoping to change them into useful citizens. Yet, most prison officials believed that strict discipline and punishment for any violations of conduct rules were necessary to rehabilitate the inmates. Recalcitrant prisoners often received severe punishment whose rehabilitative purposes were hard to detect. When Pennsylvania prison officials instituted the "dark cell" to discipline inmates, the idea swept the prison movement like wildfire. San Quentin, though isolated from the East, was no exception.

In March 1858, after a series of disputes with the prison contractor, Governor John B. Weller seized control and operated San Quentin for approximately one year. Immediately he initiated what he considered to be proper prison "reform." Weller ordered the construction of fourteen dungeon "dark" cells. He preferred them to floggings that did not seem to control the behavior of the prisoners. Officials placed the first victim, James Garvin, into the new facilities on March 13, 1858, with a diet of bread and water. Eight escapees received similar treatment thirteen days later. The floggings decreased dramatically during the next three months. Prison officials had administered an average of three hundred strokes per month during the first two months of 1858, but that number dropped to forty-two in March and to zero in April.\textsuperscript{14} The dungeon had replaced the lash as a method of punishment.

Michel Foucault suggests that prison administrators in the early nineteenth century decided that it was cruel to punish the body physically, but reasonable to punish the mind. This idea fit in with prison "reform" in Europe as well as the United States, and eventually gained support at San Quentin.\textsuperscript{15} Some critics recognized that switching from physical to mental punishment by using the "dark cell" was misguided at best and certainly destructive. Prison physician Alfred Taliaferro detested it and exclaimed:
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"Down there, in that horrible hole, the prisoner gets darkness, foul air, dampness, cold, bread and water simply, and no exercise." He called for its abolition. Ironically, the dungeon did not change prisoner behavior; in fact, authorities returned to the whip in conjunction with chains and the dungeon and also added a new form of punishment that seemed more like torture. The "shower bath" called for stripping the prisoner naked and tying him to a "ladder," then spraying a stream of cold water under high pressure from a large hose against the victim's face, chest, and exposed genitalia. Prison officials continued these practices until 1880, when they banished the lash. Two years later they stopped using the "shower bath," but they continued to operate the dungeons until 1940.  

In the early years the food the inmates received resembled punishment. Most observers claimed that the prisoners were provided with an abundance of food but that the quality left much to be desired. Few San Quentin visitors actually sampled the food, and they were better off not doing so. A reporter for the Alta California tasted it and made the following observation: "Yesterday I examined the codfish more carefully, and found most of it really very bad. It tastes bitter, and appears rotted." According to one source, meat supplied to the prison arrived "in a most revolting condition, being blue, green and putrid!" The quantity of meat per inmate was small, with beans and bread serving as the main filler. The bread had an interesting consistency that could be rolled up into a ball and thrown against a wall, where it would stick like putty. Prison authorities often purchased vermin-infested flour. Not surprisingly, there are numerous reports of sickness caused by bad food, and the prison physician complained often to prison inspection committees. By the late 1860s an improvement occurred with the introduction of vegetables, mush, and tea. 

Guards hired by Estell were poor in quality, but that was hardly surprising since the pay was low and the hours long. In 1855, a legislative investigation into the management of San Quentin revealed some rather noteworthy items. Among them was the report that Lieutenant of the Guard John Gray appeared to be "drunk about two-thirds" of the time. One witness observed that he had "seen Gray so drunk he could not walk straight." Another testified that he "never saw him sober." Some of the prisoners were given free drinks by Gray in one of several bars situated on or near the prison reservation. "Why, [the guards asked], should these unfortunates, deprived of their liberty, be also deprived of the staff of life?" Captain of the Guard J. N. Thompson received sexual favors from female inmates—whether they freely consented is not known. He apparently placed several women in the overseer's house and visited them frequently. Thompson preferred the company of "Scotch" Mary Ann Wilson, and "it was well understood by all the guards that he had free sexual
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Lieutenant Gray also frequented the “house” to while away the hours drinking and consorting with Dolores Martinez. There was no attempt to keep these escapades secret from Estell, who seemed to condone the bacchanalian bouts.

Numerous complaints against Estell forced the legislature to conduct many investigations into his handling of San Quentin. Some men who worked for or dealt with him spoke out before the California legislative committees. One businessman stated: “I have had some business transactions with General Estill; I have sued the company, and have suits now pending against him. I dislike Estill very much, and believed him to be a dishonest man...” Others complained that he allowed convicts to visit San Rafael and San Francisco and used them to do work around his house in San Francisco. One women testified that Estell accepted $195 in payment for intercourse with her.”

Private meters received special privileges ranging from not being locked up in a cell to being allowed to shop in San Rafael and Corte Madera. Some inmates were seen fishing in the bay with no guards. Thomas McFarland Foley, an educated Englishman confined to San Quentin for manslaughter, was one of the more conspicuous of those who received special favors from Estell. Shortly after entering the prison, he became a close confidant of Estell and was given a gun and allowed to guard other prisoners. He also had access to a safe where Estell kept money. After a short stay, Foley left with the safe, leaving a promissory note. This seemed to be typical of Estell’s management of San Quentin. Alfred Taliaferro, speaking of the private-contract system of leasing inmates, probably stated the situation best: “I do not think the prisoners are safe in private hands, nor that it can ever be made so; I am opposed to the practice of farming out the convicts...”

Poor administration, harsh treatment, substandard food, and drunken guards encouraged inmates to attempt escapes. Escapes began with the inception of San Quentin, when twenty of sixty prisoners broke out of the Waban in 1852. During the first ten years, no less than five hundred forty-seven inmates made good their escape. The construction of the “Stones” and the wall did not deter this movement to any significant degree. Several inmates escaped from the “Stones” in 1854, before the cement had hardened. Many more fled in open revolts against the guards. Forty-two prisoners escaped in June, 1859, twenty-three in June 1860, and another twenty-eight in July. Summer seemed to be the most popular time for breakouts. Some prisoners fled while being marched to meals, when they could easily scramble into the chaparral; summer fog often helped them to make good their escape into the trees and brush surrounding the prison and on toward Mount Tamalpais and the Pacific Ocean. Equally important, Point San Quentin jutted out into San Francisco Bay, which made it possible for adventurous prisoners to climb.
aboard passing ships bound for San Francisco. Many of the revolts, which often involved ships used to ferry bricks from the San Quentin brickyards to San Francisco, ended in the wounding or death of inmates. Yet escapes and revolts continued, and, considering the qualities of the guards, it is little wonder that so many prisoners managed to escape.  

By 1865, official prison reports reveal a significant change in the management of San Quentin. The state had regained control of the prison from the contractor and introduced new techniques. A full-time prison physician cared for the sick and prisoners injured by the lash. Striped uniforms were introduced, not for the comfort of the inmates but to separate them from the guards. Rigid discipline brought about a decline in breakouts. Only 4.2 prisoners escaped per year after 1865, a dramatic change from the first fifteen years, when the average reached 47.2 per year. The introduction of lock-step marching and enforced silence at all times helped to change the atmosphere in San Quentin, as did new surroundings furnished by the state legislature. Two newly appointed prison directors watched over the construction of two three-story cell blocks with a capacity of 396 cells. A few years later a four-story building for workshops reached completion, along with a new floor on the “Stones” to help alleviate overcrowding. Even with the new buildings, however, too many prisoners were crammed into limited space. Legislators looking for votes in their home districts refused to allocate enough money to house prisoners adequately. By 1873, nine hundred thirty-one inmates were housed in four hundred forty-four cells. Even after the construction of Folsom prison in 1880 and the transfer of hundreds of prisoners, there was not enough space for all the inmates. Yet throughout the entire period, San Quentin officials bragged about maintaining a cost-efficient system commensurate with that of other prisons in the United States. Although cost efficiency seemed to be a major criterion for measuring the success of prison management in the nineteenth century, it did not take into consideration the real needs of the inmates— but few citizens accepted their complaints as legitimate.

San Quentin in 1880, after twenty-nine years of turmoil and contro-
Printing, Publishing, and Ancillary Trades:  
A Checklist of Manuscript and Archival Holdings  
in the Edward C. Kemble Collections on  
Western Printing & Publishing,  
California Historical Society Library, San Francisco  
Compiled by Glenn E. Humphreys, Curator of the Kemble Collections

From time to time California History publishes a bibliographic guide to a special collection as a special service. Because the following checklist is extraordinarily long, we are publishing it in this issue in lieu of the usual reviews and California Checklist.

The Kemble Collections offer remarkable documentation of the field of Western printing and publishing. Assembled by George Laban Harding, whose life-long interest in printing history began as a student at Harvard University’s Graduate School of Business, the Collections developed a Western focus when Mr. Harding settled on the West Coast. His exhaustive accumulation of books, periodicals, and ephemera, as well as original material of all kinds, became part of the CHS Library in 1963, since which time the holdings of both the Library and the Kemble Collections have more than doubled. The Kemble Collections thus contain manuscript and archival material that supplement and enhance the holdings of the CHS Library generally. Many of the more than 3,000 collections in the CHS Library’s Department of Manuscripts and Archives deal with printing, publishing, and ancillary trades, and they contain financial records, inventories, business correspondence, and the personal journals of California craftsmen. This checklist, therefore, is a compilation of the holdings of original material from both the Department of Manuscripts and Archives and the Kemble Collections on Western Printing & Publishing of the CHS Library.

The checklist includes both nineteenth- and twentieth-century collections, beginning with the *Alta California* account book (1849-1850), which contains the financial records of the first substantial job-printing office in the state, and ending with the archives of The Somerville’s (1948-1983), which include financial records, correspondence, work dockets, and employee records of this San Francisco printing firm. The collections range in size from a single letter written by Columbus Bartlett, a San Francisco printer, to the more than 450 boxes of the Taylor & Taylor Archives, one of the largest and most comprehensive assemblages anywhere in the world concerned with the history of a single printing firm. The checklist contains manuscript collections about printing, publishing, book organizations, type founding, paper making, bookselling, librarianship, and book collecting. It does not include, however, materials such as the James King of William letterbook, which was written before he became a newspaper editor and publisher and is about his career in banking. A large number of the collections document the careers of California printers; among the most notable collections about printing are the O’Meara & Painter account book, the James Weld Towne papers, and the Lehmann Lithography Company records. The subjects of publishing and book organizations are strongly represented by the Jerome A. Hart papers, the American West Publishing Company Archives, and the records of the Ina Coolbrith Circle. There are extensive holdings related to type founding and paper making, such as the Nelson C. Hawks papers, the A. Foreman & Son account book, the records of Blake, Moffitt & Towne, and the Pioneer Pulp Company records. The checklist also includes the records of nineteenth-century booksellers, librarians, and book collectors, such as Epes Ellery of the Antiquarian Bookstore, Alfred Whitaker of the Mercantile Library Association, and Isaiah Lees and Ralph Kirkham, both private collectors.

The entries in the checklist are arranged alphabetically by the formal title of the collection. The subheadings identify the types of material in the collection, the dates covered, the size of the collection, a descriptive guide notation, and the call number. Size categories are described by four notations: folder, volume, box (15.5” x 10” x 5”), and record storage box (15.5” x 12.5” x 10.5”). If a descriptive guide is available for the collection, it is noted in the subheadings.

The body of each entry contains a brief description of the collection, which usually begins with a short discussion of the company or individual. The materials in the processed and the smaller, unprocessed collections are then listed in as much detail as possible. It was not practical, however, to list all materials in several large, unprocessed collections, such as Britton & Rey, Lithographers. Some of the collections were previously described by Diana Lachatanere in her *Preliminary Listing of the San Francisco Manuscript Collections in the Library of the California Historical Society* (San Francisco: California Historical Society Library, 1980). The entries for those collections in the present checklist are based upon the earlier descriptions, but in most cases they have been expanded.

Glenn E. Humphreys
American West Publishing Company
Archives, 1965-1975. 9 record storage boxes and 3 five-drawer file cabinets. Unprocessed.
Beginning in 1965, George Pfeiffer III developed what became the American West Publishing Company into one of the most respected companies in the field of Western Americana. In addition to the magazine, American West, Pfeiffer published such books as California: An Illustrated History by T.H. Watkins, High Road to Promotory by George Kraus, and David Lavender's Nothing Seemed Impossible. The Archives of the American West Publishing Company are among the larger collections in the Kemble Collections. They contain correspondence, invoices, account sheets, salesmen's memos, ephemera, and agreements with distributors. Also present are the production folders for the books that the company published. The folders contain such information as production costs, promotional mailings, production schedules, correspondence, and contracts.

Antioch Ledger
Records, 1871-1875. 1 folder. MS. 3439
In December 1870, nine months after the Antioch Ledger was established, Joshua P. Abbott purchased a half-interest in the newspaper. By 1873 he was the sole proprietor. He continued to edit and publish the Ledger until 1881, when he leased it to C.H. Smith. This collection consists of correspondence that Abbott received from type founders and advertisers, such as Painter & Company; Sherman & Hyde; and S.M. Pettingill, Newspaper Advertising Agent, New York.

Argonaut Publishing Company (1877-1958)
Records, 1880-1905. 2 volumes. MS. 64
The Argonaut, founded by Frank M. Pixley and Frederick M. Somers, was a weekly San Francisco magazine, which focused on social news and literature. It enjoyed its greatest popularity while edited by Jerome A. Hart, who was Associate Editor from 1880 to 1891 and Editor from 1891 to 1907. The Argonaut records consist of two volumes. The first volume (6 pp.) is a transfer journal (August 1880—January 1902), which records the changes in stock ownership. Laid in are “Treasurer’s Annual Statements” for the years ending 31 May 1894-1895, 1902-1905; annual reports of the president and treasurer (31 May 1893); proxies (1894-1895); and gain & loss reports (1894-1895, 1901—1902). The second volume (107 pp.) records the dividends paid by the company (1881-1893).

Bancroft, Albert Little (1841-1914)
Papers, 1866-1913. 1½ boxes. MS. 123
In 1859 Albert L. Bancroft joined his brother Hubert Howe Bancroft in San Francisco and became a partner in the elder Bancroft’s book and stationery firm, H.H. Bancroft Company, which was renamed A.L. Bancroft & Company in 1869. The Bancroft papers consist of fifteen personal account books, two diaries, and five notebooks of genealogical material. The account books contain Bancroft’s personal and household expenses (1866-1885), and although the documents do not include financial records of A.L. Bancroft & Company, they do include his earnings and shares in the firm. In addition the collection includes sheets with annual business summaries of A.L. Bancroft & Company (1879-1882). The two diaries contain notes about hunting and camping trips (1873-1876, 1880). Bancroft compiled five notebooks about his family and a “Card String of Events,” which contains a chronology and genealogy of the Bancroft family. There is also a folder of miscellaneous correspondence, personal papers, and receipts (1860-1913).

Bartlett, Columbus
Letter, 1853. 1 folder. Z3. B70
Columbus Bartlett was the brother of Washington Bartlett, who was a pioneer printer and governor of California. Columbus Bartlett, in partnership with Washington Bartlett and a third brother, C. Julian Bartlett, was involved in several printing offices and publishing ventures, such as the San Francisco Eve.
In 1868 Blake, Robbins & Company was formed by the merger of the two leading paper-supply houses in San Francisco (Blake & Moffitt and Charles F. Robbins & Company), and the most successful printing firm in the city (Towne & Bacon). The four original partners were: Francis Blake, James Moffitt, Charles F. Robbins, and James W. Towne, the last of whom served as East Coast representative of the firm. When Robbins died in 1884, the three remaining partners incorporated under the name of Blake, Moffitt & Towne, which firm continues today, although the name was changed to Unisource Corporation in 1985. The collection includes the records of Blake, Robbins & Company as well as those of Blake, Moffitt & Towne. Included in the collection are: 15 cashbooks (January 1876-October 1884); 2 daily cash debit and credit summaries (June 1880-July 1882); 5 petty cashbooks and bank account books (petty cash: December 1880-June 1884, January 1887-January 1891; bank accounts: January 1881-June 1884, the Towne & Bacon office, and the Blake, Towne & Company offices); 2 journals (August 1883-December 1884); 13 general and country ledgers with indexes (January 1876-August 1893); 2 trial balance volumes (January 1878-December 1878, January 1883-December 1884); 3 personal account ledgers (August 1883-December 1884, September 1886-December 1888, January 1889-August 1891); 1 freight overcharges (October 1877-May 1884). Also included are a series of cancelled checks (January 1884-February 1884, August, November-December 1892). As the leading San Francisco paper merchant, the firm’s records include every major printer, bookbinder, stationer, and type founder in the Bay Area, such as A.L. Bancroft & Company; James H. Barry; H.S. Crocker & Company; Winterburn & Company; Althof & Bahls; A. Buswell & Company; Cunningham, Curtiss & Welch; Fayot, Upham & Company; Painter & Company; and Palmer & Rey, among others. The firm also had “country” customers in towns throughout California and the neighboring states (including Willows, Marysville, Salinas, Santa Barbara, and Needles, California; Tacoma, Washington; Hawthorne, Nevada; Astoria, Oregon).

**Britton & Rey, Lithographers**

Records, 1901-1928. 10 record storage boxes.

MS. 3592 (unprocessed)

Britton & Rey Company, founded by Joseph Britton and Jacques J. Rey, was one of the most respected and longest-lived lithographic printing firms in San Francisco. In addition to printing, the partners were involved in other business activities, such as plumbing, and, especially after Valentine J.A. Rey joined the firm in 1901, real estate. This collection concerns Britton & Rey, Lithographers; and Britton & Rey Company, Realtors. Materials about the lithography firm include: financial records (auditors’ reports, profit-and-loss statements, lists of outstanding accounts, analysis of the departments in the company, tax records, a “private ledger” [1914], operating costs and sales reports, payroll sheets, and bank statements; [1901-1928]); printing contracts; equipment purchase and lease agreements; correspondence; bylaws of the firm (1901); minutes of stockholders’ meetings (1901-1911); and minutes of annual and special meetings (1908-1928).

**Brown, Beriah**

Papers, 1863-1865. 1 folder.

MS. 2406

This collection consists of the papers generated by a disagreement between George Guthrie, the proprietor of the San Francisco Daily Republic, and several other men, including Samuel Brannan. Guthrie asserted that he had had a contract with Brannan and the others, who were seeking public office, to print 10,000 extra copies of the Republic for four days (29 & 30 August, 1 & 2 September 1863). In October 1863 Guthrie sold the newspaper to Beriah Brown, who changed the name of the newspaper to the Democratic Press and sued Brannan for $800, which was the cost of the 40,000 newspapers. The collection documents the lawsuit through 13 April 1865 when one of the defendants asked that the case be dropped because Brown was disloyal to the government. Two days later when the news of the assassination of Abraham Lincoln reached San Francisco, an outraged mob, representing people who had long been angered by Brown’s pro-South editorials, wrecked the office of the Democratic Press. Brown quickly left the area. In June 1864 Brown’s partner, William S. Moss, began publishing the newspaper again, but changed its name to the Examiner.

**Burgess, William Hubert**

(1825?-1893) Papers, 1850-1893. ½ box.

Descriptive guide.

V. MS. 10

William Hubert Burgess was a nineteenth-century artist, lithographer, and teacher in San Francisco. In addition to correspondence the Burgess papers contain a five-page article about lithography and chromolithography in which he describes the preparation of the stones and the process of adding colors.

**Butler, Benjamin Franklin**

Papers, 1853-1891. 1 folder.

Descriptive guide.

MS. 275

Benjamin Franklin Butler was one of the earliest lithographers and booksellers in San Francisco. Working with the leading California artists of the time, such as Edward Jump and the Nahl Brothers, Butler was noted for his city views and mining scenes. The collection includes the partnership agreement between John H. Gihon and Butler to establish a company to engage in book-selling, bookbinding, publishing, and lithography (1853); a lease between Gihon and Butler and Samuel Brannan for a shop on Montgomery Street (1853); a receipt from James Walker for engraved bonds for the Republic of Nicaragua (1856); and a letter from George H. Baker negotiating the purchase of...
Butler's lithographic shop (1857). Also present is a thirteen-page reminiscence by Butler's wife, Harriet Hitchcock Butler. The narrative deals with her voyage from New York to San Francisco, the 1851 San Francisco fire, her husband's business concerns, and her life after his death.

Cohen, Alfred A. (1829-1887)
Catalog, n.d. 1 folder.
MS. 410
The collection consists of the 107-page catalog of the personal library of Alfred A. Cohen, who was a prominent Bay Area attorney with railroad and ferry interests. Cohen formed an extensive collection of books, which was cataloged in 1873, and then recataloged in the early twentieth century (ca. 1916). According to the appraisal in the catalog, the total value of Cohen's library was $6,063. It was a gentleman's library and included such works as, Facsimile of Ancient Charters in the British Museum (1873), Shakespeare's Works (1802), George Vancouver's Voyage of Discovery (1790-1795), and H.H. Bancroft's Works (1882-1890).

Cooper, Elias, M.D. (1822-1862)
Papers, 1844-1862. 10 boxes.
Descriptive guide.
MS. 458
In 1855 Dr. Cooper arrived in San Francisco and was soon recognized as one of the leading physicians in the city. In 1858 he founded the Medical Department of the University of the Pacific and two years later published the first issue of the Medical Press, which was the organ of the school. It was respected for its technical papers, editorials, and book reviews. The journal was absorbed by the Pacific Medical & Surgical Journal in 1865. The collection contains the list of subscriptions and exchanges for the Medical Press (1860-1862); a scrapbook listing "Prominent Persons" on the Pacific Coast from Peru to Oregon with lists of agents for other periodicals; and the invoices from Towne & Bacon and the Bay City Printing Office, which were the printers of the journal. The other materials in the collection document Cooper's career and consist of his lectures, case reports, account books, patient records, and correspondence.

Cummings, Melbourne W.
Oral history. 1 volume, 27 pp.; 2 cassettes.
CT 42.1-2
On 13 June 1977 Elede Hall interviewed Melbourne Wesley Cummings, the Chairman of the Board of the Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, which was founded in Massachusetts in 1942 by Cummings and his partner, Lew Addison Cummings. In the interview Cummings reminisces about the founding of the firm and discusses the development of the company and the opening of the California branch in 1968. Although the company has a trade division, it is known primarily for publishing textbooks.

Edwards Publishing Company
Papers, 1893-1906. 1 folder.
MS. 1359
The Edwards Publishing Company, which was formed by Mr. & Mrs. Frank G. Edwards, published a number of land-title periodicals, including Edwards' Abstract From Records (San Francisco, daily); Edwards' Transcript of Records (Alameda County, daily); Breviate of Records (Marin County, weekly); and Edwards' Criterion (monthly). The collection includes subscription records, correspondence, office-rent receipts, and the firm's orders for type from Palmer & Rey, the San Francisco type founder.

Ellery, Epes (1830-1894)
Papers, 1852-1885. 3 folders.
Descriptive guide.
MS. 649A
MS. 2336
In 1852 Epes Ellery, who had been trained as a bookbinder in Boston, sailed aboard his father's ship to California. In 1854 in San Francisco he established the Antiquarian Book Store, which became known for its carefully selected stock, especially of used books. Ellery closed his bookstore in 1864. The collection documents Ellery's voyage to California and his career as a bookseller in San Francisco. While aboard the Barque Gallego on his voyage from New York, Ellery kept a journal (August 1852-October 1852; 59 pp.). At the end of the volume are seven pages of personal and professional notes, including "receipts" for edge gilding, colors, and acids used by bookbinders. Also present is Ellery's letterbook (November 1853-July 1863; 207 pp.) which contains the outgoing correspondence of Charles Woolley, a periodical and newspaper dealer, and Ellery, who purchased Woolley's business and changed the emphasis from periodicals to books. The letterbook illustrates not only the kinds of books Ellery was selling, but also sheds light on many aspects of the book trade, such as cash discounts, payment of orders, transportation problems, and insurance costs. Also included are personal and professional papers, such as U.S. Post Office receipts, a state and county license to conduct business, and letterheads, as well as materials relating to Ellery's later career in mining.

Ewer, Ferdinand C. (1816-1883)
Diary, 1826-1860. 1 volume.
Descriptive guide.
V. MS. 21
In the introduction to this 322-page volume (22 May 1861), Ewer states that he began keeping a journal on 28 June 1848 and that this "more permanent volume" contains the entries from the original diary. In addition to copying the original entries, Ewer tipped in materials, such as letters and pieces of ephemera. This revised volume, then, covers Ewer's early years on the East Coast, his education at Harvard, his voyage to the West Coast in 1849, and his activities in California from 1849 to 1860 when he moved to New York. Ewer's career in journalism began in 1842 when he edited and published a four-page newspaper titled "The Highschooler," which was in manuscript, although the masthead was printed. Ewer continued his career as a journalist in California; he describes his early days on the staff of the Pacific News and his rise to Editor-in-Charge on 1 January 1850. By April 1851 he had left the News, and from then until December 1853 he was associated with a series of newspapers, including the Sacramento Transcript, San Francisco Sunday Dis-
patch, Alta California, San Francisco Times & Transcript, and San Francisco Prices Current. In December 1853 William Brooks approached Ewer about editing a literary monthly, The Pioneer, which became a notable literary magazine. As editor of The Pioneer Ewer published articles by many California authors, including Louise Clapp (“Dame Shirley”), George Derby (“John Phoenix”), and John S. Hittell. For most of the publications mentioned above, Ewer made diary entries that describe his work, associates, and working conditions. Throughout the volumes are original sketches of San Francisco, Stockton, and Yosemite Valley.

Fairfield, Frederick Pease Papers, 1931-1932. 1 folder. MS. 3024
The Frederick Pease Fairfield papers contain a 74-page scrapbook diary of Fairfield who was the publisher of the San Jose Post. In the diary Fairfield writes about the difficulties he faces publishing a newspaper in the Great Depression, his efforts to sell the business, his relationship with the new owners, and his life in San Jose and Oakland. Pasted in are letters, postcards, and photographs.

Andrew Foreman was a master type founder who learned his trade at the Miller & Richard foundry in Edinburgh. In 1866 he came to San Francisco to set up William Faulkner’s California Type Foundry. Foreman remained with Faulkner until 1880, when he and his son, Andrew Foreman, Jr., established A. Foreman & Son, type foundry. The company was destroyed in the 1906 Earthquake and Fire. This 443-page account book is in two sections. The first section is arranged by month and lists the firm’s income by source (usually the company name), and its expenditures on, for example, rent, materials (galleys, mallets, “old metal”), and labor. The second part is organized by customer names and includes the leading printers of late nineteenth-century San Francisco, such as H.S. Crocker & Company, Hicks-Judd, the Edward C. Hughes Company, and C.A. Murdock & Company. Other large accounts include San Francisco newspapers, such as the Bulletin, Call, Examiner, and La Voce del Popolo, and other type foundries, such as the Pacific States Type Foundry, and Painter & Company. Accompanying the account book are miscellaneous invoices and correspondence, including an 1893 letter about discounts offered by the “syndicate,” a bank book, and copies of the papers relating to the estate of Andrew Foreman, Sr. Francis-Valentine Company Records, 1896-1897. 1 folder. Z3 .F46
By 1896 the Francis-Valentine Company, which was also known as the Commercial Steam Printing House, had been in business for more than forty years. In the 1860s the principals in the business advertised the firm, with its twenty presses, as the largest printing establishment in the state. This collection consists of an 18-page volume which contains the firm’s bylaws (1896), minutes of the board of directors’ meetings (1896, 1897), organization papers (1896), and minutes of a stockholders’ meeting (1896). Accompanying the collection are miscellaneous ephemera and a photograph (ca. 1891) of the staff of the Francis-Valentine Company.

Gordon, David Everett (1832?–1913) Letters, 1855–1856. 1 folder. MS. 369A
David E. Gordon was closely associated with several newspapers in northern California, most notably the Trinity Journal (1856–). The six letters in this collection cover the establishment of the Trinity Journal by Henry J. Seaman and Gordon, who eventually became the sole proprietor. In the letters, which were written to his brother, Gordon discusses the difficulties he faced as a printer, his life in Weaverville, and events in California.

Hale, Reuben Brooks (1869-1950) Papers, 1925-1933. 11 boxes. MS. 912
Reuben B. Hale was president of the Hale Brothers Realty Company and the Panama Realty Company, and vice president of the Hale Brothers Stores. In 1925 he became a director of the San Francisco Shipping News Company, which printed and published an advertising newspaper. The Hale papers contain the articles of incorporation and bylaws, policy statements, and correspondence of the San Francisco Shipping News Company. This material is part of a larger collection which concerns Hale’s position with the Panama-Pacific International Exposition Company.

George Harding was one of the preeminent book collectors and printing historians in the twentieth century. Although he chose a business career with Pacific Telephone, he had a life-long interest in printing. After settling on the West Coast he became interested in Western printing history; in 1934 his definitive biography of Agustin Zamorano was published. Before his death in 1976 he amassed a remarkable collection of books, periodicals, ephemera, and archival and manuscript material that today forms the core of the Kemble Collections. This manuscript collection documents Harding’s activities as a book collector and printing historian. Approximately half of the collection consists of correspondence with booksellers (such as Glen & Muir Dawson, Philip Duschnes, and Herman Cohen), printers (such as Greg Anderson and Roger Levenson), librarians (such as Lawrence C. Wroth and Lawrence Clark Powell), and fellow collectors and historians (such as David Pottinger, Ray Nash, and Maurice Annenberg). The other part of the collection consists of research notes for his publications including A Census of California Spanish Imprints (1933), Don Agustin V. Zamorano (1934), Tahitian Imprints (1950), and A Copybook From the Hand of Agustin V. Zamorano (1974).
Oral history. 1 cassette.

CT 37.1

The cassette records George L. Harding's lecture about Agustín V. Zamorano, the first printer in California. The lecture was given before the Zamorano Club in 1973.

Oral history. 3 cassettes.

CT 37.3-5

On 17 September 1976 the California Historical Society held a memorial program to honor George L. Harding for his accomplishments in printing and publishing. The speakers that evening included Dr. Albert Shumate, Roger Levenson, Roby Wentz, and Dean Anderson. The evening was recorded on three cassettes.

Hawks, Nelson Crocker (1840-1929)
Papers, 1855-1896. 1½ record storage boxes.

V. F856.1 .H30-35, 40

Although his name is not well known, Nelson C. Hawks was the developer of the American point system of printing type, which instituted one of the most important changes in American printing in the nineteenth century: standardization of type measurement. The collection documents Hawks's career as a printer, publisher, type founder, and printers' supplies dealer. The bulk of the collection consists of fifteen diaries, which Hawks began while living in Delfield, Wisconsin, and continued after he moved to San Francisco in 1874, where he founded the Pacific Type Foundry as a branch of the Chicago Type Foundry (Marder, Luse & Co.). The diaries provide detailed descriptions of his personal and business affairs (January 1855-August 1856, January 1862-December 1863, April 1868-December 1880, April 1882-March 1896). Completing the diaries are two letterbooks with tissue copies of Hawks's business correspondence (June 1875-September 1876 [500 pp.]; and February 1882-January 1883, February 1884-March 1885 [approximately 475 pp.]). A third letterbook contains letters written to Hawks by the principals of Marder, Luse & Company (February 1876-December 1881). Hawks also compiled two scrapbooks. The first scrapbook consists of ephemera that he printed while in Wisconsin, and the second contains newspaper clippings about his activities on the Pacific Coast (manager of the Pacific Type Foundry, publisher of the Pacific Specimen, developer of the American point system, and partner in Hawks & Shattuck, printers' supplies). Also included is a volume in which is recorded the production and distribution of an iron composing stand that Hawks patented in November 1869. Accompanying the collection is one of three known copies of Explanation of the Point System of Printing Type, which Hawks published in an edition of 500 copies in 1918. In the pamphlet he explains his role in developing the American point system.

Hearst, William Randolph (1863-1951)
Papers, 1931-1942. 2 folders.

MS. 978

Beginning with the San Francisco Examiner in 1887, William Randolph Hearst built a publishing empire that came to include a string of newspapers and magazines. The Hearst papers contain correspondence to and from Hearst regarding the Examiner, the New York Evening Herald, and the Chicago Herald. Also included are typescripts of public policy positions taken by Hearst and an eight-page typescript of anecdotes about him.

Henderson, Alexander B.
Correspondence, 1888-1893. 1 folder.

MS. 973

Alexander B. Henderson worked for several local newspapers, including the Chronicle, Examiner, and Call. In 1887, when William Randolph Hearst became the publisher of the Examiner, he promoted Henderson to the position of Managing Editor. In this position Henderson worked closely with Hearst to transform the Examiner from a small, deficit-ridden newspaper into one that labelled itself the "Monarch of the Dailies." Nearly half of the letters in the collection are from Hearst and contain his instructions for managing the newspaper.

H.S. Crocker & Company (1856-)
Records, 1866-1901. 1 folder.

MS. 487

In 1871 Henry S. Crocker and his partner, John D. Yost, opened the San Francisco branch of H.S. Crocker & Company, which eventually became larger than the original office in Sacramento. This collection includes a 66-page volume with a roster of names of employees from 1887 to 1901, which includes the date hired, wages per month or week with the amount in code, and the date the employee left or was discharged. At the back of the volume is a list of payments to various charities. Also included in the collection are payment agreements between H.S. Crocker & Company and the Central Pacific Railroad Company and a photocopy of an advertising contract be-
 tween the two firms. Complementing this collection is the Mary Ives Crocker collection (MS. 3593), which documents the private life of Henry J. Crocker, the late nineteenth-century president of H.S. Crocker & Company.

**Hunt, Haywood (1888-1974)**

Papers, 1903-1969. 6 boxes. Unprocessed.

Haywood Hunt was one of San Francisco’s master printers. In 1915 he settled in San Francisco where he was associated with Kennedy-ten Bosch Company until 1940, when he established his own printing office. His firm, known as Hunt Towers, was a center for the local printing trade. The bulk of the collection falls into three divisions. One portion consists of letters dealing with *The Southern Amateur*, a journal Hunt edited and published in Greenwood, North Carolina; and his efforts to find employment with printing firms (1903-1910, 1915). During these years he was a tramp printer until he settled in San Francisco in 1915. The next major portion of the collection consists of business correspondence (1925-1929). Many of the letters concern the Pacific Coast Society of Printing House Craftsmen Clubs. Hunt was a charter member of the Society and was instrumental in its founding. The correspondence relates to the organization and activities of local clubs in the West, the preparations for annual conventions, the International Association of Printing House Craftsmen, a tribute to John Henry Nash, and the Henry Lewis Bullen exhibit at the Typographic Library and Museum in New Jersey. Correspondents include Horace A. Sykes, Charles A. Whitmore, Signor Sivertson, A.B. McCallister, C. Raiff Miller, Henry Bullen, and members and officers of local craftsmen clubs. The third portion of the collection contains business records (incoming and outgoing invoices, 1957, 1967-1968); a book of price estimates for jobs (1943-1952, incomplete); and miscellaneous correspondence of his printing business. Also included are his personal papers. Complementing the collection are a box and a half of photographs of Hunt and Hunt Towers, and his periodical library, which is now housed in the Kemble Collections.

**Ina Coolbrith Circle (1919-)**

Records, 1921-1969. 4 boxes. Descriptive guide. MS. 457

In 1919 the Ina Coolbrith Circle, which was named in honor of the first poet laureate of California, was established to study the history and literature of California. The Club, which continues today, sponsors lectures, poetry readings, and musical programs; and it maintains a library. The history of the group is well documented in this collection, which includes: correspondence (1921-1969); newsletters and announcements of meetings, (1927-1962); financial reports (1942-1962); a ledger (1931-1939, 1941-1951); a meeting register (1943-1944, 1950-1958); a checklist of books in the library (n.d.); legal papers and constitutions; a scrapbook which contains newspaper clippings, (1943-1958) and minutes of meetings (1941-1944); a second scrapbook of newspaper clippings (1921-1956); and a scrapbook of member-related ephemera, which includes a parmelian print of Ina Coolbrith by Ansel Adams.

**Inland Press**

Records, 1915-1930. 1 folder. Z3 .115

By 1915 the Inland Press of Sacramento had been established by Tom Frankland, Charles E. May, and Joseph S. Williams, who had worked together at Joseph M. Anderson Company, printers. The collection consists of correspondence Frankland and Williams received in the early years of the firm. Also included are layouts and samples of their work.

**John Howell—Books (1912-1984)**

Guest Register, 1915. 1 box. Unprocessed.

Established by John Howell in 1912, John Howell—Books became the leading bookstore in San Francisco. Under the guidance of John's son Warren, it achieved an international reputation for its fine stock. In 1915 John Howell commissioned Bernard Mayeck to design a cottage at the Panama-Pacific International Exposition to house his exhibit of books, early printing, bindings, illuminated manuscripts, and autographs. At the Fair Howell asked each visitor to sign the guest register, which was a two-volume dummy of *Arias the Libran: A Romance of the Primitive Church* (New York: D. Appleton and Company, 1914). The signatures include those of authors, printers, collectors, and booksellers who were attracted to the exhibit as well as those of the general public. Also included in the collection are nine cuts, which were used in the firm’s publications and letterheads. In addition the collection contains genealogical materials about the Howell family, and presentation copies of local authors’ books.

**Kemble, Edward C. (1828-1886)**

Letter, 188... 1 folder. Unprocessed.

Edward C. Kemble was a printer with the first newspaper in San Francisco, the *California Star*; the publisher of the first daily newspaper in California, the *Alta California*; and on 25 December 1858 he had published "The History of California Newspapers" in the Sacramento Union. This collection contains a letter in which Kemble explains his reaction to the discovery of gold in 1848 and why he advised his readers "not to get excited about the prospect of gold."

**The Kemble Club**

Papers, 1934-1935. 1 folder. Z3 K2

In the Fall of 1934 a group of men formed The Kemble Club "in order to provide a more perfect union of congenial souls interested in the arts of the book and the history of the West..." Members included Charles Camp, Thomas Cowles, George Ezra Dane, John Johnk, George L. Harding, Walton Kennedy, David Newberry, Harold Seeger, Douglas Watson, George Lyman, and Edwin Grabhorn. The papers consist of postcard announcements of the monthly dinner meetings, lists of the names of members who attended the meetings, the Club’s statement of purpose, and a piece of ephemera published by the Club: *Crow (Cornix Cinerea)* by Edward C. Kemble (1934).
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Kester, Frank (1888-1981)
Papers, 1888-1980. 1 box and 3 record storage boxes.
MS. 3588

Beginning in 1901 with the Elm, his school newspaper, Frank Kester was associated with newspapers in northern California for more than sixty years. Kester is best-remembered as the Marine Editor of the Oakland Tribune from 1921 to 1942. After leaving the Tribune he worked as a reporter, columnist, and editor on newspapers from Gilroy to Redding. The Kester papers contain an eighteen-folder typescript autobiography that describes aspects of his career and travels. In addition, the collection includes typescripts of many of his columns on the Mother Lode area and the coast of California. The papers are complemented by a large collection of photographs.

Kirkham, Ralph W.
Catalog, n.d. 1 folder.
MS. 1897

Ralph W. Kirkham formed a large collection of books, which was described by Flora Haines Apponyi in The Libraries of California (San Francisco: A. L. Bancroft & Company, 1878). According to Apponyi the library contained “an unusually fine collection on military subjects.” The Kirkham manuscript collection consists of a 70-page catalog of the library, which is arranged by twenty-two subjects, including a miscellaneous section of sixteenth-, seventeenth-, and eighteenth-century books. Accompanying the catalog is a letter from Apponyi concerning Kirkham’s purchase of her book.

Knowland, Joseph R. (1873-1966)
Papers, 1889-1961. 16 boxes.
Descriptive guide.
MS. 3154

In 1915 Joseph Knowland purchased the Oakland Tribune, and under his leadership it became the leading newspaper in the East Bay. The Knowland papers contain a rough-draft autobiography (1961); files about the Tribune, which include a confidential report about Bay Area readers of afternoon newspapers (n.d.); and materials issued by organizations such as the Associated Press Association, the International Typographical Union, and the San Francisco-Oakland Newspaper Publishers’ Association. The bulk of the collection concerns the development of Oakland, the California State Parks Commission, and the California Centennial Celebration.

San Francisco Law Journal
Records, 1877-1878. 1 folder.
MS. 1874A

On 1 September 1877 William T. Baggett and F.A. Scofield published the first issue of the San Francisco Law Journal, a weekly periodical that contained full reports and abstracts of the decisions of the California Supreme Court and the United States District and Circuit courts. Baggett arranged the subscription list of the journal by location, and this collection contains the volume (September 1877-August 1878; 31 pp.) that records the names and addresses of subscribers who lived in San Francisco.

Lees, Isaiah W. (1830-1902)
Catalog, n.d. 1 folder.
MS. 1252

Isaiah W. Lees was a long-time San Francisco policeman who rose to the position of Chief of Police in 1897. He formed a large library, which was notable for its Western Americana and local imprints. The Lees manuscript collection consists of his catalog of “Pacific Coast Books” (121 pp.). The entries, which include author, title, place of publication, date, and often the size and the number of pages, are arranged alphabetically by main entry. Magazines, poetry, and songs are listed separately at the back of the volume.

Lehmann Lithograph Company
Records. 1 record storage box.
Unprocessed.

The Lehmann Lithograph Company was established by Adolph Lehmann, and under his leadership it became one of the most successful lithography firms in San Francisco. Lehmann specialized in the designing and printing of labels. The collection contains microfilm copies of the company’s financial records and artwork of the house artists. Accompanying the microfilm records is a large collection of the firm’s labels.

Lewers, John (1822-1862)
Papers, 1857-1862. ½ box.
Unprocessed.

John Lewers immigrated from Ireland to the United States in 1837. While in New York and Louisville he learned the printing trade, which he pursued when he came to California in 1857. Lewers worked for several newspapers in Sacramento and was a member of the local union, No. 46. The Lewers’ papers consist of more than seventy letters, with an accompanying typescript, written by members of the Lewers family, most notably by John Lewers to his mother. In his letters he describes his life in Sacramento and visits to other members of his family in California.

Loy, William E. (1847-1906)
Papers, 1873, 1900-1904. 2 folders.
Z3 L21

In 1877 William Loy moved to California where he reported on the printing trade for the American Newspaper Reporter & Printers’ Gazette (New York). Throughout his career Loy wrote about the contemporary printing trade and the history of printing in California for several journals, most notably Paper World and The Inland Printer. While working as a correspondent Loy was active as a printer, editor, type founder, and printers’ supplies dealer and was associated with the Chico Enterprise, the Pacific Type Foundry, Tatum & Bowen, the Pacific States Type Foundry, and the American Type Founders Company, among other companies. The largest portion of the collection consists of correspondence to and from Loy. Accompanying the letters are invoices, a payment contract, and advertisements (1901-1904) concerned with Loy’s activities. Also included is an inventory of materials in his printing office in Villisca, Iowa; genealogical information; and miscellaneous ephemera. The collection also contains a checklist of the items in Loy’s library, which is now in the Kemble Collections.
McDevitt, William
Trial transcript, 1916. 1 volume. MS. 1340
William McDevitt operated bookstores on Sutter and Fillmore streets in San Francisco for more than forty years. Most of the stock was general used books, which has been described as "not only dusty, [but] they were higgledy-piggledy piled up all over the place, by the tens of thousands." In addition to selling books, McDevitt was politically active both as a member of the Socialist Party and as an election commissioner while James Rolph was Mayor of San Francisco. Several days before the 1916 Preparedness Day Parade, in which several people were killed by a bomb, McDevitt made a speech protesting the parade. This action angered members of the Chamber of Commerce, who brought charges against him and asked Mayor Rolph to remove McDevitt from his position as an election commissioner. This collection consists of the transcript of the informal trial that was held before Mayor Rolph.

McGlashan, John
Letter, 1857. 1 folder. Unprocessed.
John McGlashan was a pioneer San Francisco bookseller. This collection contains a letter that he wrote to the G. & C. Merriam Company in Springfield, Massachusetts, in which he discusses the condition of the book trade in San Francisco.

Mackay Printing Service
Records, ca. 1952-1957. 6 record storage boxes. Unprocessed.
Robert D. Mackay has been a printer with offices in downtown San Francisco for more than forty years. The records of the Mackay Printing Service consist of more than 1,000 work docket cards from the 1950s, samples of the firm's work, and invoices the company received from suppliers such as the Commercial Paper Corporation, the California Typesetting Company, and the Golden Gate Photo-Engraving Company.

Mackenzie & Harris Company (1915-)
Mackenzie & Harris, established as the Monotype Composition Company in 1915 and continued as Mackenzie-Harris Corporation since 1975, was (and still is) the leading composition company and type foundry on the Pacific Coast. The business was formed by George Mackenzie after the close of the Panama-Pacific International Exposition where he had worked at the Lanston Monotype exhibit. In 1924 Carroll Harris joined the firm and two years later they changed the name to Mackenzie & Harris. Mackenzie and Harris built an international reputation for the quality of their work and their leadership in stocking new typefaces. The Mackenzie & Harris archives consist of financial records (ledgers, 1947-1965; journals, 1943-1965; transfer records, 1971-1974; payroll records, 1949-1970); bylaws of the Monotype Composition Company, 27 March 1924; three volumes of stock certificates, 1924-1969; and three volumes of minutes of stockholders' meetings, 1924-1965.

Mercantile Library Association
Records, 1874, 1876-1877. 2 volumes. MS. 1444
MS. 3261
In 1853 when the Mercantile Library Association was founded the framers of its constitution stated that the organization's objectives were "the establishment of a library and Reading Room; the collection of a Cabinet, Scientific Apparatus, Works of Art, and other literary and scientific purposes." The focus of the organization was on the Library and by 1876, under the guidance of Alfred E. Whittaker, it had become one of the finest libraries in San Francisco. The library was at its zenith in 1876; by January 1906 it had been merged with the Mechanics' Institute Library, and three months later it was destroyed in the Earthquake and Fire. The first volume in the collection is the "Librarian's Reports, Order of Business, Misc. Matters," (January 1876-January 1877, 73 pp.) which was prepared by Whittaker. The volume includes the library accounts, circulation reports, purchases from booksellers (such as B. Quaritch in London, G.P. Putnam's Sons in New York, and LeCount Brothers in San Francisco), orders to bookbinders (such as Bartling & Kimball in San Francisco), and reports from the librarian on miscellaneous matters. The second volume is a record of subscriptions to the Association's catalog (1874), which is a dictionary catalog of 36,000 volumes in the library. The 47-page subscription list includes a record of the number and kind of binding the catalogs received from the binder in March and April 1874.

Meyerfeldt, Albert
Records, 1906. 1 folder. MS. 3634
Like most San Francisco printers, Meyerfeldt's printing office was situated in downtown San Francisco (414 Pine Street); it was destroyed in the 1906 Earthquake and Fire. After the disaster Meyerfeldt prepared an inventory of the material that was lost (printing presses, type, stock, office furniture. . . .), the total appraised value of which was $8,405. Also included in the collection are two passes to enter San Francisco after the Earthquake and Fire and a permit issued to Meyerfeldt to open his safe. He recovered quickly from the disaster and opened a temporary office in Oakland until he could move into a new location on Market Street in San Francisco.

Michels, Ella Sterling (1853-1934)
Papers, 1870-1934. 13 boxes. Descriptive guide. MS. 1470
The collection consists of the papers of Ella Sterling Michels, best-known as the author of The Story of the Files: A Review of Californian Writers and Literature (1893) which originally appeared serially in the Wasp and was later expanded and published in book form under the auspices of the World's Fair Commission of California (1893). In addition to The Story of the Files she wrote Literary California (1918) and The Story of a Forty-Niners Daughter (1934), her autobiography. In June 1913 she and George Hamlin Fitch organized the California Literature Society to study the work of California writers. The Society held
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monthly meetings at which members or
guest speakers presented a talk on
some aspect of California literature.
Members included Harr Wagner, J.C.
Rowell, Zoeth Fldridge, Charles Mur-
dock, and many less well-known San
Franciscans. The collection includes pro-
grams of the meetings, which are an-
notated by the secretary; announcements
of the meetings; and ephemera associ-
ated with the Society. The collection
also includes two volumes with in-
formation about the children's library
of the Ark-adian Brothers and Sisters, an
organization that Mighels established
to promote her ideas about the raising
of children. In 1910 she began collecting
books for the library, which, by 1916,
was housed in William McDevitt's book-
store. The two volumes contain reports
from the librarians of the group, circu-
lation records, and a checklist of titles in
the library (1917). A large portion of
the collection consists of Mighels' cor-
respondence (1870-1934) and her diaries
(1904-1927), which give her point of
view about her many literary activities
and her relationships with publishers,
printers, and booksellers, such as Wag-
ner, Murdock, John J. Newbigin, and
Nathaniel Anderson. The life of the
self-styled "link between the Gold Rush
days and the twentieth century's brave
new world" is well documented in the
collection which, in addition to the
above-mentioned material, includes
several manuscripts.

Murdock, Charles A. (1841-1928)
Papers, 1868-1926. 1 folder and 1
scrapbook.
MS. 1528
Scrapbook 1

From 1867 until he retired in 1915
Charles Murdock was the finest com-
mmercial printer in San Francisco. The
beginning and the end of Murdock's
career are documented in this col-
collection, which includes the articles of
incorporation between Murdock and
Matthew D. Carr, and the dissolution
agreement between Murdock and R.
Kirkham Blair. Also present is an order
for printing from the San Francisco
Public Library (1884) and an itemized
bill for the printing necessary to pro-
mote the lectures of Ralph Waldo Em-
erson, who visited California in 1871.
The collection also contains miscellane-
ous personal papers related to his terms
as a San Francisco supervisor. Accom-
ppanying the manuscript collection is a
scrapbook that contains samples of his
work; "Records of C.A. Murdock &
Company," which lists gross receipts
(1867-1915), profits (1867-1893), and re-
marks (1867-1893); a list of employees
(1886); notes about the firm's finances
(1893, 1900); newspaper articles by or
about Murdock; photographs; sketches
of San Francisco and other locations;
and miscellaneous ephemera.

Murdock, Margaret (1894-1985)
Oral history. 1 volume, 32 pp.; 2 cassettes.
CT 38.1-2
Margaret Murdock, daughter of the
distinguished printer Charles Murdock,
was a volunteer in the Kemble Col-
collections from 1972 to 1983. Many of the
names of the local printers, publishers,
and booksellers were familiar to her
and in the oral history she recalls their
connection to her father. She also
discusses other aspects of her father's life
and reminisces about her own life at
the University of California, Berkeley.

O'Meara & Painter
Records, 1857-1859. 1 volume.
V. F856.1 .02

The San Francisco printing firm of
O'Meara & Painter was formed by two
pioneer printers, John O'Meara (1827-
1860) and Jerome B. Painter (1827-1883).
O'Meara, who had worked for the New
York Tribune, arrived in San Francisco in
1850 and worked for several local news-
papers; while Painter was a partner in the
first commercial printing office in San
Francisco: Jobson, Sterett & Painter,
which was destroyed by fire in 1851.
By 1854 the two printers had formed
O'Meara & Painter, job printers at 132
Clay Street. The firm was successful,
especially after O'Meara was elected
State Printer in September 1857. After
O'Meara's death in 1860, Painter formed
Painter & Company, which became one of
the leading types foundries in Cali-
fornia. The printing firm's account book
(July 1857-November 1859; 182 pp.)
records the number of items printed,
type of item, account name, price
charged, and payment received. At the
back of the volume is the weekly "Bill
of Hands," January 1858-December
1858, February 1859-November 1859.
The "Bill of Hands" lists the names of
the employees and their weekly wage.

Paul, Almarin Brooks
Reminiscences, 1823-1883. 1 volume.
Descriptive guide
MS. 3010

In 1849 Almarin Paul caught Gold Fever
and came to California. After four years
in the mines he moved to San Francisco
where he devoted most of his energies
to serving as a correspondent for the St.
Louis Herald and writing, under the
name "Cosmos," for the Evening Bul-
elin. In the fall of 1856 Paul, W.H.
"Caxton" Rhodes, E. Connor, Wash-
ington Bartlett, and C.J. Bartlett formed
Paul & Company, which took over the
publication of the True California (26
May 1856-31 May 1857). In 1857 Paul
moved to Washoe, Nevada to pursue a
career in mining. In this 92-page remi-
iniscence Paul discusses his youth and
his activities in California.

Paul Elder & Company
Records, 1900-1968. 1 folder.
Z3 .E25

Paul Elder (1872-1948) was well-known
as a publisher and bookseller of fine
books. His shop, which was designed
by Bernard Maybeck, was as fine as his
stock. This collection includes a 1933
letter promoting Dr. H.A. van Torchi-
an's History of the Mission Santa Cruz.
Also present are reproductions of the
firm's Articles of Incorporation (1903),
Certificate of Increase of Capital Stock
(1911), Certificate to Wind Up and Dis-
solve (1945), Certificate of Dissolution
(1945), Articles of Incorporation (1948),
Amendment of Articles of Incorporation
(1948), Address of Principal Office (1949),
Amendment of Articles of Incorporation
(1968), and a financial statement (1909).
Accompanying the collection are miscel-
naneous ephemera.

Pioneer Pulp Company
Records, 1885-1906. 2 boxes.
MS. 1704
MS. 2182

In May 1885 Egbert Judson; Anthony
Chabot; Albert Dibblee; James Gould;
and Allen, George, and Edwin Towle of the Towle Brothers Company incorporated the Ppier Pulp Company to "carry on and conduct the business of making, and dealing in paper, paper pulp, and all products of paper or paper pulp." The company was located at Towles Station, Placer County, which was the headquarters of the Towle Brothers Company, a lumber business. The collection consists of the firm's daybook (March 1895-April 1903); cashbook (September 1888-August 1903); journal (September 1888-July 1903); and ledger (September 1888-October 1903). Also included are legal documents of the firm, such as the partnership agreement (1885); an inventory of materials at the mill (1888); and a land purchase agreement with the Central Pacific Railroad Company (1886).

Reiniger, Novella
Papers, 1968. 1 folder.
MS. 2050
On 5 January 1968 Local 18 of the Mailers' Union called for a strike against the San Francisco Newspaper Printing Company, which published the Chronicle and the Examiner. The mailers' strike was supported by Chronicle and Examiner employees who were members of other unions, such as the International Typographical Union, Web Pressmen's Union, and the Paper Handler's Union. The collection consists of a 59-page typescript of a booklet for union members. Included in the booklet is a union fact-sheet on the strike; instructions for picketing; an interview with Dick Meister, the labor correspondent of the Chronicle; and printed statements by columnists such as Herb Caen and Art Hoppe. Also included are copies of Strike Bulletin, nos. 1-10, 12, 14-17, 19-20.

Rose, Roderick
Oral history. 1 cassette.
CT 39
On 19 October 1976 Elede Hall interviewed Roderick Rose, the Chairman of the Board of the Bancroft-Whitney Company, the well-known San Francisco publisher of legal texts. The Bancroft-Whitney Company was formed in 1886 by the merger of the law department of A.L. Bancroft & Company and the publishing business of Sumner Whitney. In the interview Rose discusses the early history of the Bancroft-Whitney Company and his association with the firm, which began in 1929.

Santa Clara Shakespeare Club
Records, 1922-1929. 1 folder.
MS. 1899
The Santa Clara Shakespeare Club records consist of a 149-page volume containing the constitution of the Club, a list of members and meetings (1922-1929), minutes of the meetings (1923-1929), newspaper clippings, and annual reports (1923-1927). The Club, which was formed to study the works of Shakespeare, sponsored papers and book reviews, and was involved with local high schools.

Schwabacher, Frank
Papers, 1911-1954. 1 folder.
MS. 1924
The collection consists of a four-page reminiscence of the Crown Paper Company by Frank Schwabacher, whose father, Sigmund Schwabacher, was one of the firm's founders in 1889. The reminiscence focuses on the paper mill in Florissant, California. Also included is a forty-eight-page typed report titled, "Plan of Management for Certain Holdings of the Florissant Pulp and Paper Company," (1911). The report is accompanied by a map of the Truckee Tract, which was owned by the paper company. After several mergers, the Crown Paper Company became part of the Crown Zellerbach Corporation.

Shinn, Milicent Washburn (1858-1940)
Papers, 1880-1925. 3 folders.
Descriptive guide.
MS. 1960
In 1883 when Anton Roman revived the Overland Monthly he hired Milicent Washburn Shinn as the editor. She continued as the editor of the magazine through many difficult years until 1894 when she began doctoral work in the Psychology Department of the University of California, Berkeley, where she was the first woman to receive a Ph.D. The Shinn collection consists of three folders of business and personal papers. The largest portion of the collection contains the correspondence Shinn received while she was editor of the Overland Monthly. Correspondents include Ina Coolbrith, Charles Warren Stoddard, Helen Hunt Jackson, and Ernest Peixotto, among others. The second folder contains correspondence Shinn received while investigating the Lick Observatory, which was the subject of an article she wrote for the Overland Monthly. The third folder contains family papers.

Smalley, Carl J.
Papers, 1913-1965. 2 folders.
Unprocessed.
Carl J. Smalley was a publishers' representative who was based in California, but whose territory included the Western United States. He represented such East Coast publishers as E.P. Dutton & Company and the D. Van Nostrand Company, but more importantly Smalley represented nearly every major Western university press as well as local publishers such as John Howell—Books and the California Historical Society. The collection includes financial records (balance sheets, profit & loss statements, and commission reports for 1945, 1956-1957, 1959-1960, 1962-1965); names of bookstores in his territory; and correspondence (1931-1965). Also included are materials from his colleagues, such as Ellis K. Baker's order book (1931-1932), which is a salesman's dummy of the 1930 Random House edition of Candida and a report of Margaret and Walker Frese's editorial trip (26 March-4 May 1946; 16 pp., incomplete).

Society of California Pioneers (1850-)
Records, 1927. 1 folder.
MS. 2020
In March 1927 an inventory and appraisal was made of the collections of the Society of California Pioneers. This collection consists of the resulting 81-page inventory, which includes books, manuscripts, negatives and photographs, lithographs, paintings, newspapers, and "relics" among other categories. Most items were appraised individually, but materials such as stock certificates were appraised collectively.

The Somervilles (1946-1983)
Unprocessed.
In October 1946 Mr. & Mrs. Bill Somerville purchased the Scammon Printing Company and for thirty-seven years the Somervilles (the company name from 1949 to 1983) was one of the finest medium-sized printing offices in San Francisco. Among the firm's large accounts were Swensons, Pan Am, and phone companies across the United States. The Somervilles Archives include financial records (accounts payable, bank-deposit records, invoices, work docketts, and employee records [1975–1981]). Also present are twelve folders of correspondence and the firm's mailing list. Accompanying the business records of the company are the supply and reference books the Somervilles used to run the business, such as type specimen books, paper sample books, equipment catalogs, and machinery manuals. Also present are scrapbooks and several boxes of samples of work produced by the firm. The firm closed in 1983.

Sowers, Roy Vernon
Oral history. 1 cassette.
CT45
In April 1958 Robert McCollister interviewed Roy Vernon Sowers, who was a rare-book and print dealer. In the interview he discusses his career and the roles that librarians and private collectors play in the book world.

Taylor & Taylor (1896–1961)
Taylor & Taylor Archives Room.
The Taylor & Taylor Archives is one of the largest and most comprehensive assemblages of materials in the world concerned with the history of a single printing firm. The collection comprises records of the four printing firms with the Taylor name: E.D. Taylor Company (1896–1898); Stanley-Taylor & Company (1898–1911); Taylor, Nash & Taylor (1911–1915); and Taylor & Taylor (1915–1961). The records for the early years of the firm (1896–1911) include financial records, advertising materials, historical documents (such as an account of the destruction of the plant in the 1906 Earthquake and Fire), awards, sales records, and specimens of the firm's work. The majority of the records covers the years 1915 to 1961. After the flamboyant John Henry Nash's departure in 1915, the Taylor brothers, Edward DeWitt and Henry Huntly Taylor, re-named the company Taylor & Taylor. The Taylors established an international reputation for their elegant typefaces and spare use of ornamentation. Although the work of Edward and Henry Taylor and later James Welsh Elliott, employed by the firm in 1931, was known for a high quality usually associated with fine presses, theirs was a commercial firm. After the death of Henry Taylor in 1937 and the retirement of Edward Taylor in 1946, Mr. Elliott purchased the firm and maintained its fine traditions for fifteen years before giving way to the onslaught of offset lithography. The records for the years 1915 to 1961 include advertising and promotional materials; awards; bibliographies of the firm's work; correspondence; detailed accounting records; historical documents (such as a handwritten account of the firm's financial history from 1901 to 1916 by Henry Taylor); printer's devices (such as the 1915 Taylor & Taylor device that was designed by Frederic W. Goudy); legal papers; information about the company library; manuals of style; plant inventories and layouts (including a scale model of the plant at 246 First Street); production records, charts, and schedules; type catalogs; work docketts; and specimens of the firm's work. Also included in the collection are personal papers of the owners; oral history interviews with James Welsh Elliott and George L. Harding in which they discuss the Taylor brothers; an account of the firm by Mr. Elliott which traces both the early history of Taylor & Taylor and Mr. Elliott's association with the firm beginning in 1931; and photographs of the plants.

Thomas, Edgar P.
Oral history. 1 volume, 17 pp. Unprocessed.
In 1947 Edgar Thomas began his career in educational publishing with Prentice-Hall as a field representative in southern California. He rose to the position of President of the Educational Book Division before he left Prentice-Hall to become the Director of the School Division of Addison-Wesley in California in 1973. In the interview, which was conducted by Elede Hall, Thomas discusses his career and the changes that occurred in educational publishing between 1947 and 1980.

Tommasini, Amadeo R. "Tommy"
Oral history. 1 volume, 41 pp., 2 cassettes. CT 36.1.2
Amadeo R. Tommasini was one of the most respected and most colorful printers and book designers in the United States. He was foreman and designer at the University of California Press. Although both he and the books he designed were frequently honored, he is perhaps best-remembered as the designer of the United Nations Charter. On 15 April 1977 Elede Hall interviewed Tommasini about his printing career. In the interview he discusses his youth, his involvement in the Printing House Craftsman Clubs, and his work at the UC Press, especially the printing of the UN Charter.

Towne, James Weld (1829–1917).
Papers, 1856–1873. V. F856.1 T662
James Weld Towne was one of the most typographically and financially successful printers in nineteenth-century San Francisco. He was a partner in two well-known printing firms (Whitton, Towne & Company, and Towne & Bacon, which became Bacon & Company) before becoming the East Coast representative of Blake, Robbins & Company (the forerunner of Blake, Moffitt & Towne, paper merchant). This collection contains four letterbooks that include nearly all the outgoing correspondence generated by James Towne (and his partners) from June 1856 to January 1873. Correspondents range from suppliers, such as type founders and paper makers, to customers of the firm and include: Phelps & Dalton, the California Type Foundry, S.D. Warren & Company, Blake & Moffitt, the San Francisco Bulletin, the San Francisco Call, the Santa Cruz Sentinel, Wells Fargo, H.H. Bancroft, Marvin & Hitchcock, and William Filmer, among others. Accompanying the letterbooks are the letters Towne received in 1865, which can be matched with the outgoing correspondence. Also
included are incoming invoices and the weekly "workman's bills" for 1865, which contain the employee's name, work completed, and wages paid. Complementing this collection is the private library of Arthur W. Towne, grandson of James W. Towne. The Towne Library includes more than 160 books and other materials printed by James Towne; many of the items are printer's copies.

Union Lithograph Company
Records, 1894–1908. 2 boxes.
Unprocessed.

The Union Lithograph Company was organized in 1890, and, although destroyed in the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, it was rebuilt and continued to operate independently until it was purchased by H.S. Crocker & Company in 1922. The collection includes a 151-page volume that contains the bylaws of the firm, which were adopted at the first stockholders' meeting in March 1894, and minutes of the stockholders' meetings from March 1894 to September 1906. Tipped in are the annual financial statements for the years ending March 1895, 1897, and 1899, and balance sheets for June 1894 and March 1896. Also present is a lease agreement (1897) between the Union Lithograph Company and Cunningham, Curtiss & Welch, and a contract (1894) between the Union Lithograph Company and William Carter to purchase his share of materials at the firm (machinery, stones, and fixtures). Accompanying the collection are two undated books of standard cuts available from the firm, and a 60-page scrapbook and a folder, which contain samples of the firm's work.

Vincent, Joshua S. (1823?–1891)
Papers, 1846–1847. ½ box.
Descriptive guide.
V. MS. 12

Joshua S. Vincent was one of thirty-three printers in Col. Stevenson's First Regiment of New York Volunteers who sailed for California aboard the Susan Drew on 26 September 1846. Although they did not have a printing press on the ship, the printers did issue a newspaper, "The Fish Market Reporter," which was published by Obadiah Dolphin, Zachariah Flounder, Zephomiah Blackfish, and Ezekiel Sheepshead. In his diary (July 1846–November 1847; 100 pages) Vincent describes the newspaper and quotes passages from it. After leaving the Regiment, Vincent worked briefly for the Alta California in 1849, but he eventually left California and pursued his career as a printer and publisher in the Midwest, most notably in Linn Creek, Missouri, where he was the proprietor of the Reveille.

Wagner, Morris
Oral history. 1 volume, 19 pp.
CT 40.1-2

From 1936, when her father Harr Wagner died, until 1946, when she sold the company, Morris Wagner was the president of the Harr Wagner Publishing Company, which specialized in educational books and magazines. The company was formed by Harr Wagner in 1916 when he purchased the publishing branch of the Whitaker, Ray-Wiggin Company. In the oral history Miss Wagner discusses her parents, Harr & Madge Morris Wagner, and the years she was president of the Harr Wagner Publishing Company. Many of the books that she and her partner, Immagade Richards, wrote and published were accepted as California State textbooks. The company is now part of the School Division of the Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Ward, J. Francis
Papers, 1960. 2 folders.
Descriptive guide.
MS. 1064

In 1891 the California Ink Company was established by members of the Union Oil Company, most notably Wallace Hardison who wanted to expand the interests of the company by making printers' ink. Through a series of mergers and different owners the California Ink Company became an important West Coast manufacturer of printers' ink and rollers. Although the main plant was in Berkeley, the firm maintained a branch in San Francisco and in 1960 commissioned J. Francis Ward to design a building at San Bruno Avenue and 15th Street in San Francisco. In May 1961 the company moved into the new building, which housed an ink service center, roller-making plant, and a graphic arts warehouse. This collection consists of a seventy-seven page volume of the architectural specifications for the new building and eleven blueprint sheets of the building. [Recently George Matsumoto & Associates, successors to J. Francis Ward Company, donated a collection of the firm's architectural tracings and specifications (1918–1973). In this period the firm's clients included Bancroft-Whitney Company; Pollock Paper; South-Western Publishing Company; Harcourt, Brace & Company; and Milprint, Inc.]

Weil, Isabel
Records, 1946. 1 folder.
Unprocessed.

In 1942 two employees of Paul Elder, Gladys E. Seymour and Isabel Weil, opened their own bookstore, Personal Book Shop of San Francisco. Three years later the junior partner, Weil, sold her interest in the business to Seymour, who continued to sell books at 228 Montgomery Street. The collection consists of the agreement to terminate the partnership, a balance sheet, statement of income and expenses, and a method of allocating the net gain for year ending 31 January 1946.

Winchester, Jonas (1810–1887)
Papers, 1829–1879. 1 box.
MS. 2332

Jonas Winchester, who came to San Francisco in July 1849 and remained in the state until he died in Columbia in 1887, wrote long, detailed letters to his wife Susan and brother Ebenezer, in which he described his printing and publishing activities as well as life in California. Winchester worked as an editor with Ferdinand Ewer on the Pacific News, and eventually became a part-owner of the newspaper. In May 1850, while still associated with the News, he was appointed to the lucrative position of State Printer. He held this position until he resigned in March 1851. This collection consists of letters and typewritten copies of letters and diary excerpts. In addition to family members, Winchester corresponded with Peter Burnnett, Horace Greeley, and Ferdinand Ewer, among others.
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24. Ibid., pp. 74, 131–166, 238; Cook, Population of the California Indians, pp. 43, 46.


Crouchett, Byron Rumford, pp. 12–23

This article is based largely on repeated personal interviews with Assemblyman Rumford between 1979 and 1986. He gave me access to his private notes, correspondence, and his private collections of newspaper clippings and legislative sources concerning bills he sponsored during his years as well as looking back into his memory of the many incidents and battles surrounding the different bills.

I also talked with old Democrats and non-Democrats, some Oakland–Berkeley area community activists, and lobbyists of the time, each of whom worked closely with Rumford for years and who helped by recounting their observations of the era. Rumford’s maternal uncle, the late Clarence Johnson, shared his recollections of young Byron Rumford’s years in the Arizona Territory and later in Phoenix.

Scores of other civil rights and social-reform efforts by other legislators during this era, and by no means less important, are passed over here for the sake of brevity.

1. Interview with Fitzroy E. Younge at a meeting of the Sigma Phi Fraternity, Oakland, California on May 17, 1986.

2. Interviews with Ida Jackson, Beth Pierre Wilson, Carolene Hargrave, and Ruth Acty in May, 1986, in Oakland, California.


7. State of Vote at Primary and General Elections (1920, 1938, 1940, and 1946). Compiled by the Secretary of State. (Sacramento: California State Printing Office).


10. Interview with Frances Albrier, October 1983.


12. Crouchett, p. 109, and literature from Rumford’s first campaign.

13. California, State Assembly Bills (1947 Session). (Sacramento: California State Printing Office). This legislation was intended to bring California...
law into compliance with the U.S. Constitution as interpreted by the U.S. Supreme Court in Westminster School District of Orange County v. Mendez, 161 F. (2nd) 774, April 14, 1947. This ruling held the segregation of children of Mexican descent in California schools to be in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment.


20. This narrative was taken from unpublished manuscript notes prepared by Rumford for his anticipated autobiography.

21. Quoted in Daily Californian (Berkeley), March 9, 1951.

22. In interviews Rumford named himself as the author of these bills, but as they were presented in the legislature he was only one of several co-sponsors.


26. Ibid.

27. Ibid.


29. Interview with Rumford, 1983.


31. This narrative is contained in Rumford’s personal notes.


37. Rumford recalled that he and Brown reached this conclusion in a post-mortem discussion of the 1966 campaign. He repeated this point in several interviews.


39. Statement of Vote at Primary and General Election 1966. Compiled by the Secretary of State. (Sacramento: California State Printing Office, date)

40. Broussard. Broussard’s reference to Rumford’s role as a mentor is drawn in part from his own experience, since Rumford was responsible for Broussard’s first appointment as a judge.

41. Interview with Donald Henry, May 1986. Henry serves as Consultant with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing in the San Francisco Office of the California Fair Employment and Housing Commission. Henry himself was an active participant in the civil rights crusades of the 1940s, ’50s, and ’60s, provided information, statistics, perspectives cited in this paragraph.

Evans and Heron, Isla de los Angeles, pp. 24–39


4. T. Leslie Ransome, The Geology of Angel Island (University of California, Publications of the Department of Geology, I, 1894); also John A. Hussey, Fort McDowell, Angel Island, Marin and San Francisco Counties, California (mimeograph), National Park Service, Region IV, 1949, pp. 8–9. This report is the most important existing monograph on Angel Island.


6. California Department of Parks and Recreation, Angel Island State Park:


9. Galvin, p. 79.

10. Ibid., pp. 83–87.


14. Frederick William Beechey, Narrative of a Voyage to the Pacific and Beering’s Strait, (Bibliotheca Australiana, XXXV) N.Y. DeCapo [1969], p. 5.


18. Ibid.


25. Alta California, December 3, 1856.


29. Ibid., p. 57. Also, Francis J. Clausen, Angel Island, Jewel of San Francisco Bay (Menlo Park: Briarcliff Press, 1982), MARCH 1987
NOTES

54. Fort McDowell Salute, September 21, 1946.
55. Hussey, op. cit.
57. The Star President, July 6, 1956, p. 5.
58. Marshall McDonald Associates, p. 146. The Star President article, by Lt. Thomas D. Marlatt, said that in 1956 the Nike battery was Battery D. Ninth Antiaircraft Missile Battalion.
60. Institute for the Human Environment, and California Department of Parks and Recreation, Cove to Crest Trail, August 1983.

**Gumina, Kathleen Norris, pp. 40–48**

This article is based on the author’s unpublished booklength manuscript, “A Woman of Certain Importance: The Biography of Kathleen Norris.” The manuscript draws heavily on Kathleen Norris’s private letters, diaries, and memorabilia, for which I am indebted to the late Rosemary Benet Dawson. These papers are hereafter cited as Family papers.

10. Kathleen Norris papers, Bancroft Library.
11. Letter from Kathleen Norris to Mrs. Austin (Grace Heggar Lewis), January 1915. Huntington Library.
13. Norris, Noon, p. 27.
15. Ibid., p. 59.
16. Ibid.
17. Norris, Noon, p. 27.
18. Norris, Family Gathering, p. 63; Noon, p. 27.
20. Ibid., pp. 63–64.
24. There is a discrepancy in the recording of Kathleen’s birthdate. In the genealogy chart in Family Gathering and in various editions of Whose Who, Kathleen gave her birthdate as July 18, 1880. But in listing the names, ages, and birthdates of family members for the Census of 1900, her brother Joseph Thompson, Jr. gave Kathleen’s birth year as 1879. He also listed their sister Teresa’s birthdate as January 19, 1881, as did Kathleen in Family Gathering. Since both confirm Teresa’s birthdate as January 19, 1881, Kathleen must have been born on July 18, 1879, as there are not nine months between July 1880 and January 1881. Throughout this manuscript I have used 1879 as her true birth year.
26. Ibid., p. 31.
27. Letter from Kathleen Norris to Charles Gilman Norris, October 15, 1908. Family papers.
28. Letters from Kathleen Norris to Mary Moroney Marks, April 15, 1915; May 1, 1940; April 30, 1948. Family papers. Church records reveal that the Norrises were married on April 23, 1909, and not April 30, as Kathleen indicated in Family Gathering.
29. Norris, Noon, p. 28.
32. Norris, Noon, p. 53.
33. Ibid., p. 50.
34. Norris, Noon, p. 53.
35. Ibid., p. 54.

Mckanna, San Quentin,
pp. 49-54

3. See San Quentin Prison Registers, 1851-1880 (Sacramento, California State Archives).
4. Due to their close proximity, many still confuse San Quentin with Alcatraz, an ex-federal prison once housed on an island in San Francisco Bay. San Quentin, situated a short distance further north, has always been a state prison.
5. A.B.J. Hammett, Miracle Within the Walls (Corpus Christi, 1963), p. 25.
6. Jack C. Hays purchased the Waban, after it had become somewhat unseaworthy, from Williams and Meiggs sometime in late 1851. Alta California May 29, 1851, and Bill of Sale from Williams and Meiggs, (San Francisco Maritime Museum).
11. Ibid., p. 6 and Alta California July 16, 1859.
18. Alta California, July 12, 1858.
19. Alta California, July 22, 1858.
27. Ironically, San Quentin has remained overcrowded up to the present era when prison authorities are occasionally forced to pitch tents in the exercise area to accommodate the swelling ranks of prisoners. Report of the Directors of the California State Prison, 1865, in Appendix to Journals of Senate and Assembly, Sixteenth Session (Sacramento, 1866), II, p. 6, Report of Resident Director of the California State Prison, 1869, in Appendix to Journals of Senate and Assembly, Eighteenth Session (Sacramento, 1870), I, p. 9, and Biennial Report of the Board of Directors of the California State Prison, 1873, in Appendix to Journals of Senate and Assembly, Twentieth Session (Sacramento, 1874), II, pp. 7-8.
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--Publishers Weekly
$24.95
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The Chinese in California Agriculture, 1860-1910
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Chan chronicles the activities of the thousands of Chinese agricultural pioneers who worked to make California into the nation's premier agricultural state.
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Trusted by Californians since 1852.

Wells Fargo comes through.

For over 130 years we’ve come through with what Californians have needed most. We turned gold dust into hard cash. We weathered financial panics, earthquakes and fires. Through boom times and bad, we kept our word—and our customers' trust. Since those early days of the Wells Fargo stagecoach, we’ve developed one of the strongest and most innovative banking systems in the West. Now we are one of the ten largest banks in the country, so we’ll be around for a long time to come. Wells Fargo Bank.
Mary Carr Moore, American Composer

Mary Carr Moore once remarked that she had three strikes against her. She was alive. She was an American. And she was a woman.

Music was an essential ingredient in the shaping of the well-brought-up young woman of the late nineteenth century. But, by and large, it was not a field in which women were welcomed as professionals. Mary Carr Moore had both talent and ambition. Trained as a singer in San Francisco and Seattle, she eventually concentrated on composition. During her long career—most of which was spent in San Francisco and Los Angeles—she was a prolific composer of operas, orchestral and chamber music, as well as music for piano, choir, and solo voice. But she was alternately nurtured, ignored, and ridiculed by critics and colleagues.

Her music reflected the regional tone of the western United States. It affirmed the American experience and American values over European influences. It stressed melody at a time when Bartók, Schoenberg, and Copland were experimenting with dissonance. This new biography of Mary Carr Moore tells the story of an extraordinary woman and of the society and profession that did not welcome her talent or grant her the acclaim she merited.
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Pro-fair housing leaflet used in Berkeley's
campaign for municipal fair housing
ordinance in April, 1963.
COURTESY, THE BANCROFT LIBRARY
You
WILL DECIDE TUESDAY
between
Equal rights and fair play for all Berkeleyans, whatever their creed or color . . . a fair, neighborly, American way of ending discrimination in housing . . . maintaining Berkeley as a city of friendly homes and good neighbors . . .

Continuing an insulting and degrading pattern of discrimination . . . a city of tension and conflict . . . quiet but insistent segregation . . . a city of indignity and disunity.

vote FOR CITIZENS for Fair Housing
Prof. Owen Chamberlain, Rev. Edward Stovall, co-chairmen
2034 University Avenue, Berkeley

YOU CAN ERASE THAT BLOT
(Above) Red Cross workers in Oakland, 1917. In the national mobilization following the U.S. entry into World War I, it was, according to an official history of supply activities at Fort Mason, "early realized that next to the procurement of the necessary equipment, it was important to conserve that which was in use, and to repair or reclaim that which had become damaged or worn out..." The salvage operation at Fort Mason was begun with a staff of two, but by April 30, 1919, it had grown to one hundred and eighty-eight. Nonetheless, the Army was unable to keep pace with the rapid accumulation of repairable clothing. In San Francisco, two hundred and fifty Red Cross volunteers worked in a clothing repair shop which turned out up to a thousand items of woolen clothing a day, totaling about thirty thousand items by the time the shop was closed in October, 1919, due to the influenza epidemic. The repaired woolen clothing was distributed to soldiers and to needy civilians in Europe; some items were sold by the military or by the Red Cross for revenue to support war activities. Torn and unusable underwear delivered to Fort Mason was cut up and sent to naval training stations and army and artillery posts for use as wiping rags.

Appeals to the public for salvage materials were made under such slogans as "HELP Win the War! Help American Soldiers and Sailors by Saving These for the RED CROSS." Items collected by the Red Cross included metal, rubber, glass, burlap and gunny sacks, and soft leather. Throughout the American involvement in the war, Red Cross relief activities were promoted as contributing to the war effort by aiding soldiers at the front. In 1918, a poster issued by the War Council of the Red Cross proudly proclaimed, "8 million patriotic Red Cross workers have made 291,004,000 necessary articles for war purposes."

California claimed a special commitment to supply and relief efforts in support of the war. As early as January, 1916, the California House for Belgian Disabled Soldiers opened in London, directed by Julie Helen Heyneman under Red Cross auspices and funded by such prominent Californians as Mrs. William Crocker, Mrs. M.H. de Young, Mrs. Mortimer Fleischacker, and Mrs. Phoebe Hearst. In July 1917, address to the Los Angeles Chautauqua, Governor William D. Stephens pointed out that two of the "big three" civilian aides to President Wilson were Californians—Herbert Hoover, in charge of food, and William Denman, in charge of ship-building. Moreover, noted the governor, "within a half hour after President Wilson promulgated his memorable war message, there was assembled at our State Capitol upon call of your Governor, thirty-three of the foremost men and women of the state. A State Council of Defense was organized, and at once was begun the work of preparing California for war. "Come what will," he concluded, "the fires of American patriotism will be found burning just as brightly here on the Pacific coast as upon the Atlantic."
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Josiah Royce was anxious to go, to leave his native California. The young philosopher wrote William James, who had instigated his move to Harvard, that he considered "an egg in Cambridge as worth more than a brood of chickens" in Berkeley. The "chickens" of California were now providing no intellectual sustenance for him. "Californians generally, and on the whole with very good reason, regard one another with profound suspicion and contempt," he wrote. "I have now a little son, three weeks old. . . . I shall be overjoyed at the thought of bringing him up in an Eastern atmosphere." Within three years this jaundiced observer of his homeland would pen one of the sharpest interpretations of his state ever written. His history of California, a pithy volume of insight and foresight and a focus of frequent controversy, now celebrates its one hundredth anniversary.

A year after Royce's arrival at Harvard, an acquaintance in San Francisco, William W. Crane, died. Crane had signed with Houghton Mifflin of Boston to write a history of California, and his death caused the publishers to seek out Royce, now across the river in Cambridge, for the project. Royce, with no historical training and little knowledge of the subject beyond his own Californian background, undertook the book, which he foresaw as the first history of California written by a native son. He called it "a side-work, an amusement of idle hours," but he admitted that such amusements can be "pretty serious things." To another friend he acknowledged being tempted by the money, "by the affection that I should feel for the task," and by the good which might be accomplished in examining "the moral and general significance" of California history.

In the summer of 1884 he threw himself into the job. Mercifully for Royce, Hubert Howe Bancroft and his crew of writers, then in the midst of their monumental labors on the West and just then publishing the first of their California volumes, allowed him free access to their documents. In their rooms at 1538 Valencia Street in San Francisco Royce pored over collections like those of Thomas Oliver Larkin and Mariano Vallejo. He tried valiantly to be his own researcher, but in the end had to admit that "without Mr. Bancroft's documents I should have been . . . unable to find my way out of the labyrinth." In another situation he confessed, "His [Bancroft's] library is the truly original source here, and my research . . . is at this one most important place but a following of his already beaten trail." Royce befriended Bancroft and one of his writers, Henry Lebbeus Oak. Those relationships would deeply

(Insert) Josiah Royce.

Technological innovation and social adaptation enabled early Californians to dominate and exploit an overwhelming physical environment. His own childhood observations of this process provided some of the inspiration for Royce's later research and analysis of the evolution of frontier society.
influence his work and stand in curious contrast to his failure in contacting another active contemporary writer of California history, the lawyer Theodore Hittell. The fault must have lain with Hittell, a far more private man than Bancroft. But even Bancroft might have been more chary of his raw material had not Royce’s limited agenda seemed to him no competitive threat to his multi-volume work.¹

As Royce researched and wrote, his purposes expanded. Before he was through, he saw his history as nothing less than a revelation of the national character. Ultimately it might “serve the true patriot’s interest in a clear self-knowledge and in the formation of sensible ideals of national greatness.” Inasmuch as there had been wrong-doing, his writing might be an atonement for his country’s honor.

He restricted his history to ten years, 1846 to 1856, years he saw as absolutely pivotal. He himself had been born on the cusp end of this period, so that the bridges into his own contemporary world lay rather close. When he described California’s varied landscape he included his own Grass Valley childhood memories of “frowning higher mountains” and buttes “springing up like young giants.” He may have been commenting on himself rather than the state when he noted a draw-back in the healthful climate: it prompted “active people to work too steadily, to skip their holidays, and, by reason of their very enjoyment of life, to wear out their constitu- visions with overwork.”⁶

It was the conquest of California that for Royce most exposed the American character. The nation here engaged in a morally if not politically indefensible act. The Bear Flag Revolt was incited by the false rumors of John Charles Frémont, who for Royce became the butt of the moral problem. Far from justifiable, Frémont’s call to military action before the United States Navy arrived could have brought such anarchy to California that England might well have accepted overtures from the inhabitants for a protectorate. In any case, the institution of guerrilla warfare was not in the best interests of the United States either politically or morally; in it “we can date the beginning of the degradation, the ruin, and the oppression of the Californian people by our own.”⁷

The unprovoked violence, a consequence of Thomas Hart Benton’s dispatches to Frémont in the Klamath forests, became “a violation of the laws of nations, under circumstances of peculiar atrocity.”⁸ Thomas O. Larkin, not Frémont, was pursuing the proper national course, the peaceful support of a local movement for independence from Mexico, presuming eventual annexation to the United States. In these acts and in the official military conquest that followed, Royce saw the nation typically ambiguous in its desire to conquer but in its unwillingness to assume the role of conquerer. Instead it clothed its aggression, as Frémont himself had done, in the garb of peaceful intentions and conscientious duty. Royce’s own generation, beginning to wrestle with overseas markets, acquisitions like Alaska, and Chinese exclusion, was addressed in an oft-quoted digression:

It is to be hoped that this lesson, showing us as it does how much of conscience and even of personal sincerity can coexist with a minimum of effective morality in international undertakings, will some day be once more remembered; so that when our nation is another time about to serve the devil, it will do so with more frankness and will deceive itself less by half-conscious cant. For the rest, our mission in the cause of liberty is to be accomplished through a steadfast devotion to the cultivation of our own inner life, and not by going abroad as missionaries, as conquerers, or as marauders, among weaker peoples.”⁹

Between the conquest and admission, California was destined to endure “a time of doubts, of problems, of complaints, and of weariness.”¹⁰ In such moments the American nature could be at its best—moderate, self-controlled, and astute in the design of new communities. But in the Gold Rush, California was “to be morally and socially tried as no other American community ever has been tried,” and it would exhibit “both the true nobility and the true weakness of our national character.”¹¹ The nobility was seen in a polyglot population which successfully dealt with North-South dissensions; in the activities of women who injected family and religious values into a raw society; and in the average American’s “instinctive cleverness” at self-government.¹²

Royce cautioned, however, at exaggerating that “marvelous political talent.”¹³ It must be seen against
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two evidences of weakness: civic irresponsibility and the local manifestation of a diseased national feeling toward foreigners. Both of these tendencies allowed orderly, friendly life to degenerate into serious, violent disorder. Though miners in a spirit of compromise and good humor bound themselves into "little republics," their camps were so devoid of broader civic responsibility that they endured difficult years of disorder and violence.

The social fabric needed considerable mending. Royce bitterly described the cruelty, irresponsibility, and ineffectiveness of lynch law in the mines and pointed out the inconsistency of contemporaries who whined about law and order but refused to be taxed for jails. As for foreigners, Royce wrote, "You cannot build up a prosperous and peaceful community so long as you pass laws to oppress and torment a large resident class of the community." Foreigners were kept by law and vigilantism in fear and misery.

Disorder served in the end to teach social responsibility. San Francisco's fearful fires of the early 1850s preceded sounder buildings, safer docks, more organized fire departments. Over-excitement, self-absorption, extravagance, and nervous strain were in time balanced by family life and church-oriented circles. The vigilance committees of 1851 and 1856 eventually rooted out the social apathy and public carelessness which surrounded them. This trip through the valley of despond also included the Land Act of 1851 (requiring all existing titles to be confirmed by a commission, expressing "our natural meanness and love of good order in one") and all of the new state politicians like Bigler, Broderick, and Gwin ("too selfish to be wise"). In the end, however, the journey produced a living, dynamic community:

It is the State, the Social Order, that is divine. We are all but dust save as this social order gives us life. When we think it our instrument, . . . we call it sordid, degraded, corrupt, unspiritual, and ask how we may escape from it forever. But if we turn again and serve the social order, and not merely ourselves, we soon find that what we are serving is simply our own highest spiritual destiny in bodily form. It is never truly sordid or corrupt or unspiritual; it is only we that are so when we neglect our duty.17

Seldom has a history led to such lofty conclusions. California's ten formative years were not just the germ of a future, not just a parable for the American character; they were lessons in the proper ordering of human society.

His history was hardly finished when in the summer of 1886 the young college professor wrote a novel. Royce thus joined many another young academic, past and present, in trying his hand at fiction. Even that same summer Henry Adams and Adolph Bandelier were so engaged. "I think it has something to do with earning my living," Royce wrote, and he must have been thinking of his ill wife pregnant with their second child. But social purposes, though less obvious than those of a Helen Hunt Jackson or an Ignatius Donnelly, were nevertheless involved,
and he gave his story the same kind of philosophical base that he had given his history. He had learned that history “talks back,” leaves documents that must be coped with, and never “stands still to be counted.” Philosophy (and by implication its counterpart in literature) is “submissive and plastic.” The novel gives the historian new freedom, inviting him to go beyond the document where nothing “talks back” but his own imagination.\(^{18}\)

Royce had long embraced literature. As a junior at the fledgling University of California, he delivered a prize Charter Day oration on the modern novel. The future historian, he said, will place few “influences that have molded our destiny” higher in importance than current fiction. His favorite novelist then was the contemporary George Eliot, and her *Mill on the Floss*, because it exceeded *Adam Bede and Middlemarch* in its moral lesson, showed “the instructive influence a novel may exercise.”\(^{19}\)

Royce’s own novel is usually seen as an exercise or stepping-stone on his philosophical path—a study in the conflict between duty and desire, a “perspective on identity and morality.”\(^{20}\) It is far more. Royce here continued the exploration of his state’s history; it became history and fiction combined for a philosophic message. The central problem of the novel comes straight out of his *California*—whether land should be held in the traditional large holdings or should be broken into smaller parcels. The section in his history dealing with the Sacramento squatter riots of 1850 was the only portion of his book which he had extracted and expanded for a separate article in the *Overland*, and he would eventually use that essay for one of his *Studies of Good and Evil* (1898). For the novel he turned down the publisher’s suggestions of a more literary title and chose one closer to the geography and the history: *The Feud of Oakfield Creek: A Novel of California Life*.\(^{21}\)

The land in question, between Mount Diablo and the Contra Costa hills, was claimed by small squatters, including a bohemian college professor, Alf Escott (patterned in his idealism after the leader of the Sacramento squatters), and a magnate living in a Nob Hill mansion, Alonzo Eldon. They were both old Californians and had fought the Paiutes together. But they had clashed over Eldon’s desire to build a Medici-like fortune rather than be concerned, like Escott, with the common people who made such affluence possible. Ironically Eldon assuaged his conscience with a closet attachment to the teachings of Henry George, yearning for a society in which opulence like his would be impossible.

The plot is complicated and need not detain us here. The characters are varicolored, including Eldon’s son, who has jilted Escott’s daughter and married a complicated woman, Margaret; a wandering widower; and a flamboyant, corrupt newspaper editor. The action includes the Brotherhood of the Noble Rangers, squatters defending their rights under a higher law in the pattern of the Sacramento Settlers’ Association or the San Francisco vigilance committees. In the end forty settlers resist the approach of Eldon and his men, and four others die. The final scene is a virtual replay of the events in Sacramento in 1850, and they are reminiscent, too, of the Mussell Slough incident (May 11, 1880), which Frank Norris recreated in *The Octopus* (1901). For Norris, characters were victims in naturalistic traps—the surging growth of the wheat; the uncontrollable energy of the railroad—surrounded by values at flux in the surge of evolution. For Royce characters stood in moral dilemmas, facing conflicting loyalties between higher law or immediate needs, between personal or communal attachments, but the ultimate values were unshakable. Royce, like Norris, had found that a California novel, like California history, was an effective tool for a moral purpose.

The debut of Royce as California historian and novelist was anything but bright. Reviewers of both *California* and *The Feud* hit hard his wordiness and moralizing. Even an age accustomed to rhetorical excess rejected the style and the manner, and little perspective could be expected on the ideas of a freshman historian and a first novelist.

*California* took the brunt of the attack. An unsigned review in the *Overland Monthly*, for which Royce had written during his days in Berkeley and for which he held real attachment, was vicious. The book was described as “contract work, done under press,” without unity “except in its uniformity of sermonizing reproofs of Americans.” Sprinkled through the review were words like immaturity, flippancy, diffuseness, unidiomatic English, and it concluded with a patronizing nod: “defects like this . . . will naturally disappear with longer experience in writing history; and we advert to them for Professor Royce’s good.” The anonymous reviewer found the censure of Frémont and California
frontiersmen excessive and unproved. And in the end "both as literature and as history, it is, on the whole, a failure."

Shortly before in the Nation Royce had unfavorably compared Theodore Hittell’s new history with Bancroft’s emerging work, and now Royce mistakenly assumed that the Overland reviewer was the incensed Hittell. Royce revealed little resentment, however, at least in a letter to his friend and the journal’s editor, Milli-
cent Shinn. Henry Oak in the next month’s issue of the Overland firmly supported Royce’s position on Fré-
mont and affirmed the book “both as literature and as history” to be “a very perfect piece of work,” superior to anything yet done. William A. Dunning in the Political Science Quar-
terly was more guarded: “If only the excellence of the author’s literary style were at all proportionate to the
captiousness of his criticism, his book would easily take rank as a classic.”

Royce’s interest in history was now too deep to be easily deflected. The rebukes he suffered from re-
viewers led to more activity, not less. He corrected a minor detail in the California by a letter to the editor of the Overland. He contributed a series of biographies on figures in California history to Appleton’s Cyclo-
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paedia. He edited lengthy documents and letters by George H. Fitch, William Coleman, William Tecumseh Sherman, John B. Montgomery, George Bancroft and James Buchanan in a series of contributions to Century. At the same time he wrote five lengthy reviews of the emerging Bancroft and Hittell volumes. In these he championed Bancroft’s sound archival evidence and Hittell’s readability while castigating Bancroft’s failure to credit his co-authors and Hittell’s failure to profit from Bancroft’s documentary leadership.24

Royce was a fighter. His writings in this period took on the nature of an extended rebuttal to his critics, clarifying questions, and adding proofs. Frémont died in July 1890 and Royce was quick to assess the general’s life and work in an Atlantic article. Royce pronounced Frémont a “faithful knight and hero” with “winning eyes and gentle voice,” one who “possessed all the qualities of genius except ability.” He was “a creature escaped from a book, wandering about in a real world when he was made for dreamland.” Royce again charged Frémont with refusal to take the ordered and more desirable course of neutrality, conciliation, and ultimate annexation with less fighting and with fewer resentment. “General Frémont was simply not the conqueror of California. All that he did . . . was of no effect except to alienate its people.”25

Although Frémont’s death might have dashed the hope that the general would disclose anything more on the California conquest, a twelve-page, double-columned article appeared in the April 1891 Century, “edited” by Jessie Benton Frémont from the notes of her deceased husband. Here Frémont’s military actions were justified by the threat of British occupation of California. The article contended that Frémont was given the power to act in his secret instructions for the 1845 scientific expedition. When Lt. Archibald Gillespie found him on the Oregon border, the messages showed that conciliation was “no longer practicable” and in any case would have been “in conflict with our own instructions.” Frémont dismissed one part of Gillespie’s dispatches (Buchanan’s orders to Larkin) and concentrated on the other (the packet from Benton), “a trumpet,” which “made me know distinctly that at last the time had come when England must not get a foothold; that we must be first. I was to act, discreetly but positively.”26 To support his position, Frémont submitted an 1886 memorandum from George Bancroft, Secretary of the Navy in 1846, now retired. In it Bancroft denied that there had been much fear of England, but he admitted that if he had been in Frémont’s place he would have felt bound to do what he could to promote the purpose of the President, the possession of California.

In the same issue following the Frémont article, the Century printed Secretary of State Buchanan’s letter to Larkin (the Gillespie dispatch) with an editorial note by Royce. Royce pointed to this as the only official dispatch received by Frémont. Buchanan had indicated that, though the government wished no foreign control over California, still “this government has no ambitions to gratify and no desire to extend our federal system over more territory than we already possess, unless by the free and spontaneous wish of the independent people of adjoining territories.”

The Century readers were wearying of the California controversy so Royce was forced to turn to the Nation, where in May 1891 (while completing a book on philosophy, contemplating six articles on Goethe, and considering an offer to move from Harvard to Stanford) he offered his final words on the subject. He protested that George Bancroft’s comments did not change the picture; that every agent of the United States had orders to conciliate the Mexicans; that cooperation was thwarted by Frémont’s false reports and irregular warfare; and that the retired Bancroft’s letter was sprinkled with errors in memory. For further evidence Royce quoted verbatim the dispatch of Bancroft to Commodore Sloat, once more ordering conciliation. The truth was that Frémont, “lawlessly thwarted [orders] for his own glory.” “I should myself never think of attacking the Frémont legend so often, were it not so unsubstantially immortal. I shall rejoice indeed, if ever the pale ghost ceases to walk in broad daylight. The twilight regions of our historical consciousness in this country will probably never be rid of it.”27 And with these words he closed his public comment on John Charles Frémont and the history of California.

Royce’s preoccupation with the role of Frémont in the conquest had lasted for six years. In his letters during the period he vacillated between giving Frémont the benefit of the doubt and, at the other extreme, applying the “thumb-screws” to “the deceiver.”28 Something inexplicable seems to motivate Royce in his unwillingness at least to assume Frémont’s good intentions, even if he were thoroughly mistaken. Why did Royce not understand, to use his
own later words, “the art of honoring your opponent’s loyalty”? Perhaps it is enough to know that throughout his life he loved to explore differences and heartily championed the clash of minds. Even in his childhood he enjoyed frequent philosophical wranglings with his sister. And his later life would see gargantuan debates with his Harvard colleagues, Francis Abbott and William James.

In spite of its cool welcome, California was in its first century reprinted twice. In 1948 during the Gold Rush centennial, Alfred Knopf commissioned Robert Glass Cleland for an introduction, kept the new edition in print till 1961, and sold 3,000 copies (about average among its historical re-issues of that day). The reviewers this time were far more positive than the first ones had been. True, George R. Stewart and Joseph Henry Jackson cautiously reiterated the concern with balance. But Rodman Paul praised the excellence of the research and the way Royce probed ultimate significance, and Charles Barker complimented the author on his condemnation of Frémont, respect for Larkin, technological insights, and recognition of place in law and religion.

In 1970 Gibbs M. Smith chose Royce’s California as one of the first four books published by his new Peregrine Press in Santa Barbara. Since he considered it “the most insightful history of California ever written,” he was disappointed that it had sold only 3,000 copies and had gone out of print long before one of the companion volumes, The Shirley Letters. This time Earl Pomeroy wrote the introduction, a splendid assessment of Royce as historian. Reviewers unanimously praised Pomeroy’s contribution, and the book was generally accepted as a classic in the Zamorano 80 tradition.

Judged by the standards of his day or by the basic canons of scholarship, Royce as historian of California comes off rather well. For errors of detail his California is occasionally vulnerable, especially in the introduction on pre-American California, the section in which he was little concerned and for which he claimed no scholarship. In it he underestimated Indian uprisings against the missions, underplayed the Monterey stay of Commodore ap Catesby Jones in 1846, and had the Donner Party’s Reed banished on foot rather than horseback. He confused the number and nature of the prisoners taken by the Bear Flaggers. And he wholeheartedly accepted J. Tyrwhitt Brooks (“a perfectly trustworthy observer”) as a prime source for life in the mines. We now know that Brooks’s journal was a hoax, revealed fifty years afterward by his author (Henry Vizetelly), but, of course, the entire historical profession believed Brooks in Royce’s day.

Against these details must be placed the body of the work. Over and over Royce’s reverence for documentation shone clearly. “The purpose has been throughout to write from the sources,” and by sources he meant a range of newspapers, letters, and diaries. The importance of detail even led him to construct a statistical table for the members of the Constitutional Convention of 1849 showing nativity, length of residence, occupation, and age. He called his historical bent “my respect for thoroughness,” and this lay behind his enthusiasm for Bancroft’s work. Like Bancroft, Royce, too, realized the significance of oral testimony to corroborate the detail. Hence his decision to take oral histories from John and Jessie Frémont. He conducted the interviews in a highly professional manner, allowing the subjects a chance to amend, read the proofs, and offer their rebuttal. As he was writing his California he expressed to Henry Oak the anguish of the careful documentary historian: “It is fearfully hard to tell the truth in these things. Again and again I write what I think I have just learned from a document or book, and, looking again at my source, have to tear up my MS. in disgust.”

As for style, he dreamed of brevity, but, alas, often sank into verbosity. The year before his death, looking backward, he bemoaned his own profuseness by extolling a friend’s finished self control and brevity of style. The lament was astute self-criticism. In both the history and the novel Royce wrote countless sentences of twelve to fifteen lines, many of which would be infinitely clearer were they shorter. His paragraphs were sometimes interminable. Wordiness occasionally veered into pedantry; a few times he even described the provenance of a source in the text. He could be sarcastic: “Providence, again, is known to be opposed to every form of oppression; and grabbing eleven leagues of land is a great oppression. And so the worthlessness of Mexican land titles is evident.” He could argue from analogy: “If we desired to steal our neighbor’s fine horse, why should we first coax him into confinement and then scourge him with whips in his stall, to make him break his bones?” His metaphors could
be overdrawn: "The devil's instrument it actually proved to be, . . . and we have got our full share of the devil's wages for our use of it [Land Act of 1851]." He could editorialize and personalize his arguments in ways the modern historian might envy but never dream of doing.  

Yet the final stylistic impact is one of grandness, of an exciting mind caught up in the joy of intellectual pursuit. His phrasing betrays that exhilaration: "April and May are the spendthrift months of wealthy nature." 1849 is "the boyish year of California." Speculative investors "will be destroyed like flies in the autumn." When not overdrawn, his similes were apt: "California would have been ready to drop into our basket like a mellow apple." His literary allusions revealed a thoughtful breadth. The Bible was ever ready. From it he viewed the Mexican War through the Old Testament story of Ahab coveting Naboth's vineyard. Again, the population "was full of Jonahs, . . . fleeing over seas and deserts." Elsewhere were scattered wild grapes and manna and ravens in the wilderness. Thucydides and Aristotle were also there. And so were Jonathan Swift and Lewis Carroll (no less than the Boojum from The Hunting of the Snark). In all, Royce was a writer of literary merit. He was, as the Princeton critic Vincent Buranelli has written, "an artist capable of rising into great prose."  

S

Still, a hundred years have passed, and we should ask whether Royce, beyond what he meant to his own time, speaks to us now. How does he relate to more recent currents of intellectual inquiry? To begin with, his stated purpose sounds surprisingly like a dictum from the new social history: "The social condition has been throughout of more interest than the individual men, and the men themselves of more interest than their fortunes. . . ." Thus he wrote no biography of Frémont but a critique of how Frémont's actions muddied future racial relations; he related little of the life of James King of William but explored at length how King's death changed the reform consciousness of San Francisco.

Royce was an ecologist in that he conceived of life as interacting with an environment. In an address to the National Geographic Society in 1898, "A Psychological Study of the Relations of Climate and Civilization," he continued an interest, first explored in his California, in "how the physical features of the Pacific Coast may be expected to mould our national type." In part, he said, the mild climate leads to an intimacy with nature and ultimately to a habit of personal independence. It was the land and its resources, not simply political decisions, that determined patterns of legal title and settlement. Land was the base of his article on the Sacramento squatter riots and it lay at the heart of The Feud. But land for Royce went beyond a question of possession; it should lead ultimately to a developed sense of place. Thus geography fed psychology. True, in his own life he abandoned his place, his West, for the East, as Bernard de Voto would do later, rather than addressing the East from a base in the West, like Vernon Louis Parrington or Charles Shinn. But as Kevin Starr has observed, Royce, whatever his personal hegira, always understood the fundamental importance of place and rootedness in a well-ordered community.  

As a sociologist Royce recognized racial issues. His sympathetic treatment of Mexican society is not unlike that in Leonard Pitt's Decline of the Californios (although when Royce described that society as free, careless, and charming, he sounded more like the generation of Robert Glass Cleland). In the description of the Mexican lineage of Tom in The Feud Royce pursued his racial generalization into a strain of elitism which had also emerged in the history, as when he called "the better families" of the community "superior to the average Mexicans." But his enlightened attitudes on race were reflected later in his life when, against a tide of national nativism, he publicly supported free immigration.  

"Every man looked out for himself in those days," wrote Royce about the mining camps, voicing his refrain on the kind of individualism which had proved so destructive to society. Given the importance of individuality, how could one hope for community? The problem was intrinsically American, having first been formulated by de Tocqueville a century before. Royce like de Tocqueville worried about the leveling "tendency to crush the individual." This intricate tension between the individual and the group would intrigue Royce for the remainder of his life, flowering at the turn of the century in The World and the Individual. But always for him the universal came first, as it does for the child beginning with an undifferentiated world and then only slowly recognizing the specifics of
individualized milk and mother. Ultimately, however, the individual must return to the realization that he is grounded in the universal, in the one reality. For example, Royce sees the mining camps composed of atomized individuals at the child's stage of milk and mother who must someday grope for social cohesion. Such a concept thus foreshadowed his ultimate philosophy.

He likewise understood, like Robert Bellah in our day, how tricky is the concept of individualism, how important it is to isolate its elements. Bellah recognizes two aspects, the expressive and the utilitarian. Royce saw a moral and an immoral side to individualism, depending on whether it led to a strengthening or a weakening of the society. He could embrace some forms of individualism while remaining devoted to the ultimate community. The values and joys of individualism produced a tension, but finally there should come a release from that tension which would be found in social cohesion. Later in his life he claimed to be a pragmatist while refusing to give up the antithetical position of absolutism. It was as if he accepted, even courted, ambiguity as a proper ingredient in human affairs, and so he would not worry at appearing to be both individualistic and communitarian.

Knowing both a dominant mother and a talented wife, Royce was never ambiguous about the importance of women. In *The Feud* he created a woman of great strength, Margaret, whose complexity went far beyond any narrowly Victorian concept of womankind. She was fallible, struggling with moral problems such as her love for a married man, and she earned her goodness, unlike the men of the story for whom moral dilemmas were given and clear cut. It is Margaret who questions the stereotype of women as creatures of feeling and concludes, "men are the least rational beings on earth." In the history Royce clearly saw women—the catalysts of family, church, school, and local interest—as a strong counterweight to social disruption and mob disorder.

In the process of examining domestic life, marital relations, and the behavior of women, Royce thought to ask his own mother, Sarah Bayliss Royce, to write her memoirs. She responded in a book-length account of her months on the trail in 1849 and her years in Weaverville, Sacramento, and San Francisco. The manuscript illustrated for Royce how a religious steadfastness could be intensified on the plains journey, how a few lonesome families could forge a community, and how vital a role religion played in early San Francisco life. Royce, who owed so much to her, gratefully dedicated his history "To my mother, a California pioneer of 1849."

A major strain of modern sociological history is built on Max Weber's work on collective consciousness (the collective mores of Protestantism, for example, support the presuppositions of capitalism)—what the more recent historian calls mentalities. In such thinking biography is eschewed in favor of a more embracing social
entity, and Royce was on an early version of that track. He saw the struggle for order transcending the conflicting and confused voices of individuals. Vigilante justice was more than meetings and hangings; it was no less than “the confession of the past sin of the whole community” and as such held communal meaning. In The Feud it was the collective cause, the fight of Escott’s neighbors in the land dispute, that finally brought changes in individual consciousness.

Like the modern cultural anthropologist, Royce was concerned with the sources of order and disorder. Perhaps his emphasis on social order is not surprising, since he came to maturity in the 1880s, the period in which the modern historian Robert Wiebe found America’s self-directed communities faltering before centralized government and the tendency to separate by occupation rather than by community. In Royce’s mind early Californians were creating the very forms that Royce’s own society was losing, and he might in consequence have championed the founders of community in California more than he did the perpetuators of self-reliance. The builders of the commonwealth for Royce were not the entrepreneurs and businessmen, as important as they were to him, but the men and women who were bringing traditional forms to a raw, unkempt life. The new society Royce championed was a renewal not a denial of the old; ontogeny recapitulated phylogeny. And that society of close-knit community had been undermined by the frontier and was now under attack again by the modern marketplace, factory, and city.

This is not to say that Royce accepted uncritically all forms of traditional community. Groups, like individuals, could act immorally. The vigilance committee of 1856, for example, for all of its beneficial social effects, was itself no more than a “businessman’s revolution,” a group of entrepreneurs furthering its own economic interests. This head-on concept of the vigilance committee has taken a firm hold on subsequent historical interpretation.

As a part of culture Royce saw religion as a keystone. In the 1850s San Francisco viewed through the eyes of his mother included “a very goodly array of pioneer churches, supported by active and not poverty-stricken societies.” In so balancing the stereotype of the wild and licentious society, he foreshadowed the later writings of John Caughey and Kevin Starr, to name only two. Religion was not just social cement; it was society’s affirmation of the universal, the door through which individuals could exercise expressive differences while conforming to the unity of tradition.

And, worthy of the modern French Annales school of historians, Royce foresaw the modern carry-over from technology to culture, notably in underlining the social effects of mining techniques. The shovel and the pan allowed pure individualism; they precluded “secure progress in the organized life of the camps.” The cradle was an agent of social change, creating “a collection of mutually more or less independent, but inwardly united bands.” Later mining techniques “acted indirectly on society, as a check to the confusion and disorder . . . ,” finally bringing men together in companies and more complex social relations. The sluice thus became “the basis for the social life of a civilized community.”

Politics as politicians and parties did not much interest Royce. He confined that kind of California activity to a scant six pages at the end of his history. Instead he frequently delved into political theory as, for example, in extolling the political bent of the typical American or evaluating the forces at the constitutional convention. In his interpretive stance, however, he did not embrace the theoretical radicalism of his own time. He was aware of it, had once in a lecture appeared as an idealistic socialist, and in his history threw side glances at Henry George and the Populists (though never at Karl Marx). The ideas of his fellow Californian, Henry George, certainly lay behind his concerns for “the unfortunate concentration of the land in a comparatively few hands,” and it is hardly accidental that Escott in The Feud was a closet follower of Progress and Poverty.

In the arena of the newer intellectual history Royce might today face the largest number of raised eyebrows. Royce wrote in the history of ideas tradition best exemplified by Perry Miller in his monumental efforts to evoke the New England mind. It is the lumping together of such aggregates that most bothers the recent intellectual historian. He or she is leery of treatises on the national mind or even on the regional mind, preferring to examine groups like the genteel or particular phenomena like the Edenic image, regeneration, or “the hegemonic function of the jeremiad.” Historians now typically use individuals in their work as avenues to concepts like antimodernism rather than as insights into something as amorphous as the national character. As a consequence, the new history
tends to fragment the past, dissecting classes and separating currents of ideas, which Royce did only on the path to synthesis. Modernists are often more concerned with conflict, as between elites and the common man; when they synthesize, they walk closer to Royce’s footsteps. But he attempted more than a simple synthesis; rather he searched for the essential consensus at the heart of all experience.

Perhaps one best grasps large national dimensions from a marginal locus. California was hardly a center of power, but Americans in action there may have come closer to essentially American traits than the people of Washington or New York. Royce understood the importance of the local as an avenue to the universal. The idea would flower in his Philosophy of Loyalty (1908): “... if you want a great people to be strong, you must depend upon provincial loyalties to mediate between the people and their nation.” Thus diversity could embrace unity; California could prefigure the nation.

Josiah Royce loved his native California for what its story said about American values. Physically he had to leave it; intellectually he embraced its message throughout his life. How could a homeland he was so anxious to leave become so soon extolled and revered? Of course, it has been argued that Royce had to transcend California in order to become an intellectual, that he was in no sense a California philosopher, but rather a German Idealist, and that all of his significant ideas germinated elsewhere. Such a view can at best be defined as narrow. Any life is the intersection between the direction it faces and the experiences that intersect that bearing. Royce’s course was determined in California; the rest was elaboration, though admittedly extensive. All great men must intellectually outgrow the society in which they were born. Royce’s birthplace gave him an experience with a very young, immature community, an experience which he focussed and expanded in his history and novel. He came to feel that the rest of his life was a resonance from those beginnings.

As a budding philosopher Royce saw history and literature on a grand scale. Beyond his facts lay an overarching world of meaning. Even his obsession with Frémont’s role in the conquest was in the end a matter of authority and damaged race relations; the gold rush society was an early study in the search for what he would later call the beloved community. Still, through his philosophy emerged remarkable premonitions of a social science history whose canons would not capture most historians for generations. He saw mining camps as societies wrestling with technological change. He realized the importance of a sense of place, of technology as a factor in social change, of collective consciousness, of the limitations on individualism. “I have learned, as I have toiled for a while over the sources, to see in these days a process of divinely moral significance,” he wrote. Divine or otherwise, the significance he saw still speaks to those who yearn for community.
very great progress," wrote Superintendent of Schools Albert Shiels in March, 1917, "has been made in the education of our immigrant population so that they may not be a menace to the city of Los Angeles." Shiels sought to assure the members of the Board of Education that intensive mechanisms to "Americanize" immigrants were in place. Conscious of the growing ethnic diversity in the schools, Shiels and his native-born, white board feared the potential impact of unassimilated immigrants upon the city's cultural homogeneity. Shiels's letter, in effect, told the board that assimilation had become the cornerstone of school policy in dealing with immigrants prior to the United States' entrance into World War I.

This article focuses on how reformers and educators in Los Angeles city schools orchestrated early Americanization programs to meet the narrow aims of cultural hegemony and the broader needs of immigrant communities, and on the reaction of immigrant groups to the organized campaigns at conformity. By assessing the development of three programs—penny lunches, after-hour playgrounds, and home teachers—and by analyzing the conflict among volunteers, school officials, and the immigrant communities, we may gain not only a clearer picture of early educational reform and professionalization, but also a clearer understanding of both the negative and the positive motives of Progressive social control.

When Shiels wrote his letter to the board, he saw a community in transition. The city's population pushed upward toward a half million, nearly a quarter of whom were foreign born; a majority lived in an area of the city referred to as the "foreign district." The rapid rise from a small city of 50,000 in 1890 to 300,000 in 1910 turned Los Angeles into a major city; by 1930, it became the fourth largest city in the nation. In a highly charged atmosphere of change and expectation, the city's leaders hoped to turn Los Angeles into a model community. Its leaders epitomized Progressivism; by 1903 they had reorganized municipal government to include the initiative, the recall,
and the referendum, and by 1911 they took pride in six settlement houses and a successful campaign for women’s suffrage.

School leaders also demonstrated the Progressive impulse. An important part of school reform centered on social-welfare services initiated by volunteers who took up the cause of public education in Los Angeles and became the backbone of the welfare programs. Before professionalization changed the nature of volunteerism, it was the volunteers’ persistence and refusal to accept conditions as they were that changed the course of educational policy. Responding to the challenge of a large impoverished immigrant student body, volunteers intended their services to ameliorate some of the harsher conditions of urban life, uplift both the spirits and bodies of the students, and at the same time, rid immigrants of their foreignness.

The experience of one immigrant group, the Russian Molokans at Utah Street School, illustrates the manner in which the schools responded to a foreign population, and the reaction of an immigrant community to progressive methods of social control.

The Molokans, a pietistic Protestant sect caught in the web of social change, had immigrated from the Transcaucasus region of Russia in 1905. By 1910 almost 5,000 of them lived in Los Angeles. Somewhat like Eastern European Jews who fled from religious and cultural persecution, Molokans came to the United States in family groups with intentions of staying, but unlike Jews, Molokans had no secular literary tradition, and instead relied almost entirely on the scriptures for their teaching. Moreover, except for a limited reading of the Bible, they embraced oral traditions. The Molokans were pacifists. Their departure from Russia coincided with the Russo-Japanese war, when they faced conscription and harassment by Czarist officials. With no clerical hierarchy—they elected elders from the community and built no churches—their meetings or sobranes resembled Quaker meetings. Molokan communal life centered around their sobranes and ignored the general community, except when necessary. They had no foreign language press, and only a few of them were literate.6

The Utah Street Day Nursery around 1913. Progressive reformers used schools to offer a whole array of services which were intended to hasten the Americanization of immigrants. Note the two girls in traditional Molokan shawls; older girls in regular classes were not allowed to wear them.
The Molokans picked Los Angeles because the climate reminded them of their homeland. They congregated on the west side of the Los Angeles River, near lumber yards and industrial plants where they worked. Most of the men sought jobs as unskilled laborers, and those women who worked outside their homes took jobs as domestics. They lived in crowded conditions; many families shared one house. Families pooled their incomes, however, and within a few years many moved across the river, to the “flats” adjacent to Boyle Heights. Married children lived with the man’s family, and the new daughter was expected to contribute to the family’s income.

The Molokans’ foreignness had a considerable impact on their neighborhood. Most Americans who encountered them probably saw them as “strange . . . Russian peasants . . . industrious, dignified, preoccupied with their own affairs.” Their attire attracted attention in a district full of unusual dress. The men wore suits over their Russian shirts. Women and girls wore dresses with very full, long skirts, shawls covered their heads, and married women wore skull caps under their shawls. Most of the men wore beards.

The Molokan migration followed the new state compulsory education laws of 1903. A fear of the truant officer and a desire for some proficiency in English compelled Molokans to register their children at Utah Street School. The four-room schoolhouse in “the flats” had been built in 1904, about a year before the migration began. The job of teaching American speech and customs fell on the shoulders of principal Alice Cushing and her teachers. By the late teen years, there were over a thousand students of whom forty percent were Molokans.

The Molokans’ entrance into American society coincided with the practice of institutionalizing services. Taking volunteer-initiated programs out of the hands of the volunteers and putting professionals in charge represented the quintessence of the Progressives’ propensity for order. Generally middle-class, white, native-born Americans, the volunteers established programs that reflected their class and racial concerns. They viewed immigrants paternalistically, as people needing assistance in assimilating into American culture. They discouraged any tradition that might hinder or interfere with assimilation. Molokan traditions were often suspect. The girls’ shawls, for example, a symbol of purity within the Russian community, seemed a health menace. More concerned with an active louse population than with world customs, school and city health officials viewed the shawls as parasitic incubators and dictated that the girls take them off at school.

One of the earliest and most successful volunteer-initiated services, the cafeteria system, began as penny lunches. Settlement houses had provided soup kitchens along with child care facilities, and to many it seemed a natural step to bring food to needy students in the schools. Prompted by the influx of poor, immigrant children like the Molokans into the schools, teachers complained that many children went all day without nourishment and that it was difficult to teach undernourished children. Moreover, by the 1890s nutritional research in academia had supplied new information on the relationship of diet and health.

The Civic Association assumed the responsibility of feeding hungry school children with the Board of Education’s support. Joseph Scott, one of the Civic Association’s leading members, also served on the board. In 1906, the Child Study Circle and teachers at Custer Avenue School requested that the board allow them to serve lunches to their students. They also asked that the board outfit the school with a stove, a sink with running water, pots, pans, and knives. Presumably, the dishes and eating utensils had come from another source. In February, 1909, Mrs. Carrie Bryant, secretary of the School Lunch Committee of the Civic Association, requested that the board experiment with a penny lunch program at Ann Street School. She promised no further expense to the board. Nine months later, in November, the association asked the board to pay the salaries of matrons who helped with the lunches while the association continued to pay for the other services. The following year, Superintendent John H. Francis recommended that the lunch equipment at Ann Street be moved to Castelar Street with Bryant and her committee continuing to administer the lunches. No explanation exists as to why the principal at Ann Street wished to discontinue the program while the principal at Castelar Street favored it.

Thirteen months later, however, the lunch program reopened at Ann Street along with one at Macy Street.
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The penny lunches provided a hot midday meal to needy children at the cost of one cent, but children who could not afford the penny received a lunch anyway. According to Mrs. Etta Flagg, Superintendent Francis did not favor the program in 1909, but by 1914 when penny lunches were established at the Utah Street School, he agreed to manage them. Perhaps his newly found interest reflected a fear that the board intended to replace him with someone more sympathetic to social-welfare programs, rather than a genuine change of heart. By then seven schools had hot lunch programs. The cost for each school was about $80 per month, the schools supplying $20 and the Civic Association making up the difference.  

Superintendent Francis, in his 1914 Annual Report, written in part to justify his position and to counter charges made by three of the seven board members who threatened to launch a recall drive against him, proudly acknowledged that the schools expected to have nine lunch centers in operation by the end of 1915. The lunch program served the poor children who lived in the foreign district of the city. Each principal decided which children could not pay. In late 1916 the Law and Rules Committee reported raising the price to five cents or ten cents a dish and suggested that the board adopt a general policy on the sale of food on school grounds. Apparently the service had become so popular that schools located in other sections of the city wanted to participate and had requested assistance. Without a policy the board had no control over who sold food or the quality of food served.  

There is no indication that either the Civic Association or the board asked the people in each school what they thought constituted a proper lunch for their children. For instance, Molokans and Orthodox Jews kept strict dietary laws based on the Old Testament, and there is no record that the organizers of the penny lunch concerned themselves over such matters. Mexican families may have been more satisfied with the tamales sold by local vendors whose carts stood just outside the school yard than with the school lunches.  

Street vendors were a colorful addition to the city's foreign community. Harry Maidenberg reminded his classmates from Amelia Street School of Nicholas Martinez, featured in an 1895 photograph with a tub of ice cream on his head. The "old timer . . . yelling 'Hot tamal-ee-ee,' and in the summer the same old man with 'Ic-ee-ee Cre-ee-am,' you could hear him for blocks." Other vendors sold hot waffles. Maidenberg fondly remembered "the Hot Waffle Seller in his canopy buggy, selling his waffles as he sang arias from Grand Operas. He was an inspiration to the youth of that day who wondered what Grand Opera was."  

No one has accused the penny lunches of adding color to Los Angeles, but they were successful. They offered nourishing food at reasonable prices, and gradually convenience and economics persuaded the communities to accept them.
In contrast to the role of the Civic Association in organizing the penny lunches, the vacation playgrounds had closer ties to the Los Angeles settlement houses. In 1910 six settlements operated in the foreign districts; four had religious affiliations, two did not. Together they provided residents with playgrounds, plunge baths, libraries, vocational shops, health care, day nurseries, night schools, and English language lessons.

The Molokans held their religious services in the Bethlehem Settlement, but far and away the most active settlement in the city was the College Settlement. Based on a Hull House model and founded in 1894 by the Los Angeles Branch of the Association of Collegiate Alumnae, it maintained a residence in an ethnically diverse neighborhood. The director and the assistant lived on the premises in a manner similar to that of Jane Addams and her associates in Chicago’s Hull House, and they too involved themselves not only in the problems of their community but also in issues affecting the entire city. The College Settlement’s guiding light, Bessie D. Stoddard, worked for many social reforms. She solicited the city for a district nurse and in 1897 the city appointed the first one. Six years later, again under the persistent prodding of Stoddard, the Board of Education hired the first school nurse; and in 1906 Stoddard helped found the city’s Housing Commission. Stoddard and her co-workers served on many civic commissions, including probation committees of the Juvenile Court, and the commissions for housing, and visiting nurses. In 1918, Stoddard herself became a member of the Board of Education.14

In 1909 Stoddard appeared before the Board of Education in her capacity as Secretary of the Playground Commission to ask for the use of school playgrounds during the summer. Volunteers hoped that vacation playgrounds might prevent children from engaging in delinquent acts by providing supervised activities. They also wanted acceptable recreation opportunities for Los Angeles immigrant groups. Without playgrounds as alternatives, the working-class community might turn to pastimes that the new mass culture offered. To Progressives, supervised playgrounds offered the poor more acceptable activities than did nickelodeons and pool halls. Moreover, children used the facilities regardless of school policy, and un supervised playgrounds seemed an open invitation to vandals. In 1914, in response to requests from residents near Bernardo school, outside the foreign district, Superintendent Francis recommended that a playground supervisor be appointed on weekends and evenings to relieve the “unsatisfactory conditions.”15

For working parents the playgrounds represented a continuation of child-care services, but whether they retarded delinquency remains debatable.16 The Russian colony favored them, and some years later they petitioned the city for a playground to keep their older children out of mischief. The petition poig-
nantly describes their feelings as they saw their traditions eroding:

*We think that you Americans can understand us and understand how hard it is for us in some ways to adjust ourselves and our children in the life of nowadays, to keep the good that we have and to help increase both. Our children got into mischief and trouble on the street because they have no place to play. We beg you to have playgrounds open for our children.* 

U

like the penny lunch and the vacation playground, the Home Teacher program represented an all-out attempt at assimilation because it was aimed at cultural patterns at their source, in the home and with the mother.16 The program was designed to establish non-traditional methods of teaching in order to attract foreign-born mothers into adult education classes. Classrooms were set up in immigrant homes, or in factories, or in railroad cars, or in any place foreign women congregated. The idea was to bring American education to mothers, whether at home or at the work place. Mothers were the least likely members of the family to take advantage of formal night classes that the Los Angeles schools offered.

Assimilation came to immigrant groups at different stages depending on the goals of the immigrants, the cohesiveness of their community, the length of time spent in the country, and the vigor of American society to acculturate them. Los Angeles teachers and nurses had been visiting immigrants in their homes and instructing foreign women in rudimentary English before a formal program was established. But as the foreign population increased in Los Angeles and throughout the state, Governor Hiram Johnson in 1913 established a Commission of Immigration and Housing to study the matter. The commission, a part of the state's newly instituted Progressive reform program, had been asked by Johnson to find out the distribution of immigrants; to make inquiries into industrial opportunities available for them; to investigate their housing conditions; and to find ways to assimilate them.

Two of the commissioners had direct connections with Los Angeles: Reverend Dana Bartlett of the Bethlehem Church and Settlement and Mary S. Gibson, a former teacher. Bartlett, through his church and settlement work, had been appointed a representative to the 1912 Tacoma Conference and to the Pacific Coast Congress the following year in San Francisco. The Young Men's Christian Association sponsored both Pacific slope conferences to further the discussion of mutual interest, additional immigration. Gibson, a former teacher and the widow of a Board of Education member, had campaigned tirelessly for women's suffrage and earned a national reputation as a Progressive reformer and clubwoman. As a major author of the commission's first Report in 1915, Gibson and Amanda M. Chase of the College Settlement became the...
leading proponents of legislation for Home Teachers.  

Assimilation of immigrant mothers seemed to the reformers to pose an urgent problem, because they saw foreign women losing control of their English-speaking children. Reformers interpreted the presence of juvenile halls full of immigrant children as evidence of the breakdown of family structure. For the mother to be restored to her rightful position, she must become Americanized. Simply learning English was not enough; she must also learn American customs and habits to keep up with the changes taking place within her family. For instance, learning middle-class patterns of setting a proper tea table and employing standards of cleanliness became synonymous with Americanization.

Immigrants, however, did not always welcome the idea of an American tea table into their homes, and their perceptions often clashed with those of the Americanizers. A tea table in a Molokan home, for example, must have caused quite a bit of confusion since Molokans served their tea from samovars and not tea pots. Moreover, they ate their meals out of communal bowls and used wooden spoons; and the women ate after the men and children had finished. Although immigrants wanted their children to learn English to survive in the United States, they did not want them to become so Americanized that they surrendered their ethnic heritage.

So far as advocates for Home Teachers were concerned, the cultural clash was a problem to overcome. Gibson, who spearheaded the drive to secure legislation for Home Teachers, proposed that the qualifications of the teachers parallel those of regular certified teachers. In addition, they were required to have some knowledge of household duties and child care. She also suggested that the Home Teachers be well versed in the principles of government and the responsibilities of citizenship. In 1916 The Home Teacher, an educational magazine, presented a working plan for teaching rudimentary English and American-style homemaking. The simplistic level at which the teachers were expected to instruct can be seen by the phrases they used: “We sew, We cook, You iron, You mop” in lesson two; “I take off the dirty sheets, I put on the clean pillowcases” in lesson eight. By the final lesson the women were shown how to “attractively set a tea table” and taught the words and music to the patriotic song, “America.”

The Home Teacher Act of 1915 tried to increase the status of the mothers within the immigrant family by restoring to them the task of controlling their children. Reformers reasoned that the children would no longer be ashamed of their mother’s foreignness, and, therefore, mothers would regain the respect of their Americanized offspring. In short, foreign mothers could mold their children into model American citizens and prevent them from committing delinquent acts. Perhaps unwittingly, these reformers tried to lessen the responsibilities of the fathers, who usually worked away from the home and had less contact with the children anyway. In addition, the reformers, particularly the females, may have had more difficulty in working with the fathers. This gender expectation singled out women to carry the burden of assimilation within the home. Consciously or unconsciously, the reformers tried to expand the role of foreign-born females as conveyers of the new culture.

The emphasis on women as conveyers of culture has a long history in the United States. Beginning with the American Revolution, and under the rubric of Republican Motherhood, middle-class American women had assumed the responsibility of moral teachers. First, within the private sphere of their families and later, in the public sphere as schoolteachers, the cult of domesticity had given women the obligation to act as instructors of culture. By placing foreign-born women in the position of carriers of American culture, Mary Gibson and other like-minded reformers endowed these immigrant women with the same obligations and responsibilities as middle-class, native-born American mothers. In this way, reformers acted in the most egalitarian manner. They assumed that poor, immigrant women had the desire to absorb and retain American culture and the capability of transmitting it to their children, a role carefully defined and expected of middle-class American women. On the other hand, and in not such an egalitarian manner, Gibson and her fellow California reformers were imposing their views of Republican Motherhood onto immigrant mothers.

The Home Teacher Act was an extension of the earlier assimilation work in the city’s foreign community, and by 1927 Los Angeles public schools employed sixty-three home teachers. It is not clear how many Molokan mothers the teachers actually reached. Nevertheless, Gibson and the reformers toiled ardenty to incorporate their welfare programs into the schools. By
the time the United States entered World War I, the Molokan and other immigrant children at Utah Street could expect to find a day nursery fully equipped to take care of their preschool siblings, a kindergarten, a penny lunch program, medical care with a visiting nurse and a consulting doctor, a medical and dental clinic supported by the Parent Teacher Association, transportation to the clinic paid for by the board when needed, bath tubs if their homes had none, a Model Cottage where girls were taught American domestic arts, an after-hours playground, an Evening School where working adults and teenagers had an opportunity to learn English and citizenship, and vocational training. If their mothers could not attend the Evening School, a Home Teacher program would arrange a time and place to suit their convenience. Besides these organized programs, the schools were available for community functions. Ethnic groups petitioned to use the schools for a multitude of purposes that ranged from musical entertainments to political meetings. In 1918 the Russian colony requested the use of Utah Street's facilities when Alexander Kerensky's secretary came to inform them about the current state of the Russian Revolution. 25

Not all ethnics responded favorably to the schools and their expanded services. Clashes occurred when parents saw the programs as attempts to drive a wedge between themselves and their children. This was true of the Molokans who put little emphasis on formal education. Book learning had no apparent value and schools held no specific position in their culture, because they based their teachings on the scriptures and oral traditions. In fact, the best intentions of the reformers played havoc within the family tradition and economy. Compulsory education laws meant that boys could not help in the family's support, and older female children were not available to care for their younger siblings when their mothers took jobs outside the home.

Ethnic groups had learned valuable lessons from reformers in ways to use schools to their advantage. They began to organize campaigns for schoolrooms to maintain their cultural hegemony. Molokan elders and parents called a meeting in 1908 and decided to petition the Board of Education to open a room at Utah Street School every afternoon for Russian language instruction from a teacher they had engaged. The sessions appeared successful, because eight months later they requested the use of another room. The classes continued and in 1912 the community thanked the board for its support. 26

The Molokans' petition appears to be one of the earliest, but it was by no means the only one. During the pre-war period, many ethnic groups petitioned the board for the use of school facilities, and most of these requests were granted. The Dante

Energetic reformers carried Americanization programs wherever there were potential clients. Patients at the Los Angeles General Hospital may have been a captive audience but the outdoor setting made English lessons a pleasant pastime.
Alighieri Society asked to use rooms at several schools to teach Italian language and culture. The Alliance Francais requested rooms to teach French; rabbis asked for rooms to teach Hebrew and Yiddish. The Jewish Radical Club wanted rooms at Custer Street and at Cornwall to teach Jewish culture. Some groups joined forces: French, German, and Italian residents petitioned for a Cosmopolitan School to teach their languages and for a short time the Cosmopolitan School combined teaching in foreign tongues as well as in English. Armenians held evening classes at First Street School, and the Croatians requested rooms at Castellar. Some requests were denied. A Swedish group proposed using rooms at Grant Avenue School but was turned down because the board felt the meeting had too many religious overtones. The schools could not be used for religious purposes.

Private organizations, aware of the city's growing non-English speaking population, approached the board to counteract the trend of reinforcing native languages. Volunteers requested facilities for a specific group, as the Y.M.C.A. did in 1914 to teach English to Japanese immigrants at Boyd Street School. Later the same group asked for accommodations to teach Armenians. Typically, an organization would ask the board's permission to establish an evening class within a school. The Friday Morning Club, the largest women's club in Los Angeles with a membership of several thousand, requested night and home teachers; the Y.M.C.A. wanted rooms at Macy Street; the Daughters of the American Revolution requested to use Utah Street. Residents themselves asked for special classes, such as those from Belvedere who petitioned for an evening school. Some requests came from English-speaking citizens; black Americans wanted an evening school set up at Rosemont School. The many requests indicated that school officials had not met the needs of the foreign population—or at least not according to the petitioners. Schools had been delinquent in providing enough English classes. Alerted to the omission, Superintendent Francis decided to expand the Elementary Evening Schools, and between 1911 and 1915 their enrollments increased 287 percent. By 1916, fourteen schools offered classes in Americanization, and Los Angeles became the first city to have its Evening Schools' work accepted in the courts in lieu of an examination for citizenship.

In March, 1917, when newly appointed Superintendent Albert Shiels wrote his letter to the board he knew full well the Americanization programs were well established. He had already reorganized the evening schools and appointed a supervisor for immigrant education. Shiels noted that although "excellent philanthropic motives" lay behind the many volunteer initiatives to teach foreigners, professionals really knew what best suited students.

As schools began to take over the functions of private organizations, their importance in the community grew. Francis recognized this and wanted the schools to become indispensible centers in their neighborhoods. "During stringent times," he wrote, "their people came for help securing employment, for food and clothing, for advice on personal affairs and when in trouble with the officers of the law." Francis's assessment was accurate. Los Angeles schools became one of the most important American institutions for immigrants. The schools taught American values along with American culture, and many immigrant children soon learned that if they aspired to get ahead in America they would have to discard their family's traditions when these traditions conflicted with values taught in the schools. Reformers responded to what they perceived as the needs of the foreign-born, and by doing so they hastened the process of assimilation. By weaning immigrant children away from their traditions, they created a generational fissure within families that became difficult to bridge. Molokan parents summed up their experiences in Los Angeles this way:

It seems that in the city we don't have much voice over our children... We recognize the value of an education but also see its evils when... Our children have not learned respect for God, for home, for elders. They have gained a little knowledge, but they have no fear of God in their hearts. They have no conscience. We can't appeal to them anymore.

The total population in Los Angeles continued to grow in the following years and the percentage of immigrants increased, but no new wave of Molokans arrived in Los Angeles to reinforce the traditions of the original settlers. Within the next decades, educators worked to absorb the Molokans into their new homeland. They and their children adapted more and more to their
host culture and in time moved away from Utah Street.  

The Molokan experiences illustrate the complex interaction between efforts at social control and assimilation of immigrant culture. Reformers had made deliberate attempts to modify immigrant social behavior, and they carried out their plans through the public schools. The Molokans were not an exception in Los Angeles; in different ways each immigrant group became involved with public schools. Sometimes the ideas of immigrants clashed with those of reformers, and at other times immigrants and educators worked together. A complete picture does not depict immigrants as passive foreign-speaking people or reformers as ruthless manipulators. In this story there are no heroes, no villains, just people making trade-offs and accommodating each other.

The importance of schools in the community grew as they assumed the successful functions of private organizations. An institution such as the public school could supply the money and the influence to keep the programs running. The Los Angeles experience mirrored a national trend in the aggressive expansion of the role of schools. In 1920 John Daniels, a sociologist, noted that nine out of ten immigrants felt the most important American institution effective “in making the immigrant part and parcel of American life” was the public school.

Professionalism reshaped Americanization programs. The school, with its burgeoning pool of trained staff, could administer programs grown too massive for the volunteers to operate. Volunteers had initiated many of the welfare programs to make the transition from immigrant to citizen easier on everyone concerned. As the programs gained community acceptance and grew too large for volunteers to manage, they called upon the schools to step in and take over. By the beginning of the 1920s, most of the volunteer-initiated programs had been incorporated by the Board of Education, with the result that Americanization became a part of public education. Furthermore, as the schools became more bureaucratized, the service changed from programs administered by volunteers to services administered by professionals. Schools sought more responsibility for the education as well as the welfare of their students and the students’ families, and the importance of the schools’ personnel also rose, from the superintendent on down.

There is a postscript to this story. Immigrants accepted Americanization only so far; they would allow their children to learn English yet at the same time they insisted that they retain their native languages. The schools acquiesced, and by the mid-1930s after-hour language classes had been institutionalized and included on the Master Calendar. Japanese, Greek, German, Armenian, Croatian, and Italian groups, among others, no longer needed to petition the Board of Education each new term to use school facilities for their language classes.

See notes beginning on page 153.
THE WAR THAT WASN'T

THOMAS ap CATESBY JONES’S SEIZURE OF MONTEREY

Gene A. Smith

During the 1840s, the United States acquired as much territory as in any other period in its history, yet discussion of that process generally centers not around the act of extension itself but around the concept of "destiny." The idea was widely accepted that Providence had destined the United States to continued growth and that expansion was a civilizing process based on moral progress rather than military might. However, the "natural right" of expansion unquestionably lay in the power to conquer. What ultimately made expansion not only possible but apparently inevitable was not some transcendent destiny but rather the absence of a powerful neighbor to check its progress.¹

American interest in the Pacific Coast had begun by the end of the eighteenth century when New England merchantmen discovered the Pacific route to China. Treaties and tariff regulations in the first decades of the nineteenth century encouraged the Yankee traders to think of the Pacific routes as theirs. As early as 1823, John Quincy Adams wrote to the American minister at London, Richard Rush, "It is not imaginable . . . that any European Nation should entertain the project of settling a Colony on the Northwest Coast of America. . . . That the United States should . . . is pointed out by the finger of Nature, and has been for years a subject of serious deliberation in Congress."² By the 1840s, the issue was more than a matter of rhetoric. The United States had a small naval fleet stationed in the Pacific, and the slowly increasing ranks of American settlers in California were beginning to think in terms of when rather than whether California would be annexed to the United States.

Geography, or more precisely, distance presented one of the few disadvantages the Americans faced in pursuing their goals. In 1842, with tensions high between the United States and Mexico over California, it could take months for a naval commander to send and receive a dispatch instructing him how to act in a particular situation. But few issues could wait so long for resolution. England, France, and the United States were all eyeing California and calculating their chances of seizing the prize when it dropped from the tiring hand of Mexico. Under these circumstances, the United States attempted to station competent naval

The Cyane at sea. William H. Meyers illustrated his journal of the 1842 voyage which included the capture of Monterey with watercolors of ships, flags, and sights in the many ports along the route.
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officers at the remote posts on the Pacific. These men were expected to be able, on the basis of their own character and sense of duty, to make responsible decisions without consultation or authorization from Washington, although these decisions might affect the remainder of their careers. One man who had to make such a decision was Thomas ap Catesby Jones. His choice to seize Monterey in the fall of 1842 severely strained relations between the United States and Mexico and delayed for several years the U.S. acquisition of California by disrupting negotiations for its possible purchase.

Thomas ap Catesby Jones, a Virginian of Welsh descent, had gained a reputation as a man of unquestioned ability. In thirty-seven years of naval experience he had seen action along the Gulf Coast during the War of 1812, served in the Pacific during the 1820s, and commanded a ship in the 1836 Pacific Exploring Expedition under the direction of Charles Wilkes. Jones was a popular commander with his men, but his impulsive and dogmatic style combined with a personal vendetta against rum and dueling brought criticism from his officers. His strong sense of duty toward his country may explain his intense patriotism and the personal pride that was misconstrued by so many of his fellow officers.

In late 1841 Jones gained the command he so long awaited, the Pacific squadron consisting of the flagship United States (dating back to the 1790s), sloops-of-war St. Louis, Cyane, Dale, and Yorktown, the schooner Shark, and the storeship Relief.

In all, the ships carried 116 guns. The boundaries of the Pacific station were defined as “all the west coast of America, and westward from the meridian of Cape Horn to the 180th degree of longitude; and southward between those meridians to the South Pole,” an area, Jones believed, far too large to patrol adequately with such a small force. Jones drew up plans which would enlarge his squadron and require a minimum of seven ships, exclusive of the flagship and her tender. His aim was to station these ships off the coasts of Chile, Panama, Mexico, California, the Northwest coast, and two among the Hawaiian Islands. The plan was never approved, however, and even after the loss of one of his sloops (St. Louis), Jones’s plea went unheard.

Because he had such a small force, his orders were simple: to protect American commerce, to improve the discipline of his men, and to gain useful information. These orders reflect the uncertainty surrounding the Pacific area in 1841. The Oregon question was still unsettled, U.S. relations with Mexico were tense, and rumor had it that Great Britain was about to acquire California from Mexico, perhaps in settlement of Mexico’s 1.5-million-pound debt to the British. This possibility lent urgency to the U.S. view that California belonged by some natural right to the Union and created an atmosphere favorable to preemptive action. Uppermost in Jones’s mind as he took up his new command was the idea that he should not risk allowing the British to take control of California.

When Jones arrived in the Pacific in May, 1842, and took his station at Callao he learned that a French squadron had departed from Valparaiso, Chile, two months before for an unknown destination. Jones believed, as did the British, that it was headed for California on a venture of colonization. Any European colonization would, according to Jones, “be disastrous to our whale fisheries and commercial interest in these seas . . .” and make it impossible for the United States to protect these enterprises. But in Jones’s opinion, California was secondary in importance to the primary U.S. concern in the Pacific—the Sandwich (Hawaiian) Islands. A flurry of activity in early September heightened the tension and became the precipitating cause for Jones’s landing in Monterey.

On September 5 a mail steamer arrived at Callao from Panama, and the HMS Dublin, flagship of British Rear Admiral Richard Thomas, weighed anchor with sealed orders. The steamer also brought information which led Jones and other observers to believe that Mexico and the United States were at war. Troubled by the news and by the hasty departure of the British ships, Jones hurried the eight miles to Lima.
to confer with the American chargé d'affaires J.C. Pickett over the role his squadron would play in the upcoming events. While he was meeting with Pickett, dispatches from John Parrott, U.S. consul at Mazatlan, arrived to add to Jones's conviction that war had begun. Enclosed with the dispatches were two newspapers. A copy of the Mexican El Cosmopolito dated June 4, 1842, contained correspondence between the Mexican government and Waddy Thompson, U.S. minister to Mexico, on the subject of Texas. The tone of the letters and Parrott's suggestion that they would cause the recall of the American minister confirmed Jones's belief that war was inevitable. Another paper, published at Boston, carried an article from the New Orleans Advertiser which stated that "... according to authentic information, ... Mexico has ceded the Californias to Great Britain for seven millions of dollars!" After careful deliberation, Jones and Pickett concluded that the reports could be accepted at face value, and Jones returned to Callao to ready his ships for sea. If hostilities with Mexico had indeed broken out, Jones and Pickett considered that the United States would be justified in forestalling British possession of California by occupying what was now enemy territory.

Jones intended to keep his plans secret, but his unusual activities and the secretive departure of the British squadron aroused the curiosity of his fellow Americans. In addition, the Cyane's load of trade goods—14 dozen spades, 32 axes, 1 hoe, 1 pickax, 1 dozen hatchets, and 1045 pounds of bar iron—unusual for a naval vessel, raised questions about the upcoming mission. A lack of wind delayed the fleet's departure until the afternoon of September 7 and gave the British a two-day head start. Nonetheless, Jones planned to crowd sail and reach California before the British, land a force, build batteries on shore, and prevent their landing. The Americans pressed forward with all possible speed, ignorant of the true British destination, the Mosquito Islands off the Atlantic coast of Nicaragua. Jones got under way while the Yorktown was out on a cruise to the south, so he left a letter for Lieutenant Commander John S. Nicholas explaining the intentions of his mission. One day out the ships backed main topsails long enough for the commanders to board the flagship. Captain James Armstrong of the United States, Commander Cornelius K. Strobing of the Cyane, and Commander Thomas A. Dornin of the
Dale were presented with the evidence that had brought the ships to sea. Jones then posed two questions: "Is the rumor of war between the United States and Mexico... and the cessation of the Californias... to Great Britain, sufficiently probable to justify the withdrawal... of our naval force from the coast of Peru and Chile, to send... to California?" To this question they answered, "Yes, without doubt..." The second question Jones posed was "Under what circumstances, if any, would it be proper for us to anticipate Great Britain in her contemplated military occupation of California?" The officers concluded that "in case the United States and Mexico are at war, it would be our bounden duty to possess ourselves of every point and port in California that we could take and defend.... and if the views of the late President Monroe... are still received as the avowed and fixed policy of our country.... we should consider the military occupation of the Californias by any European Power.... as a measure so decidedly hostile to the true interest of the United States as not only to warrant but to make it our duty to forestall the design of...." the British. About a week after these decisions had been made, the Dale left the squadron and headed for Panama with dispatches for Washington, while the United States and Cyane proceeded to the coast of California to act as circumstances prescribed.

On the cruise to California the Cyane and the United States parted company and did not rejoin until they reached the vicinity of Monterey on October 16. Jones noted that on his journey to California not a "single strange sail" was seen north of the equator, yet it apparently did not occur to him that this fact should cause him to question the rumor that war had begun. Passage was unusually slow due to obstructive head winds which forced the ships to sail west after crossing the equator almost to the Sandwich Islands before they could put about and sail to the northeast. The prolonged journey worked to the advantage of Jones and his crew, since it allowed time for gunnery training and small arms practice, which, according to one report, continued both day and night. Alonzo C. Jackson of the United States wrote in a letter to a relative that on every calm day the crew transported a barrel two miles out to be used for target practice. During the practice, he noted, a whole broadside, consisting of twenty-six guns, would be fired simultaneously. He added that this was "a thing that is very seldom done." Another account claimed that a company of one hundred men chosen to drill daily with muskets sharpened their cutlasses to a razor-fine edge and improved their marksmanship by firing at a bag suspended from the foremast. This display of firepower and drill made a vivid impression on all present and would provide the backbone for any decision to be enforced by Jones and his squadron.

On October 18, a few hours out of Monterey, Jones issued a grandiose order informing his command, "We are now approaching the shore of California, the territory of Mexico, the enemy of our country, whose flag it is our duty to strike, and hoist in its place our own." California, Jones told his men, "is not only our duty to take.... but we must keep it afterwards, at all hazards." He continued, "this may or may not be an easy task; we are prepared for the worst.... in a few hours we shall be in possession of Monterey." Jones then noted "the soldiers of Mexico we know how to take care of" but that innocent civilians must be reconciled to change and, if possible, brought to the aid of the United States. In pursuit of this goal, Jones maintained that the United States must be the "protectors of all, and not the oppressor of any," and he established regulations which prohibited maltreatment of the inhabitants and above all forbade plundering of any kind. With these orders, selections were made for landing parties to go ashore and take possession of Monterey.

On the morning of the nineteenth, a day with light pleasant winds from the northwest, the United States and the Cyane, flying the British flag, rounded Point Pinos (the southern tip of the bay) and sighted the Mexican barque Joven Guipuzcoana. A chase ensued, and the United States fired a shot across the bow of the Mexican vessel, convincing the frightened commander to talk.
tain Joseph F. Snook, the English commander of the _Joan Guipuzcoana_,39 claimed that he was involved only in the coastal trade and knew nothing of the diplomatic situation. Jones disregarded Snook’s plea to be released and ordered the _Cyane_ to take his prize to Monterey.30

Now flying the Stars and Stripes, the _United States_ proceeded alone and at 2:45 in the afternoon dropped anchor outside the castle of Monterey, “a dilapidated work mounting eleven guns.” Jones waited several hours for a visit by some American or neutral from whom he might obtain some “disinterested information,” but no one came. At last a boat flying the Mexican colors approached Jones’s flagship. However, nothing could be gained from its officers on the situation, “except that they had never heard of any difficulties between Mexico and the United States, and knew nothing of war; ...” Not satisfied with this news, Jones summoned the mate of the merchant ship _Fame_, who relayed the story that the _Fame_ had been delayed for a week in the Sandwich Islands on account of war rumors. But since its arrival in Monterey nothing new had occurred except a report that the British were to take possession of Upper California and guarantee Mexico’s possession of Lower California. This report confirmed what Jones had heard in Callao. Since no American had come aboard, Jones believed “the time for action had now arrived...” Reasoning that “Mexico is the aggressor, and as such is responsible for all evils and consequences ... in which she placed herself ...,” he began the act which would gain him a place in the annals of expansionist history.31

At four in the afternoon, Jones sent Captain Armstrong of the _United States_ ashore under a flag of truce to demand the surrender of Monterey. Papers for this purpose were apparently prepared in advance, because there were copies in both English and Spanish. Additionally the date, place, and signature were left blank so they could be used in different towns if necessary.32 This may have reflected Jones’s wish to save time and gain control of the town before the British arrived. Although the terms of capitulation were straightforward and simple, Jones gave Juan Bautista Alvarado, acting governor of Monterey, eighteen hours to make his decision. Alvarado was initially willing to surrender the port without question, but in a letter sent to Jones later that afternoon, he equivocated, “it would not be becoming that I should
make a capitulation in the name of the Mexican nation, when my authority does not... reach beyond the limits of Monterey..."  

With this statement, Alvarado turned the problem over to Don Mariano Silva, military commander of Monterey. Silva quickly concluded that the city’s defenses of twenty-nine regular soldiers, eleven pieces of artillery, and a castle in serious disrepair were no match for the Americans and that the only sensible thing to do was to surrender. Two Mexican ministers then boarded the United States to “treat for the surrender of Monterey”; they agreed to sign the articles and change flags at 9:30 on the morning of the twentieth. Accompanying the Mexican commission as interpreter was Thomas Oliver Larkin, an Anglo-American merchant from Monterey. Larkin asked which side had declared war and was told that the declaration was conditional and on the part of Mexico. Surprised, he informed Jones that there were papers of “late dates” on shore that made no mention of strife between the two countries. Rather than doubting his own assumptions, however, Jones suspected that Larkin was attempting some kind of subterfuge—the idea grew stronger when Larkin failed to produce the papers—and concluded that the need for action was even more urgent than he had thought.

The Mexican commissioners, appearing impatient to surrender, boarded the United States at 7:30 instead of 9:30 to sign the articles by which the United States forces would land and take possession of Monterey. The landing party, composed of 150 seamen (stormers) and marines proceeded ashore under the immediate leadership of Lieutenant G. W. Robinson with Cornelius K. Stribling of the Cyane in overall command of the operation. The marines were assigned to receive the arms and take the barracks of the Mexican troops while the stormers were to take the castle that overlooked the harbor. Fearing a Mexican trick, the members of the landing party were heavily armed, each carrying a musket, a cutlass, and a brace of pistols, but they accomplished their mission without incident and without firing a single shot. The situation could have been very different. The stormers had been landed at the foot of a narrow ravine, twelve feet wide and four hundred yards long, which led to the fort. They marched six abreast to the top. There they discovered nine additional cannon which commanded the entire ravine and were camouflaged, loaded, and ready for action with burning matches nearby. The Mexicans honored their surrender, however, and there was no attempt to fire the guns. It was all over then except the shouting, the cheers and the music that accompanied the raising of Old Glory in place of the Mexican flag, and a twenty-six-gun salute. It was a con-

quest, as Jones concluded, that had been “conducted in a most orderly manner.”

The afternoon and night of the twentieth passed without incident as all but one division of marines returned to the ships. On the morning of October 21, Commodore Jones went ashore to inspect the town and fort that were now under the Stars and Stripes. There the pomp and ceremony halted. Jones’s private secretary H. LaReintrie had found the papers Larkin had referred to on the previous day. Dated as late as August 22, they mentioned nothing of hostilities between the United States and Mexico or the cession of California to Great Britain. Jones at this point realized his mistake and called an immediate conference with Captain Armstrong and Commander Stribling. They agreed that the only thing to do was to restore Mexican authority and try to mend relations. At 4:00 that afternoon the American flag was lowered and, with as much pomp as had accompanied its raising the day before, the Mexican flag was rehissed. The troops were reembarpped, property that had been seized was returned, and normal relations were resumed.

Meanwhile, in San Diego, Captain William D. Phelps of the American merchant ship Alert had captured the local fort, using the threat of his two four-pounders to cow any would-be opposition. Phelps’s actions were attributed to the inspiration of Jones’s action, a rumor that soldiers were coming to seize his ship, and the arrival of a group of Americans seeking his protection from the Mexicans. He held the fort
for three days until he heard that Monterey had been evacuated and that the rumor of war was false. 46

The reaction of Monterey's inhabitants to Jones's assault varied, mainly because they were a diverse group which included Anglo-Americans, Mexicans, foreigners, and native Californians. At first many of them were frightened, but with Jones's proclamation that there would be neither looting nor violence and that native rights would be respected, fear gave way to admiration for the Yankee invaders. 47 As Larkin recounted in 1844, "the fear and apprehension of the lower class of People here was at its height, drawing their recollections [sic] from the horrible atrocities committed [sic] by the Spaniards [sic]..." and "they expected to see the same enacted here." 48 Many of the public officials at Monterey found themselves suddenly summoned away on urgent business when they heard the news of the invasion, although they returned as hastily upon hearing the Americans "... did not shoot at sight, then scalp their victims with a great knife, as many... believed was the habit of the fighting Yanquis." 49 As a result of the orderly landing, Larkin reported, "... the people are not afraid of the next squadron, perhaps many are anxiously waiting its arrival..." 50 More importantly, since there was no incident of questionable conduct during the occupation, a view of the marines became prevalent, that they were "a fine body of Young men" whose departure would leave a void along the coast of California. 51

Several incidents raised the prestige of the bluejackets in the eyes of the Californians. When one marine made a purchase and then borrowed a pitcher to obtain water, he actually returned the pitcher and paid for what he acquired—in contrast, according to Larkin, to Mexican troops who rarely paid for anything. 52 While the ships remained in Monterey the men mingled with the inhabitants ashore. Larkin claimed they were either "hunting wild Deer or dancing with the tame Deer, both being plenty in and about Monterey." The flagship had an excellent band which provided the impetus for a number of balls, and some sailors who had never danced before learned to on this occasion. 53 Larkin called attention to the fine discipline of the men and to the speed and precision of the American ships during an emergency. This impressed the male population, he said, while it took only the young men in uniform to entice the females. 54 He also pointed out to the locals that there
had never been better order. One story neither Larkin nor Jones recounted, however. Jones’s son Meriwether Paterson Jones, a midshipman aboard the Cyane, was sent ashore for the lowering of the American flag and the hoisting of the Mexican flag. Dramatically exclaiming that he could not strike the American flag, he proceeded to get so drunk that he rolled down a cliff. Although he was not injured, he did avoid hauling down the American flag.

Jones and Larkin tried to conceal their embarrassment over the premature seizure of Monterey by concluding the incident with many banquets and dances. Larkin’s primary fear, that the landing would stir up hostile feelings toward the United States among the natives and foreigners of California, proved to be unfounded, and he was relieved to find that it had just the opposite effect.

The Californians, as distinguished from the Mexicans, ... showed a very imperfect sense of how much they had been injured by this insult offered to Mexico. Subsequent relations with Americans on the coast were no less cordial than before, and the incident passed without further consequence. Larkin still feared that if the U.S. Navy did not leave a ship, General Jose Manuel Micheltorena would “let loose, his army of Cut throats and robbers, (in retaliation for the insult this territory has received) to plunder the property....” To prevent this, he petitioned Commodore Jones to station a ship off the coast to protect the innocent. Though no incident occurred to justify this precaution, Larkin felt much more at ease with his plea for help.

Anglo-Americans in California were delighted with the landing, seeing it as the harbinger of a more stable government that would protect the citizens instead of oppressing them. Some even suggested that the landing had “taught them [Mexicans] the propriety of respecting the rights of foreigners.” The Mexicans, however, were outraged by this violation of their national honor, and their attitude could be summed up by one general remark, “Why, we are going to cut the throats of all you Yankees.” Yet except for lasting anger toward Governor Micheltorena, who retreated with his army to Los Angeles instead of advancing upon the enemy, they did not appear to harbor a grudge for long.

On the national level, the affair had serious repercussions. The government in Mexico, with the help of the Mexican press “made much of the barbarity of Thomas ‘ape’ Catesby Jones,” creating an atmosphere in which the Tyler Administration was forced to suspend negotiations for the purchase of California. Some Mexicans suggested that the incident could be invoked to legitimize the cancellation of all U.S. claims against Mexico, but cooler heads prevailed. A faction led by Minister of Foreign Affairs Jose Maria Bocanegra considered the act “sufficient to put an end to the bonds uniting the two republics” and an outrage to Mexican honor. Waddy Thompson, U.S. minister to Mexico, asserted in response that it was no dishonor to surrender to a superior force and argued that since no public or private property had been damaged relations could be normalized. Any claims that did arise would be paid in full by the American government, he pledged. In Washington, Secretary of State Daniel Webster and Mexican Minister to the United States General Juan N. Almonte also engaged in an exchange of sharp notes. After much discussion, all diplomatic parties involved agreed that Jones was to be recalled and publicly reprimanded for his actions.

Although Secretary of the Navy Abel P. Upshur agreed to recall Jones, he made it clear to Webster and President Tyler that he would not jeopardize morale in the Navy by humiliating “an able and well-intentioned commander.” With Upshur’s defense of Jones and Webster’s apology in the name of the president to the Mexican minister in Washington, the incident was closed. Jones was not reprimanded even though the House Committee on Foreign Affairs conducted an investigation which concluded that the taking of Monterey “was entirely of his [Jones’s] own authority, and not in consequence of any orders or instructions given to him by the Government of the United States.” Upshur in fact considered himself
partially responsible for the affair and attempted to guard against similar incidents by giving careful instructions for future commanders to avoid giving offense to other governments. Jones was replaced as commander of the Pacific squadron by Commodore Alexander Dallas and "punished" by being given command of the ship-of-the-line Ohio. Jones would have a Pacific command again during the Mexican War, however.

Was Jones's landing in October 1842 a product of Manifest Destiny or did it have a strictly military connotation? Only Jones himself could answer this question, but it seems evident that he believed he was acting in the best interests of his country, which in his mind presumed a U.S. right to California important enough to justify conquest if purchase was not possible. By basing their decision to act on the Monroe Doctrine, Jones and his officers appeared to indicate that they thought in terms of national policy rather than limited military tactics. Although it temporarily damaged U.S. relations with Mexico, the seizure of Monterey cooled French and British interest in California and brought it one step closer to union with the United States. Even though British interest in the area was subsiding, some Americans still believed that if "John Bull" gained a foothold he would secure a monopoly on the Pacific and undermine American commerce to the point that the Stars and Stripes would not fly on the coast. In fact, infiltration of Yankees in the early 1840s strengthened the position of the American merchants who had become established in the preceding two decades and gave the United States an edge it would not relinquish. Jones's action also indicated that the United States would have little problem taking California when and if hostilities did commence, thus adding force to the expansionist movement under the concept of Manifest Destiny.

Finally, Jones's landing gave the Anglo-American public an idea of where and what California was, as Larkin wrote to James Gordon Bennett, editor of the New York Herald, "I imagine you have never had a correspondent from the 'Far West,' . . . In fact you have not found out as yet where the Far famed 'Far West' is. You now know and so does Com[modore] Thomas ap C. Jones, and the officers of his squadron, . . ." From this time forward, Anglo-Americans in general would also know where the "Far West" was. See notes beginning on page 155.
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It was spring cleaning time at the 125-year-old red-brick farmhouse on the Cacapon River in West Virginia. The house is owned and used as a retreat center by Christ Congregational Church of Silver Spring, Maryland, and a work committee was busy cleaning up and throwing out when all activity was brought to a halt by a voice asking, "Hey, everybody! What do we do with this big, old, dirty whatever-it-is, a picture of something or other?"

The most frequently voiced suggestion was to toss it on the fire, but some of us insisted, "We can't do that. Let's at least take it out into the sun and look at it." A close scrutiny in better light revealed a signature and date in the lower-right-hand corner, V. Williams, 1870. The signature made us think we were looking at an original oil painting.

Several months later, one of our group showed the painting to William Truettner, Curator of Painting and Sculpture at the National Museum of American Art of the Smithsonian Institution. He suggested that we have the painting restored and appraised and then return. Some time later we took the painting to Robert Scott Wiles, Conservator at the Corcoran Gallery of Art in Washington, D.C. for his advice on its proper care. Restoration revealed the painting to be Mt. Katahdin from the West Branch of the Penobscot River, Baxter State Park, Maine by Virgil Macey Williams.1 Whether it was because of my involvement in its discovery or because of the glowing colors revealed in the restoration, the painting caught my imagination and started me on a search for information about the artist's life.2 Today it hangs in the National Museum of American Art of the Smithsonian Institution to be enjoyed by the public.

Virgil Macey Williams, an American landscapist who recorded many of the nineteenth-century landmarks and natural wonders of California, began life as a New Engander by birth as well as ancestry.3 He studied art in Italy, however, and spent most of his adult years painting and developing the arts community in California. He was a gifted and fortunate man who was encouraged and supported in his artistic career right from the start.

Williams was born at Dixfield, Maine on October 29, 1830; he was raised at Taunton, Massachusetts. As a student at Brown University, where he enrolled August 28, 1847, Williams's talent was recognized and encouraged. As the story goes, President Francis Wayland called young Virgil to his office. Williams fully expected to be reprimanded for a caricature he had drawn of the rather staid gentleman; instead he was complimented. Furthermore, the university president suggested to James M. Williams that he arrange for his talented son to receive a thorough training in art. When he left Brown University at the end of his junior year, Virgil Williams studied under Daniel Huntington, an instructor at the New York Academy of Design,4 and in 1853 he set off for Italy with his father's blessing and financial support. For approximately eight-and-one-half years he immersed himself in the art, history, and culture of Italy; he became fluent in the language and felt completely at home in this new environment.

Italy was the ideal place for a young American artist at that time. Many prosperous Americans, while taking the Grand Tour of Europe, were drawn to Italy by the beautiful scenery and warm climate; some of the travelers not only purchased paintings but also commissioned works. While in Rome, Virgil Williams studied under the well-known American expatriate, William Page (1811–1885), who had been a leading portrait painter in Boston before going to Italy. Williams became adept in Page's method of painting, which was greatly admired in Europe; instead of mixing colors, each was put on in a separate manner; scumbles and glazes were used to modify a tone or to soften a line or color.5

In 1857 or early 1858 Williams married Mary Page, daughter of his master, and could almost immediately count among his close associates such artists, writers, and journalists as

Mt. Katahdin, from the West Branch of the Penobscot River. This painting, found by members of Christ Congregational Church in Silver Spring, Maryland, in the course of a spring cleaning project, now hangs in the National Museum of American Art of the Smithsonian Institution.
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Enoch Wood Perry (1831–1915), Worthington Whittredge (1820–1910), Sanford Robinson Gifford (1823–1880), Albert Bierstadt (1830–1902), Nathaniel Hawthorne (1804–1864), and Robert and Elizabeth Barrett Browning, who at one time lived in the same building as the Page family. 7

Williams began to be noticed: “Mr. Williams, a son-in-law of Mr. Page, is rapidly making a reputation for himself as a landscapist. He paints with great feeling and freedom. He has several small Campagna views which possess marked merit,” noted a Boston reporter in 1859. And again: “All lovers of the beautiful will be gratified at visiting the studio of Williams. In the combination of landscape and figures, I consider him unsurpassed. It gives me pleasure to know that some of the wealthy citizens of Chicago, who were in Rome last winter, have given him liberal commissions. He is now sketching amidst the lovely scenery of the Island of Capri.”

In Rome in the winter of 1857, Williams met artists Albert Bierstadt and Enoch Wood Perry, 8 whom he was to work with later in California. Williams, Perry, Bierstadt, Gifford, and Whittredge could often be seen with other artists painting or sketching around the Eternal City or on side trips to Florence, Venice, Naples, or Capri. Williams spent long evenings at such gathering places as locales on the Via Condotti like Trattoria Lepri or the Caffé Greco, the latter still a meeting place for artists. This mingling with kindred souls played a great part in shaping Williams’s love for people and in nurturing the compassion and understanding that were to make him a dedicated teacher and friend.

While he was in Florence, Williams made another contact which was to have a lasting effect on his life and on the development of the California art community. He met Robert B. Woodward (1814–1879), an art lover and self-made American man of wealth. Apparently Woodward visited Williams’s studio in Rome and, liking what he saw there, commissioned him to make copies of some of the Old Masters. These were later hung in Woodward’s newly built home in San Francisco. This was only the beginning of the relationship, however. In 1861, shortly after Williams returned from Italy and set up his studio in Boston, Woodward visited him again and was so impressed with the works he saw that he purchased the entire lot, and persuaded Williams to come to San Francisco to plan and design an art gallery for his new home. 9 Under contract to Woodward, Williams left his successful studio and moved to San Francisco, arriving on April 5, 1862, after an arduous and awe-inspiring journey.

Williams designed and set up the art gallery in the Woodward home and helped lay out plans for its park-like gardens, complete with fountains and an artificial lake. 10 In 1866 this park, on Mission Street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth streets, was opened to the public as the famous Woodward’s Gardens and Amusement Park. Woodward even started a horse-drawn tram to provide rapid transit along Mission Street so that families could enjoy his gardens. One of the finest privately owned parks of its kind, it became known in both Europe and America as the Central Park of the Pacific; it prospered until the later nineteenth century when the people of San Francisco found a new love in Golden Gate Park. The partnership between these two men, begun when Woodward visited Williams’ Italian studio, became a key to the widening influence of the artist and the growing philanthropy of the businessman who was the first real patron of the arts in San Francisco.

After completing his work for Woodward, Williams devoted his time to exploring the teeming city of San Francisco, the meeting place of not only American adventurers and entrepreneurs but also those from Europe, Hawaii, Australia, China, and from other Asian countries. During this

Ruth N. Post is working on a book length biography of Virgil Macey Williams.
Dora Norton Williams.
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period Williams became acquainted with many artists, among them William Keith and Thomas Hill. Hill, who had come with his family to the West Coast in 1861 in search of a climate that would be better for his ailing health, had taken a studio in the Mercantile Library Building. Keith had already established his reputation as a fine engraver and was beginning to paint landscapes. Williams, Hill, and Keith spent much time together. They sketched, visited the studios of other artists, or attended art exhibits, some of them in the What Cheer House, a temperance establishment founded in 1856 by Robert B. Woodward. Keith’s biographer, Brother Cornelius says of the three artist friends:

They took long walks or would ride out to visit Woodward’s Gardens, the newly laid out amusement park which Williams had helped to design and lay-out, especially the art center. He (Williams) interested them, he had traveled abroad, and studied in Italy with William Page. His training, ideas and methods which he brought back gave him prestige and vogue. There seemed to be a lack of artistic jealousies among them but a sense of learning and sharing their ideas and giving their critiques openly.]

On July 17, 1863 Albert Bierstadt, Williams’s old friend from days in Rome, and the journalist Fitz Hugh Ludlow arrived in San Francisco by stagecoach and stayed at the Occidental Hotel. Dr. John Hewston, a scientific metallurgist and physicist, met with Bierstadt, Ludlow, Enoch Wood Perry—another friend from the Italian years, and Williams to plan a camping expedition to the Yosemite Valley. Leaving on August 2 on horseback, their pack mules laden with supplies, they traveled via the old Mariposa Trail. They would, of course, hunt and fish, but time was planned so that the men could sketch, paint, explore, or write, each according to his own interests and competence. After seven weeks in this magnificent wonderland, they returned to San Francisco.

Another important friend of Williams at this time was Carleton E. Watkins, whose outstanding early photography of Yosemite had helped persuade Congress to place the area under government protection. Watkins was asked by Frederick Law Olmsted, chairman of the California Geological Survey and famed as the “Father of American Landscape Design,” to propose the best way to preserve and enhance the beauty of the valley. Watkins asked Virgil Williams and Thomas Hill to accompany him on this study. The two painters must have truly enjoyed this venture and their association with Watkins; the sketches and paintings produced during this time laid the groundwork for many future paintings. An added benefit for Williams of his association with the Watkins trip was the kindling of his curiosity about and interest in photography; in time he became a proficient photographer.

Mary Page Williams did not go west with her husband. She stayed in Cambridge for a time, visiting friends and relatives there and in other areas. In 1864, she wrote a touching letter to her father, then in New York, asking him to send her trunk to Cambridgeport, Massachusetts, where she was staying with Virgil’s father and sister Ella, and added, “he is very anxious to have Virg home, so that he may have all his children together for the first time in twelve years.” However, Virgil did not return to the Boston area until April 25, 1866. Again he opened a studio in Boston, and Mary wrote to her sister Emma on May 17 that “He [Virgil] has several students and in addition is teaching classes in freehand drawing at Harvard University and Design at the Boston School of Technology.” The Boston Evening Transcript reported, “Virgil Williams, after residence of some years in Italy, and more recently in California, has taken a studio in Boston, with a well-filled folio of sketches from these opposite points of interest.”

Late in 1867, Williams’s painting...
View South from the Sonoma Hills
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Capri Boat attracted much interest. Among the comments it elicited was the Boston Evening Transcript's note, "His views and accuracy of Italian scenery is noteworthy in the beauty, light, and placement of gaily dressed people—it is a fete day, it catches the eye and holds one in admiration."22

By 1868 Thomas Hill had also returned to Boston and set up his studio on the same floor as Williams's in the Studio Building. The old friendship between the two artists grew, and soon both were exhibiting at the Boston Athenaeum, Boston Art Club, and various galleries. A newspaper article in 1868 noted that "Virgil Williams is working on a landscape of Summer Sound on Mt. Desert and has finished three Italian street scenes and always pleases with bright colors, correct drawing and graceful drapery. Evidently he paints as tho [sic] he loves his work and scenes."23 These were good times for the arts and for Virgil Williams.

However, as Williams's reputation as an artist grew, his marriage to Mary Page deteriorated. His long absences from home (in addition to the four years in California, he traveled to Mount Desert Isle, Nova Scotia, and to Maine), Mary's frequent bouts of illness through the years, and her unhappiness at being so far away from her close-knit family proved more than the relationship could bear. In 1869 Mary left Virgil as she had done once before, and this time the marriage ended.24 In June 2, 1871, letter to her father from New Harmony, Indiana, Mary wrote of her marriage to a Mr. Hinckley, "a merchant in this town and we are very happy . . . I never doubted the wisdom of the change I made more than two years ago, and I am thankful every day that I had the strength and courage to take the steps I did."25

The change was evidently a wise one for both Virgil and Mary Page Williams. Before long, a promising watercolorist in Williams's studio art class found favor with her teacher, and late in 1870 or early 1871 Virgil and Dora (Deborah) Norton (1829–1915) were married.26 Once more California beckoned. Early in 1871 Thomas Hill left Boston to return to San Francisco. Williams looked forward to renewing his association with artists and intellectuals he had met during his stay in the West, and he and Dora began making their own plans. On August 15, 1871, they arrived in San Francisco from Boston aboard the ship Sacramento.27 The city was again in the throes of a boom as a result of the recent opening of the cross-country rail system and the improved roads and stage coach lines had swelled the population to well over 200,000. At the same time the vast outpourings from the Comstock Lode, discovered in 1859, were bringing tremendous wealth to the city. The newly rich were building large homes on Nob Hill and buying or commissioning landscapes, genre, and marine paintings, and portraits of their homes as well as of themselves and their families.28

In March, 1871, an energetic group of artists, journalists, and intellectuals had met at the home of the English-born artist Juan Buckingham Wandesford to found the San Francisco Art Association. Among those at the meeting were: William Alvord, mayor of San Francisco; Benjamin Parke Avery, journalist; Edward Bosqui, printer; Samuel Marsden Brookes, portrait and still-life artist; and the painters Gideon Jacques Denny, Thomas Hill, William Keith, and Ernest Narjot, who painted the portrait of Robert Louis Stevenson that now hangs in the Silverado Museum at St. Helena in the Napa Valley. This group organized receptions, exhibits, and social gatherings which did much to nourish the growing taste for fine arts among the people of San Francisco.

Soon after their arrival in San Francisco, Virgil Williams and his wife were included in the membership of the fledgling art association, which established an art school in 1874 that still exists today after many changes of locale and name as the San Francisco Art Institute at 800 Chestnut
Hunting Scene with Wild Ducks.

Williams did an extended series of hunting and country scenes in black crayon between 1870 and 1872.
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Street and which still offers a scholarship given in the name of Virgil Williams by his wife Dora.\(^5\) When the San Francisco Art Association completed plans to open their first academy—the School of Design—Virgil Williams, now acknowledged as a gifted artist and intellectual, was chosen as the first director and as teacher.\(^5\) This was to become his life’s most important work.

Williams was an outstanding teacher who often developed lasting friendships with his students. Through the school he nurtured the talent of many early painting students, some of whom went on to study abroad and to become fine artists; some became very well known, and all extolled the ability and dedication of their teacher and friend.\(^5\) One of the forty-member, February 1874, first class at the School of Design was Christian A. Jorgensen (originally Jørgensen).\(^4\) Williams had discovered Jorgensen as a child of twelve sketching on the stoops of San Francisco and was so impressed by his drawings that he arranged for Jorgensen to become the first free student admitted to the school. Jorgensen later became an instructor in sketching and watercolor at the school. Among Jorgensen’s students was young Angela Ghirardelli, who in 1887 became his wife. The couple named their first child after Virgil Williams.

Williams did not limit his teaching to one phase of art; he lectured on the history of art and the lives of great artists. He gave unstintingly of his time and talent and was always accessible to students, giving them encouragement, cautioning, sometimes scolding, never flattering, honest almost to the point of harshness. “It is by constant practice and study that power is obtained. There will always be difficulty, no matter how much you know, so accept criticism as coming from one whose only motive is to make you improve.”\(^38\)

Much information about Williams’s methods and philosophy of teaching has come to us through one of his remarkable students, Theophilus d’Estrella, a gifted artist and deaf mute who was admitted to the school in 1879.\(^6\) Since Williams did not know sign language, he and d’Estrella communicated through pen and paper. d’Estrella saved the scraps of paper on which Williams wrote notes to him, for example:

*The very beginner needs to first learn something of the technique, to handle his materials, learn certain canons of beauty and proportion. One is never born with a thorough knowledge of technique. It has to be acquired like carpentry. Art is to a great extent mechanical. No matter how high, delicate or refined your impressions of nature, you cannot express them without great command over your materials, so it is necessary to get practice and acquire dexterity.*\(^39\)

Once, when d’Estrella complained to Williams about what he thought was a very harsh criticism of his work, he received this reply:

*I am severe sometimes on purpose—to check a growing satisfaction with your own work—that is in your own mind. There can exist in the road of a young artist no obstacle so great as ‘the big head’—meaning of course, excessive conceit. That you shall feel greatly discouraged sometimes is to be expected. No one with an artistic temperament but experiences periods of great dejection and corresponding elation. We must take the bitter with the sweet.*\(^38\)

In addition to his work as director and teacher at the San Francisco School of Design, Williams was also involved in another phase of the city’s cultural development. He was among the founders of the Bohemian Club, which was organized in 1872 “for the association of gentlemen connected with the intellectual and liberal professions and numbers among its members nearly all the prominent men in San Francisco.” Williams was president of the club in 1875–76, but he felt that he could not continue in that capacity because of his commitment to the School of Design.\(^39\)
Dora Williams first met her husband as his art student, and this 1882 watercolor reflects her talent.
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Williams bought a small ranch in a remote canyon of the Sugarloaf, on the Knights Valley side of Mount St. Helena. Thomas Hill and William Keith, among other artist friends, were often visitors to the ranch; they spent their days in sketching, painting, and walking and horseback excursions into the surrounding areas, and their evenings in lively conversation. What a contemporary observer described as "the natural beauties of a landscape so rich in towering peak and green enameled vale, sinuous creek and open bay, russet-brown hillside and grain-veneered field" inspired paintings which "form most pleasing gems of some of our best art collection."[40]

Some time after Christmas in 1879 Williams met the Scotsman Robert Louis Stevenson and began what was to be a long-lasting friendship.[41] Stevenson was in love with Fanny Osbourne, a student of Williams and a friend of both Dora and Virgil, and he had followed her from Europe to America and across the country by emigrant train to California. Fanny and her daughter Isobel would often take the ferry from their home in Oakland to visit and attend classes at the San Francisco School of Design. One day Fanny called upon an ailing Dora Williams in her home on Taylor Street and brought Stevenson with her. The next day he returned for something he had left, and, as related by Dora Williams: "finding me better he remained awhile and we entered into conversation, and I may add, a lifelong friendship. While we were talking, my husband came in and glanced at him very curiously. I hastened to introduce them to each other. From that hour, however, they became fast friends."

The friendship, with its opportunities for intelligent and stimulating exchange of ideas, became a haven for Stevenson. Because he was somewhat older and because he remembered the trials of his own first marriage, Williams could take the role of "elder brother," lending emotional support to both Stevenson and Fanny Osbourne as they sought her freedom from an unhappy marriage. Superior Court Judge Timothy H. Reardon was instrumental in helping Fanny secure her divorce. When Fanny found herself unable to pay his $100 fee, she offered him a painting she had done in Grez, France in 1876, the year she had met Stevenson. On her return to San Francisco she had shown the painting to Williams, and he, feeling that it needed some human interest, had added four small figures. Reardon accepted the painting as payment, and it has been in his family ever since; it is now on loan to the Silverado Museum in St. Helena.[42]

Fanny Osbourne and Robert Louis Stevenson were married on Wednesday, May 19, 1880, and a week later they ventured forth to seek a home away from the San Francisco fogs, which were adding to Stevenson's health problems. Their funds were dwindling, and Williams helped them find shelter near his ranch in the old abandoned mining area of the Silverado Western Mines. Here the clean, brisk air, Fanny's excellent cooking, and moderate exercise soon restored Stevenson's good health.[43]

Notes recording the sights and sounds of these days, jotted in Stevenson's ever-handly penny notebooks, resulted in Silverado Squatters,[44] a book he dedicated to Virgil and Dora Williams. Later Dora was to say: "one of my dearest possessions was the handwritten dedication poem" Stevenson had inscribed in the gift copy he sent her when the book was published.[45]

On December 18, 1886, Williams went on a hunting-and-sketching trip. When he returned he told Dora that he was suffering chest pains and confessed that he was not up to the rigors of climbing the hills he so enjoyed. He retired at about 10 p.m. expecting to leave early the next morning to see his physician, Dr. Chishmore, in San Francisco, but at about midnight Williams awakened with excruciating pains. He died at about 2 a.m.[46]

On December 21, 1886, at 1 p.m. funeral services were conducted by
Watercolor by Dora Williams, 1882.
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the San Francisco Art Association and the Bohemian Club. The large number of students, friends, and contemporaries who attended the service testify to the respect and love which Virgil Macey Williams had inspired. The Directors of the San Francisco Art Association adopted a lengthy Memorial Resolution which included the following tribute:

Virgil Williams's work as an artist now belongs to history, and his rank will be determined by those of his own profession who are most competent to judge of it. It is enough for us that it will be such as his friends may recall with pride. As to his position as a teacher of art, we have the right to speak, for we have had the means of judging. It was his favorite work, and one to which he gladly devoted his life, and here in the city and school where he labored and loved to labor his skill and success will stand pre-eminent forever."

At the January, 1887, meeting of the Pacific Coast Amateur Photographic Association, Archibald J. Treat, a close friend of Virgil Williams, praised his contributions to the art of photography and his generosity as a teacher. He also spoke of the admirable personal qualities which must have been the ultimate secret of Virgil Williams's success as a painter, teacher, organizer of art projects, school director, and friend of many great and influential people:

In calling myself his friend I am glad to say I am only one of many, for he possessed the peculiar gift of magnetism which attracted people to him, and when they learned his character they could not help becoming his true friend. He had traveled so extensively and seen so much, read so wisely and remembered so well, that he was the prince of companions, and those who knew him loved him."

Checklist of Paintings by Virgil Macey Williams, 1830–1886

The forty-four works listed below have been located and currently belong to the collections indicated. Works in the hands of owners who wish to remain anonymous are listed with permission.

Each entry includes the following parts where available: Title, Medium, Dimensions in inches, height before width. Signature information. Date. Name of institution or individual in possession of the work.


**Along the Mariposa Trail.** Oil on canvas: 42 × 36. Signed, 1865–1866. California Historical Society, gift of Mr. and Mrs. Alan K. Browne.

**Woodland and Animal Scenes.** Portfolio containing one charcoal drawing and 12 mammoth plate photographs of charcoal drawings. Portfolio is inscribed: To Mr. Josiah Hasbrooks with regards of the artist Virgil Williams. Drawing signed lower right: VW 72. Four plates signed lower left: VW 70. Two plates signed lower right: VW 70. Three plates signed lower left: VW 71. Two plates signed lower right: VW 71. One plate unsigned. California Historical Society Library.


**Mt. St. Helena from Knights Valley.** Oil on canvas: 26 × 41½. Signed lower left. Oakland Museum, Kahn collection.

**Untitled landscape.** Oakland Museum, on loan from private collector.

**Yosemite Valley.** Oil on canvas: 29½ × 50. Oakland Museum, on loan from Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco.

**Peasant.** Oil on board: 9¼ × 7½. Oakland Museum, on loan from Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco.

**Boy’s Head.** Oil on board: 11 × 12.
Roman Campagna.

Throughout his career, Williams used scenes he had observed during his 1850s stay in Italy as subjects for his paintings.

ZANKE & WEST COLLECTION, SAN FRANCISCO
M. H. de Young Memorial Museum, gift of Alexander Heyman.


Cattle Drive in the Foothills. Oil on canvas: 26 × 42. Signed lower right. 1885. George N. Keyston, Jr.


At the Well. Oil on canvas: 21 × 15½. Signed lower right. 1875. Katherine M. Littell.


The Roman Campagna. Oil on canvas: 26 × 42. Signed. 1872. Zankel-West Collection, San Francisco.

The following items belong to anonymous owners.


Shepherd Boy in Italian Landscape. Oil on canvas. Signed lower right. 1865.

Fruit Vendor, Italian. Oil on canvas: 14 × 11½. Signed lower right. 1886.


Saddling the Horse. Oil on canvas: 15 × 30. Signed lower left. 1883.


Landscape with Children Playing. Shadow box, oil on canvas: 6 × 8½. Signed lower right.

Farm Scene. Oil on canvas: 11 × 14. Signed lower right.


Cerrara. Oil on canvas: 14½ × 8½. Titled and signed lower left.

See notes beginning on page 157.
Road from Knights Valley to Great Western Mine.

This etching is one of many works depicting the area around the Williamses' country home near Mt. St. Helena.

SILVERADO MUSEUM
to Save the Republic
THE CALIFORNIA WORKINGMEN'S PARTY IN HUMBOLDT COUNTY

Daniel Cornford

Viewed from San Francisco, as it usually is, the California Workingmen's party appears as a reactive formation organized in response to the depression of the 1870s and the flood of Chinese immigrants released into the labor market by the completion of the Transcontinental Railroad. Yet Workingmen's parties were founded in forty of California's fifty-two counties, and within less than a decade labor tickets or parties would appear in one hundred and eighty-nine towns and cities in thirty-four states. Relatively little attention has been paid to dissenting third-party movements in the small towns and rural areas of Gilded Age America, although until 1900 two-thirds of the population lived in such places. Historians of nineteenth-century radicalism have concentrated instead on events in major metropolitan areas. Furthermore, to the extent that the third-party insurrections of the late 1870s and 1880s have been examined, their ideological and institutional antecedents have often been neglected.

In far northern California's Humboldt County, a radical democratic-republican tradition sustained a succession of dissenting third-party political and social movements, including the California Workingmen's party, the Greenback Labor party, the International Workingmen's Association, the Knights of Labor, and the Populists. Although none lasted more than a few years, the persistent reappearance of such movements indicates the vitality of the critical perspective which spawned them. In newspaper editorials, letters to the local press, diaries, sermons, correspondence to regional and national labor leaders, and in party platforms, Humboldt dissidents, from a wide variety of callings, clearly articulated an ideology shaped by the more radical elements of the values and rhetoric of the American Revolution and Jacksonian democracy.

The radical democratic-republican ideology drew on a cluster of ideas that embraced the notion of "equal rights" and the labor theory of value. This progressive antebellum ideological legacy was sustained and reinforced by the acrimonious Civil War debates in which Union supporters characterized the conflict as one between the noble free laborer of the North and an autocratic slaveocracy in the South. Embodied in the free-labor ideology was a deep faith that under a government founded and maintained on true democratic-republican principles the workingman could rapidly ascend the social ladder. A Humboldt Times editorial in 1864 argued that "if there is one thing in our government which more than commends it to the people it is the fact that the gate of honor is open to the poor and rich alike." Moreover, any government that deprived a worker of the full product of his labor was guilty of "class legislation" and of fostering the interests of "monopolies" at the expense of the honest toiler. A government that adhered to true republican principles would result in a society in which, according to Humboldt pioneer James Beith, "none are very rich and none very poor." While even the most radical upholders of the democratic-republican tradition did not believe in the feasibility or desirability of absolute social equality, nevertheless, as Beith put it, the principal aim of government should be "how to promote best the true social equality."

As they scrutinized Gilded Age America, Humboldt County radicals were greatly alarmed by what they saw. They were convinced that economic power was becoming increasingly and dangerously concentrated and that the once pristine American political system was suffering from a serious affliction evidenced by a series of charges and revelations of corruption in local, state, and national government. Moreover, to the extent that the pioneers of Humboldt and other California counties expected to find a land of boundless opportunity and rough social equality in the Golden State, they were to be sorely disappointed. Within a decade of the Gold Rush, disparities of wealth were as marked as in many of the eastern communities from which the pioneers had come.

Unlike the Eastern urban artisans who have been the focus of most of the important studies of nineteenth-century working-class radicalism, Humboldt's dissenters were not being "deskilled" or seriously af-
politics in Humboldt County was dominated by national issues. The county conventions and platforms of the major political parties hardly addressed local issues, and there is little evidence of divisiveness over them. The protracted sectional crisis probably helped subsume tensions, but there were other reasons for the consensus in local politics. Humboldt's pioneers were united by a desire to promote their community to outsiders. Highly conscious of their geographical isolation, they realized the need to attract outside capital and a larger population if the county was to become a viable economic entity. Accordingly, there was a widespread recognition of the need to use county revenues to lay the foundation of a basic economic infrastructure. At the same time, the possibility of discord over appropriations and expenditures was limited by their small scale. In addition, the transience of many early pioneers lessened the chances of polarization over local issues.

In the late 1860s, with the sectional conflict no longer the preeminent issue and with the county population growing and becoming more settled, important questions arose concerning county revenues that brought the consensus to an abrupt end. An increasing number of citizens began to feel that the county was going too deeply into debt to fund internal improvements and that the burden of taxation was falling disproportionately on small farmers and workers. A proposal in 1867 to build a hundred-mile road to link Humboldt County with the state road system raised a storm of protest amid charges of corruption and incompetence in the county government. The bond issue to finance the project was defeated by a vote of 1,038 to 134 in the 1868 election. In 1870, a bitter debate erupted over the extent of the county's indebtedness for expenditures financed by county warrants which no longer sold at anything like their par value. A year later, a plan to build a railroad from Eureka to the Eel River Valley encountered fierce opposition; voters repudiated a proposed $100,000 bond issue 899 to 143.

The Republican party retained its ascendancy over the Democrats in Humboldt County for the immediate postbellum years, but its image was tainted and its support eroded by charges of corruption. A series of letters in the Northern Independent alleged that Republican candidate for the state Assembly J. De Haven paid almost no local taxes and that the taxes paid by everyone on the 1869...
To many Humboldt County residents it seemed that a serious malaise afflicted the American body politic.

Republican ticket amounted to “a mere pittance.”\(^\text{14}\) At the same time, H. L. Knight, the future secretary of the California Workingmen’s party, charged that the vote at the Republican party convention had been blatantly manipulated to secure the renomination of Humboldt County sheriff W. S. Barnum and that Barnum was guilty of various forms of tax evasion.\(^\text{15}\) Barnum’s rebuttal was not convincing, and the Humboldt Times, which had supported the Republican party since the Civil War, endorsed several “independent” candidates while refraining from disputing the charges. At the election, the Republican party’s traditional large majority was severely pruned, and Sheriff Barnum was not reelected.

Increasingly, the issues of taxation, public indebtedness, corruption, and political cliques became linked in the minds of many Humboldt County residents, a perception that was reinforced by their view of developments in state and national politics. To a growing number of people it seemed that, whether the symptom was a corrupt local sheriff or a national Crédit Mobilier scandal, a serious malaise had begun to afflict the American body politic. Numerous instances of actual or alleged corruption at all levels of government in the late 1860s and early 1870s shook people’s faith in their political institutions. In Humboldt County, the Republican party had emerged from the Civil War with a large reservoir of moral and political credit that enabled it to buck the trend toward the Democratic party that occurred throughout most of California. But by the early 1870s, many Humboldters felt that the Republicans had exhausted their credit.

In 1871, Louis Tower, who had been an ardent supporter of the Republican party in the 1860s, eloquently expressed the growing sense of foreboding and disenchantment of many Humboldters in a series of articles entitled the “Next Irrepressible Conflict.” Tower stated that it was his duty to “call the attention of my fellow laborers—the producers of wealth—to the consideration of our interests as treated in the policies and practices of our government.” He asserted that “the tendency of our legislatures both national and state . . . is drifting in favor of capital” and mentioned specifically the growing wealth and power of corporations and railroads; the pervasiveness of corruption in politics; and the “absorption” of the public domain “into the hands of capitalists through Congressional action,” which threatened the free laborer with “the fate that has befallen the workers of the older more densely populated countries.” Tower spoke of the Republican party in its early days as representing “the rise, progress and culmination of the principle that labor should be free and that the soil, the great bank of labor exchange, should be free also.” But, he argued, the conflict between labor and capital was not inevitable, and the “producers of wealth” should form a new party that would elect men of integrity.\(^\text{16}\)

The Humboldt Times sensed the growing disaffection and entreated the “laboring classes” to retain their loyalty to the Republican party. The newspaper reminded readers that the Democratic party had supported slavery, “the very bane of free labor,” had opposed the income tax, and had failed to provide public education in many states; the Republican party, in contrast, had abolished slavery, had thrown open the public lands to settlement, and had established a public educational system in many states.” Despite such pleas, disillusionment with the Republicans in Humboldt County mounted. In 1873, when Henry McGowan announced his candidacy for the state assembly as an independent, he expressed many of the same sentiments as Tower. He praised the Republicans for seeing the nation through the ordeal of the Civil War, but, he
Finance Companies in California." The Tax-Payer party declared its support for "equality of taxation, so that the burden of maintaining the government shall be borne by the rich in proportion to their wealth." Finally, it endorsed a measure to regulate "the carrying business of the country" by controlling railroad freight rates.20

The ensuing campaign was one of the most heated in the county's history. The Tax-Payer party faced difficulties from the outset. The Republican platform, although not quite as populist in tone, was almost indistinguishable from the Tax-Payer program in its planks on taxation, corruption, and monopoly. Several Republican candidates openly acknowledged that corruption and monopoly were serious problems. The Tax-Payer party also had to face the opposition of the county press and repeated allegations that party members were a group of "sore heads and broken down political hacks" who had been shunned by the Republican party, notwithstanding the fact that the Tax-Payer party held its convention before the Republicans.21

The Republicans fretted, in particular, about the allegiance of Humboldt's farmers. In 1872 and 1873, there were growing manifestations of their discontent. Farmers in various locales throughout the county began forming Farmers' Protective Unions in 1872 "for the purposes of reflecting the best interests of the farming community of the county and deriving some plan of action for mutual benefit."22 In 1873, Humboldt County farmers affiliated with the California Grange.23 While the Humboldt Grange did not make political endorsements, there can be no doubt that the organization reflected deep-seated discontents. Farmers complained repeatedly to the county press about low prices, and the Humboldt Times reported that for "several years" local farmers "have received but indifferent rewards for their labor" and that "in some instances it has taken nearly all . . . to pay commission and expenses of transportation."24

The overall performance of the Tax-Payer party was impressive. It succeeded in electing its candidate to the state assembly and lost most of the county contests by narrow margins. The extent of the county farmers' disaffection showed in the strong support the Tax-Payer party received in most rural precincts, equivalent to its showings in Eureka and Arcata.25 The 1873 election was the first electoral expression of a rising tide of dissent in Humboldt County. Rumbles of discontent had been growing louder since the Civil
War and were finally crystallizing into a coherent political movement. Several leading political figures in Humboldt County permanently severed their connections with the Republican and, to a lesser extent, Democratic parties. Sweasey emerged as the leading dissident in the county—a position he occupied for the next decade and that culminated in his nomination for the lieutenant governorship of California on the Greenback Labor party ticket in 1882. No one else in the county expressed with such lucidity and forcefulness the profound sense of disillusionment felt by many people.

Sweasey was born in London, England, in 1805. At age twenty-one, he captained a sea vessel engaged in trade with the West Indies. In 1837, he left “perfidious Albion” to set out for the land of the free,” and, shortly after arriving in America, he and his family joined Robert Owen’s communitarian settlement in New Harmony, Indiana. For several years he was a “near neighbor” and employee of Owen, whom he described as “an old and valued friend.” In the 1840s, Sweasey became involved with the Young America movement before taking the overland route to California in 1850. Soon after his arrival, he became a champion of settlers’ rights in their battle with the Spanish land grant holders. He became known as the “Squatter King,” and he lived on a ranch near Redwood City until he was evicted. He joined the Democratic party and in 1853 was elected to the California Assembly as a representative from San Francisco. In 1855 he moved to Hydesville, in southern Humboldt County, where he engaged in dairy farming. Within a year, he was chairman of the Humboldt County Democratic party, but shortly after the election of James Buchanan in 1856, he left the party. He helped found the county’s Republican party and was its chairman from its inception until 1872.

Sweasey moved with his family to Eureka in 1862 and established a successful general store there.26 By 1867, in spite of his prominent position in the county’s Republican party, Sweasey had become highly critical of the Republican-dominated county administration. Just before the 1873 elections, he severed his ties with the party. He wrote frequent letters to the local press voicing his profound concern at the direction in which he believed America was heading, the most eloquent of which appeared a few months after the 1873 election.

Look at the corruption and venality exposed in our late national councils. Look at the profligate disposal of our public domain, the noblest inheritance ever bequeathed to a people. Look at our swindling financial system, made and perpetuated to make the rich richer and the poor poorer. Look at the mass of misery and crime in our great cities; near 1,500 homicides in the city of New York alone in one year; thousands thrown houseless, breadless on the street. Why? Are they idle, unwilling to work? Has nature refused her support? Neither. Our harvests were never more bountiful. . . . A century ago honesty and ability guided our national councils. Today can we say so? A few years more of this misrule of the weak minded and where will be the superiority of the condition of our people over the condition of the people of the monarchial governments of Europe? Already our taxes are greater than the taxes of any other people or nation. Our lands are held in quantities larger than German principalities; not by aristocracies of birth, but by aristocracies of wealth, by corporations who have no souls, who never die, who control the weak minded men, who fill our legislative halls, both National and State, while thousands upon thousands are suffering for food, shelter and the commonest necessaries of life.27

The depression of the late 1870s reinforced the fears of men like Sweasey and led to a revival of organized dissenting political activity. The dissidents were struck
Although their dress set tannery owners Pete and John Sass (front row center) off from their employees, their place in this portrait suggests that they knew the feel and smell of a hide and could probably step in for an absent worker in an emergency. Such relationships reinforced the dream of upward mobility and blurred class distinctions.

Photo by Adam W. Gilfillan.

No owner joined these mill workers for their group portrait. Larger establishments with corporate or absentee owners became more common in Humboldt County in the 1880s, but the trend away from family businesses was already apparent in the 1870s.

The depression severely affected the Humboldt County lumber industry as the price of redwood lumber plummeted. In 1876, prices stood at an all-time high of $30 per thousand board feet for clear lumber; by 1879, it had slumped to $18 per thousand feet. Miserable wages were cut by $5 to $25 a month in February, 1877, a move that reportedly gave rise to “considerable complaint.” After the July 4 holiday that year, lumber employers closed their mills indefinitely. Hundreds of lumber workers lost their jobs, and there were grave predictions about the repercussions on the local economy. Few mills resumed operations in 1877, and poverty and unemployment were widespread. The local press reported that many families were in dire straits. There were recurrent complaints about tramps and incidents of alleged arson.

The press received a stream of anonymous letters that were indicative of growing social tensions. The Democratic Standard, which in 1877 came under the auspices of Greenback Labor party supporter William Ayres, provided a fresh outlet for expressions of discontent. In November 1877, it published a strongly worded letter from “Argonaut,” insisting that a man had the right to work and warning that, while people prefer legal remedies, “men cannot be patient when they are hungry.” He compared the plight of labor to a turtle “upon which the elephants of capital stand.” The Humboldt Times received an equally strongly worded communication from “Justice”:

Dissensions, like contagions, seem to spread over the country. Even the little Hamlet of Arcata is not an exception. She has a few pioneers who have been fortunate enough to make a little money out of the Indians, the soldiers and the later immigrants, until they have acquired a few town lots and some tenantable housing. Not unlike the railroad kings are the self-constituted aristocrats who claim the right to extort by law . . . all the blood money possible from the poorer classes.

Another theme expressed in critical letters to the editor was suspicion that public land laws were being violated. One writer charged the county surveyor with long delays in filing plats for preempted claims and suggested that the delays were a conspiracy to aid the “land grabbers.” In fact, it was a common practice for large Humboldt County landholders to circumvent the 160-acre homestead limit by paying another person a fee to file the initial claim with the understanding that the land title would soon be transferred to the sponsoring landholder.

Land fraud and the growing concentration of land ownership received considerable attention in the state press. Thus, in 1873 the Sacramento Daily Record published articles based on data from the State Board of Equalization which revealed that land distribution had become very skewed in many California counties. These findings were reported in many California newspapers, including the San Francisco Chronicle and the Humboldt Times. In Humboldt, forty individuals or businesses owned over a thousand acres in 1873, and five owned more than five thousand. One individual owned 23,169 acres. By the late 1870s, letters to the county press on the land question were frequent enough to suggest that sentiment on this issue contributed significantly to the discontent.

In the debates surrounding the election of delegates to the California
constitutional convention in 1878, land monopoly and fraud were the most frequently discussed issues. Sweasey wrote several long, impassioned letters on the subject. He asserted that unless reforms were undertaken to ensure a more equitable distribution of land, the result would be “serfdom and slavery or a bloody revolution.” He pointed to the turmoil in Ireland as proof of his argument and added that “what was done in Ireland by war and conquest was more successfully done in California by fraud under the pretense of law.” Sweasey described in great detail the fraudulent means by which much of California’s land was acquired shortly after the Mexican-American War. He insisted that similar frauds were being used to obtain land in parts of California not covered by the Spanish land grants and alluded to one scheme to aggrandize “thirty square leagues, north of Cape Mendocino.” In another letter, Sweasey spoke of land monopoly as the “greatest evil,” and recalled the day he had witnessed eighty families being evicted from their land under the English enclosure laws to make way for a deer park. At the Franklin Society Debating Club in Eureka in 1878, a schoolteacher, George Sarvis, echoed many of Sweasey’s arguments. Sarvis spoke in favor of a motion to limit the amount of land an individual or corporation might own on the grounds that “the holding of large and unlimited quantities of land by one individual or an association of individuals disturbs the unalienable right of each citizen and when carried out, destroys popular government.”

Humboldt County farmers were not immediately hit by the depression of the late 1870s. Harvests in 1877 and 1878 were bountiful, and prices for most crops held constant, although they began to fall slightly in 1879. Nevertheless, the county’s Grange did not hesitate to join other dissidents in calling for far-reaching reforms. The Grange had become a strong force in the social and political life of the county by the late 1870s. There were at least six branches of the Grange in 1877. Complete lists of branches and membership figures are unfortunately hard to obtain, but the fact that the Ferndale Grange boasted a membership of 150 in 1877 (up from 90 in 1874) suggests that the Humboldt County Grange was flourishing. The Grange performed important social and economic functions. The Table Bluff Grange built its own hall, and all the Granges frequently held dances and other events. The Table Bluff Grange (and perhaps others) also established cooperative retail facilities. In the political realm, Humboldt Grangers stessed the need for a stable and expanded money supply based on silver and greenbacks. And, in general, their prognosis for the American body politic was gloomy. In March 1878, the Ferndale Grange passed the following resolution:

Whereas, a people view with alarm the growing tendency (by legislation) of a bourbon aristocracy, a system of landlordism such as exists in Germany, England and throughout Europe, and which if not checked soon will finally reduce the working classes of America to mere slaves and vassals. . . . The toiling masses of this country are today to the banks and corporations what the peons of Mexico are to the aristocracy of that so-called Republic.

Resolved, that we look upon this bourbon element with suspicion and distrust in their efforts to subvert that form of government bequeathed to us by our fathers, and to erect instead a semi-despotist government, controlled by a centralized aristocracy.

A host of grievances that had been simmering for a decade surfaced in 1877-1878 in the context of the depression and the debate over the need for a new state constitution. Complaints included the costs of state government, inequitable tax laws, corruption in government at all levels, and the political power of the railroads in California and nationwide. This confluence of events and discontents led to the formation of a California
Workingmen’s party in Humboldt and thirty-nine other California counties. Humboldt voters expressed their growing disquiet in September 1877 when a statewide referendum was held on whether to call a convention to rewrite the 1849 California Constitution. In general, Californians content with the status quo were opposed to a convention. Humboldt County voted in favor of a convention by a margin of 10–1 (2,552 votes to 258), voters statewide approved the measure by less than a 2–1 majority (73,400 to 44,200).

In San Francisco, another issue gained prominence at this time. Anti-Chinese sentiment reached new heights during the depression of the late 1870s, a fact that historians have viewed as the most important element in the birth of the Workingmen’s party there. The Chinese population of Humboldt County also increased, from 38 in 1870 to 242 in 1880, and by the late 1870s Eureka possessed a Chinatown of sorts. The local press commented occasionally on the alleged existence of opium dens and brothels in Eureka’s Chinatown, and several attacks on Chinese people, usually by Eureka youths, took place. Notwithstanding this, and the fact that in 1885 Eureka achieved the dubious distinction of being one of the first western communities to expel its Chinese population, Sinophobia was not a major issue in county politics in the late 1870s for a number of reasons. First, by 1880 the Chinese constituted only 1.5 percent of the county’s population, whereas in San Francisco they made up 16.3 percent of the inhabitants, and they were 8.7 percent of the state population. Moreover, Humboldt’s Chinese population was relatively dispersed. Eureka, with its so-called Chinatown, in 1880 contained only 101 Chinese people. Second, while competition from Chinese labor may have aroused some animosity, few Chinese were employed in the county’s two principal industries, lumber and agriculture. Most worked as miners (66), laborers (62), cooks (37), and in the laundry business (23). Only 6 of the 228 Chinese employed in the county worked in the lumber industry. Thus, the Chinese in Humboldt County did not threaten white labor as directly as they did in San Francisco and other parts of California. Significantly, when lumber employers tried to make more extensive use of Chinese labor in the early 1880s, anti-Chinese sentiment rose dramatically. Undoubtedly, most Humboldters favored Chinese exclusion by the late 1870s, but a host of other grievances were far more important in the formation of the California Workingmen’s party.

The Humboldt County Workingmen’s party was organized in May 1878 to participate in elections to choose delegates to the California constitutional convention. Sweasey, the party’s first chairman, was the candidate for the county delegate seat. J. N. Barton, a farmer from Ferndale, received the senatorial nomination for the 27th District. The party’s convention passed a string of resolutions: Public officers convicted of bribery should be liable to a twenty-year jail sentence; taxes should be levied only “to meet the expenses of government”; and “taxation should be equal, so that the burden of maintaining government be borne by the rich in proportion to their wealth.” Also, railroads should be taxed in relation to their “actual cash value,” while the large landholdings of corporations and wealthy individuals should be taxed at the same rate per acre as small landholders. All legal means should be used to halt the immigration of the Chinese “and other inferior races who cannot amalgamate with us.”

A few days after the convention, the party founded a newspaper, the Workingman, edited by Sweasey and Barton.

The county Democratic and Republican organizations joined forces to elect delegates to the constitutional convention. County judge C. G. Stafford applauded this cooperation, for “as matters now stand it is possible for the Communists to get con-
Humboldt County voters in the 1877 referendum favored a constitutional convention by a margin of 10 to 1; the statewide margin was 2 to 1.

trol of the Convention. The fusion plan aroused the ire of the Workingmen's party. The Democratic Standard asserted that "the managers of the two parties, under the direction of the monopolists, have joined hands... against the 'common enemy,' that is, the workingman."

At the June 19 election, the Humboldt County Workingmen's party triumphed over the "nonpartisan" party. Both Sweasey and Barton were elected delegates to the constitutional convention. On the whole, the votes for the two men were remarkably evenly distributed over the county, with both candidates picking up approximately the same levels of support in Eureka as they did in the rural precincts. In Eureka, Sweasey and Barton won fifty-six percent and sixty percent of the vote, respectively. Outside Eureka, Sweasey's share of the vote in all precincts combined was slightly lower (fifty percent) and Barton's somewhat higher (sixty-seven percent). The consistency of the two men's performance throughout the county's twenty-three precincts indicates the breadth of support for the Workingmen's party.

Barton proved an especially effective spokesman at the constitutional convention. He spoke with particular stridency on the issue of "land grabbing," calling for a state investigation and the repossession of fraudulently acquired lands. But he declared that he was pledged to no "agrarian measures" and that he was not at the convention "to disturb the rights of property." He advocated "equal taxation" as the best means to stop land grabbing. To this end, he introduced several resolutions calling for amendments to the state's tax system, including the adoption of a state income tax. He also spoke in favor of retribution in state expenditures and a reduction in the salaries of state officials.

The Humboldt Workingmen's party was pleased with the outcome of the constitutional convention, unlike the San Francisco branch of the party, which split on the question of ratification. Within two weeks of the convention, the Humboldt party launched a vigorous campaign to ratify the new constitution, which promised strict regulation of railroads and other public utilities, a more equitable system of taxation, an eight-hour day on all public works projects, and a series of anti-Chinese provisions. The Democratic Standard was the only newspaper in the county to endorse ratification unequivocally. It denounced the California Democratic party for opposing ratification and accused the party of betraying "the true principles taught us by a Jefferson and a Jackson," and called on its readers to "remember General Jackson and his war upon the privileged classes."

In the ratification referendum on May 7, 1879, California voters endorsed the new constitution by a relatively small margin of 77,959 to 67,134 votes; but in Humboldt County the ratification majority was much more decisive, with 1,714 votes in favor and 1,051 against.

The Humboldt Workingmen's party perceived the ratification as a triumph for the workingman, and the party's success encouraged the belief that the time was ripe for a basic realignment of political forces to regenerate a corrupt and decadent American body politic. With remarkable frequency, letters to local newspapers harkened back nostalgically to the days of Jefferson and Jackson when the American republic supposedly had true Democrats at the helm. As one voter, "Jeffersonian," put it: "We are upon the eve of a reorganization of political forces. The two old parties have had their day." The Democratic party represented democracy in name only and had "drifted far from its moorings," while the Republican party was dominated by corporations and pro-Chinese sentiment. He concluded that the Workingmen's party was the only true standard-bearer of pure democratic principles.

The profound concern expressed about the peril to American democracy cannot be dismissed as partisan
The political rhetoric. "Is this a Republic?" asked the Democratic Standard at the head of its editorial column immediately after the ratification election. It recounted how, just before the election, workers at one lumber mill had found a ticket under their dinner plates marked "Against the Constitution." The Standard commented: "When the daily laborer can be intimidated and forced to vote against his judgment what is he but a slave," and the editorial concluded that "if we are to be a republic let it be so in fact. Our sires laid down their lives to establish one. We should be prepared to maintain it, if needs be with our lives." A month later, the Standard reported that some employers in the county had dismissed workers who had voted for the new constitution. Events at the local, state, and national levels produced profound disquiet on the part of many Humboldtians, who saw themselves as defending a sacred democratic-republican legacy. Not surprisingly, they invoked the figureheads, symbols, and rhetoric of a supposedly golden age.

The Humboldt Workingmen's party began taking steps in the spring of 1879 to consolidate its organization to contest the forthcoming statewide and county elections. In March, 1879, a convention was held to elect delegates to a state convention of the Workingmen's party and to encourage the establishment of workingmen's clubs. By June, 1879, clubs were mushrooming throughout the county. In the same month, a convention nominated candidates and drew up a platform. The platform ex- tolled the new constitution, stressing in particular how it would reduce the burden of taxation. But it reiterated that the resolute implementation of the new constitution depended on electing "faithful friends" to all branches of government.

Who were the "faithful friends" nominated by the Workingmen's party? Most of the candidates were in their forties or early fifties and had come to California in the 1850s. Almost all had resided in Humboldt County for at least ten years. A majority were natives of the New England and Middle Atlantic regions and came from relatively humble origins. Very few had held public office before, and only one had done so in Humboldt County. Two farmers, both Grangers, were on the ticket; one owned a "small farm" and the other a "comfortable farm." Thomas Cutler, the candidate for sheriff, was the only businessman on the ticket. He was, allegedly, one of only two merchants in Eureka who supported the Workingmen's party "against all the threats of the San Francisco wholesale merchants and railroad carriers." Two of the men on the ticket ran livery stables.

One was Pierce Ryan, the senatorial candidate for the state's 27th District; the other, John Carr, had spent most of his life as a miner and blacksmith. The nominee for county clerk was a carpenter, and the candidate for county treasurer had worked in the lumber mills for six years. Three professional people—two lawyers and a schoolteacher—rounded out the ticket. Their prospective offices of district attorney, superior court judge, and school administrator demanded at least a modicum of professional training and experience.

The Workingmen's party conducted a spirited campaign against the Republicans and Democrats in the county. Leaders of the new party berated the old-line forces for opposing ratification of the state constitution and portrayed themselves as the true standard-bearers of the American democratic tradition. J. D. H. Chamberlin, the Workingmen's party candidate for superior county judge, opened a speech at Ferndale by quoting at length from the Declaration of Independence. The Democratic Standard warned that there are "vital principles involved in the election of the most unimportant officer.... The tory spirit has revived after 100 years of rest and today opposes the honest yeomanry of our country with all the oppressive bitterness that persecuted the heroes of American freedom."
the evening before election day, the Workingmen’s party staged a torchlight parade in Eureka that drew supporters from all over the county. The Standard described the procession as “composed entirely of farmers, laborers and mechanics.”

Although the Workingmen’s party did not achieve the sweeping success it had in electing delegates to the constitutional convention, its performance was impressive. Every candidate for statewide office on the Workingmen’s ticket got a majority of the vote in Humboldt County. Party candidates for the state senate and legislature were elected, and the party won half the county’s executive positions, losing the remainder by only a few votes to the fusionist opposition. Precinct returns again indicated that the Workingmen’s party received consistent support throughout the county, performing best in the burgeoning agricultural townships of Ferndale and Table Bluff. In most other rural precincts the party performed no better, and sometimes worse, than in Eureka, where it fell only a few votes short of a majority in almost all county and state contests. Statewide, the Workingmen elected the chief justice of the state supreme court, five of six associate justices, and sixteen assemblymen and eleven state senators. This result failed to give the party the hoped-for majority in the state legislature and was somewhat disappointing in view of its strong showing in the 1878 constitutional convention elections.

The ineffectual performance of many party representatives once in state and local office and persistent factionalism in the San Francisco branch led to a rapid decline of the party after the 1879 state elections. The gathering political momentum of the National Greenback party encouraged some members of the Workingmen’s party, including Denis Kearney, leader of the San Francisco branch, to join the Greenbacks. In addition, the success of the Workingmen’s party prompted California’s Republican and Democratic parties (especially the latter) to become more responsive to the demands of the Workingmen’s party on such issues as Chinese exclusion, land monopoly, and stricter regulation of railroads. Many Workingmen’s representatives aligned with one of the two major parties, usually the Democrats, in a process that Alexander Saxton dubbed “the institutionalization of labor politics.”

The decline of the Workingmen’s party in Humboldt County reflected its demise statewide. Supporters were discouraged by the overall performance of the party in the 1879 state elections and in municipal elections in Humboldt and other counties in early 1880. Throughout the 1879 campaign party leaders stressed that the new constitution was a dead letter unless the party obtained a majority in the state legislature. Thus, the Humboldt County Workingmen’s party virtually turned the election into a referendum on the future of the party. Immediately after the election, the Democratic Standard declared that the new constitution had been “practically nullified.” It lamented the well-publicized factionalism of the San Francisco branch and the fact that a considerable number of Workingmen’s party representatives were moving into the old parties. Humboldtians who retained their faith in the new party after the elections became disillusioned with the performance of some representatives. In April 1880, the Standard reported “much talk of dissatisfaction among the workingmen of Eureka about the policy which some of the county officers elected on the Workingmen’s ticket have chosen to pursue.” George Shaw, who had been elected county assessor on the party ticket, incurred the wrath of many people when he added an office clerk to his staff at a salary of $135 per month and selected a long-time enemy of the Workingmen’s party as his main adviser. By April, 1880, Shaw was so unpopular that he required a bodyguard. Growing interest in the Greenback
The 1888 Humboldt County history features a number of displays of the multiple properties of prominent citizens—concentrations of wealth that fueled the disquiet of the county's dissenters.

Labor party hastened the dissolution of the Humboldt County Workingmen's party. Greenback clubs sprang up throughout the county between 1878 and 1880. In fact, remnants of the Workingmen's party reconstituted themselves as the Humboldt Greenback Labor party. The Greenbackers' panaceas had a much stronger appeal in Humboldt County than they did in San Francisco and many other California counties.

By the late 1870s, a coherent dissenting tradition had emerged in Humboldt County. The evolution of this tradition owed much to the persistence of values associated with an antebellum democratic-republican ideology that stressed the superiority of the American political system. Chauvinistic and almost millennial assumptions engendered a profound set of beliefs and expectations about the nature of the American political economy. In particular, the free-labor tenet and its corollary, the labor theory of value, stressing as they did the immense contribution of the free laborer to America's progress, heightened expectations about the future, reinforced the workingman's sense of his moral worth, and endowed him with a civic responsibility to scrutinize the destiny of the republic. Between 1866 and 1880, developments at the local, state, and national levels convinced many Humboldtians that pernicious economic and political events threatened the sanctity and purity of the American Republic and seriously threatened the free laborer's advancement.

Undeniably, contradictions and ambiguities existed in the democratic-republican legacy. Two contradictions, in particular, are worth noting. Both derived from a marked discrepancy between the dissenters' penetrating political analysis and their often superficial prescriptions. On the crucial question of land monopoly, for example, Sweasey took a radical stance in advocating a statutory limitation on the amount of land a person might own. Barton and the Ferndale Grange, for all their deeply felt anxieties about the concentration of land ownership and land fraud, could not countenance so direct an interference with the rights of private property. Paradoxically, many dissenters railed against what they perceived as the dangers of unfettered capitalism but could not bring themselves to advocate far-reaching controls (with the possible exception of railroad regulation) over private property rights. This disparity between a keen perception of fundamental problems and a naive faith in piecemeal solutions that ignored underlying structural problems stands out in the dissenters' faith that all could be rectified if only good, honest men were elected. Even a man as disenchanted as Sweasey could in one breath speak of the gravity of social and economic trends and the threat to the republic and in the next proclaim his belief in the ability of the "best men" to correct the situation.

Notwithstanding its ambiguous features, the democratic-republican tradition provided Humboldt's dissenters with an arsenal of ideas. Increasingly, they would jettison many (but not all) of the contradictory strands of the tradition and embrace reforms that entailed at least a measure of state control over private property. The Humboldt Workingmen's party bequeathed to the county a dissenting ideological legacy that the Greenback Labor party, the International Workingmen's Association, and the Knights of Labor were able to draw on in the 1880s, and that the Humboldt Populists relied on heavily in the 1890s. Many leaders of the Humboldt Workingmen's party played important roles in these movements. In 1886, the Arcata Union commented with alarm and derision on the growing strength of the People's party, the political arm of the Humboldt Knights of Labor, describing its leadership as "in the main the same old political fossils . . . that have monopolized every reform movement from the days of Kearney."

See notes beginning on page 158.
The Fall into Eden: Landscape and Imagination in California.


Reviewed by David Robertson, Associate Professor of English at the University of California, Davis and author of West of Eden: A History of Art and Literature of Yosemite.

This is a book completely controlled by Western modes of thought. Fundamental for Wyatt are the Bible with its emphasis on history as the stage on which God and human beings act out their purposes, and the Romantic imagination's postulation of "a Paradise within." He believes that human beings have finally to create meaning in time, not space, and the locus of this meaning is inside the mind.

The writers to whom Wyatt turns again and again for help in understanding Californian authors are Milton, Blake, Wordsworth, Emerson, and Frost, the grand European-Eastern American tradition. These same writers provide a grid on which California writers can be mapped: for example, Jeffers is the California Milton, Kenneth Rexroth the California Marvell, William Everson its Blake, and Gary Snyder its Wordsworth.

Wyatt says he originally conceived his book as an "act of praise" of the California landscape, but that it turned into "an elegy for the belief that happiness can be founded in natural space." He found the story of each of the writers he considers in full detail (Richard Henry Dana, Zenas Leonard, John Charles Frémont, Clarence King, Mary Austin, Frank Norris, John Steinbeck, Raymond Chandler, Robinson Jeffers, and Gary Snyder) "a story of loss" (p.207). "Careers that began as celebrations of an outer world often ended by affirming the reality of an inner one" (p.208). He concludes that "space is the generous and enabling lie told by time" and that "writing about landscape carries us beyond the need for landscape" (p.210).

It is not surprising that his book turned into an elegy, given Wyatt's assumptions. If you presuppose that people are what really matter, not places, that the passage of time is what poses the greatest threat to human beings, and that consolation can be found only inside the mind, that is, if you presuppose human alienation from nature, then that is what you will find. The move from praise to elegy was also predisposed by his own experience. Wyatt's main theme is that the California landscape is an autobiographical stage on which writers act out their own psychodramas, and in the "Prologue" he tells us that the idyllic acre of Southern California real estate where he was raised now lies under the Long Beach Freeway.

The tenor of this review was also in large measure predetermined by my assumption that time is as fictive a creation as space, by my belief that we are not necessarily alienated from the ground of our being, and by my conviction that an adequate account of California literature cannot be given using only the cate-
gories of western culture. California is the place where West most significantly meets East. Its writers may stand in the Romantic tradition, but many of them have placed at least one foot on the Asian continent. Some have even put the other one squarely onto American Indian soil.

I found Wyatt’s treatment of individual writers without exception to be insightful. His strength is correlating biography with literary output. In each chapter he probes biography until he discovers a centrally located psychological knot. Then he shows how the author in question projects that knot onto the landscape and tries to untie it by writing about it. In every case the effort fails, so that a sense of loss pervades the work.

Thus Mary Austin projected onto the land “the drama of nurturance being played out in her own life” (p.82). “It was his private failure to find ecstacy in his birthplace that most visibly troubled Steinbeck . . . his inability to cultivate happiness with a woman in California” (p.152). Consequently, his “best books try and usually fail to establish a place where ecstacy can be shared by the sexes” (p. 127). Chandler’s “story is of a man in search of a hidden truth” (p. 163) just as he himself searched for the secret of his wife’s age.

Wyatt always goes for what he takes as central to a writer’s life and work. As a result he comes away with large and generalizable insights. He has something important to say about a writer. At the same time, of course, he omits the nuances that a fuller treatment might discover and ponder over. All in all I find that I like Wyatt’s strategy. He gets right to the point. I am less happy about his presuppositions.

The Suburban Squeeze: Land Conversion and Regulation in the San Francisco Bay Area.


Reviewed by Charles Wollenberg, Professor of History at Vista College, Berkeley, and author of Golden Gate Metropolis.

The San Francisco Bay area, the United States’ fourth most populous metropolitan region, also has the nation’s highest housing prices and its most active local environmental movement. The connection between these latter two phenomena—the extent to which land use policies favored by environmentalists have increased the cost of shelter—is the subject of David Dowall’s The Suburban Squeeze. Bernard Frieden covered some of the same ground in The Environmental Protection Hustle (1979), but Dowall’s work is a far more careful and scholarly effort.

Dowall admits that environmental measures are often necessary and beneficial, but his major point is that “highly restrictive controls on growth do harm communities, businesses, and individual citizens.” He detects a “growing constituency for relaxed land use controls and more affordable housing” and intends to add his voice “to that rising chorus.”

The author supports his argument with case studies of three pairs of Bay Area suburban communities—Santa Rosa and Napa, Concord and Fremont, and San Rafael and Novato. As a result of these studies, he concludes that land use restrictions directly add eighteen to thirty-four percent to the cost of new Bay Area housing. Indirect effects, such as spillover pressure on neighboring communities, create additional burdens that further increase the price of both existing and new homes. This adversely affects the entire regional economy and especially hurts the poor as well as young middle class families who are attempting to enter the housing market.

While Dowall’s arguments are clear and well-crafted, they are unlikely to convince environmentalists. The book attempts to quantify in dollar terms only the costs of environmental policies but not the benefits, which, as Dowall admits, are also substantial. And while the book discusses land use policies in some East Coast communities, it does not compare the Bay Area with perhaps its closest equivalent, the Los Angeles basin. Housing costs in that region are almost as high as those in the Bay Area, but land use policies do not seem to be as strict. The book also lacks historical perspective, particularly coverage of pre-war Bay Area settlement patterns and the development of the region’s environmental consciousness. Finally, it is difficult to imagine widespread implementation of many of Dowall’s recommendations, such as high density housing development, without some form of overall regional government or regional planning agency. Yet the book all but ignores that politically controversial subject.

Dowall’s major miscalculation may be his conviction that there is substantial public support in favor of weakening strict land use policies. He contends that “issues of optimal city size are not very useful for formulating policy about housing . . .” “What is important,” he argues, “is maintaining the constant ebb and flow of business firms to ensure economic viability. And growth is the only thing that can produce this ebb and flow.” But a recent San Francisco Chronicle poll conducted by the Field Institute concluded that only twelve percent of Bay Area residents believed that economic growth was more important than environmental protection. Thus the popularity of land use constraints in individual suburban communities may not simply be a case of selfish local protectionism, but rather the harbinger of a broad-based movement to place limits on the growth in the Bay Area as a whole. For better or worse, the region may be breaking dramatic new ground in American urban policy and culture, challenging the basic assumption that limitless economic expansion and population growth are inevitable and desirable.

By Antonio Rios-Bustamante and Pedro Castillo. (University of California: Chicano Studies Research Center Publication, 1986, 196 pp., $15.00 cloth).

Reviewed by Manuel G. Gonzales, Instructor of History at Diablo Valley College, Pleasant Hill, California.

There are over two million Mexicans living in the County of Los Angeles today; only Mexico City and Guadalajara have larger Mexican populations. In this brief history, the twelfth monograph published by the Chicano Studies Research Center at UCLA, the authors trace the trials and tribulations, as well as the successes, of these Mexican residents of the City of Angels from the pueblo's founding in 1781 to the present day. The text is complemented by over 100 illustrations, most of them photographs.

Organized in chronological fashion, the book's eight chapters describe the origins of El Pueblo de la Reina de Los Angeles and relate the history of its Spanish-speaking inhabitants under Spanish, Mexican, and, after 1846, American rule. As the pueblo was rapidly transformed into a flourishing American metropolis in the late nineteenth century, Mexican Angelinos, now a racial minority, found themselves relegated to the status of second-class citizens. The twentieth century witnessed the dispersal of Mexicans from the city's central area, adjacent to the historic plaza, in all directions, especially eastward, and their gradual though incomplete absorption into the suburbs. Plagued by a multitude of problems, the Mexican community has continued to grow by leaps and bounds in recent years, while developing a unique culture that remains as vibrant today as at any time in the past.

The book is designed to appeal to both the general reader and the professional scholar, but the former will find it more informative than the latter. The text is a synthesis of work by Chicano and Anglo historians. Though the authors make good use of unpublished doctoral dissertations, primary sources are employed sparingly or not at all. One omission is particularly serious. The Report of Governor C.C. Young's Fact-Finding Committee, Mexicans in California, a well documented study published in 1930, focuses on Los Angeles County, yet absolutely no mention is made of this insightful document in "A Social Portrait, Circa 1930," a relatively lengthy section at the end of chapter six.

Given the vast scope of this work it is not surprising that many subjects (Catholicism is one) are accorded only superficial treatment. Other topics, however, are neglected altogether. For example, MECHA, the foremost student organization of the Chicano movement, is not mentioned at all in the discussion on contemporary politics.

Still, these criticisms should not detract from a book with several outstanding features, the best of which is the excellent collection of photographs gathered from private as well as public archives, including those of the California Historical Society. The authors do a fine job of placing the settlement of southern California in the wider context of New Spain's northward expansion, and in the process they effectively counter the popular view that the pobla- dores were Spanish nobles; in fact, the first Angelinos were "a representative
cross-section of the laboring class population of Mexico’s northern provinces” (p. 53). Another persisting myth laid to rest is that the Mexican community has been traditionally docile. This book makes it clear that in Los Angeles, as in other parts of the Southwest, Mexicans developed a variety of social, cultural, and even political organizations that helped them to adjust to American life beginning in the late nineteenth century.

In recent years a great deal of work has been done on ethnic communities of the American West. Chicano scholars have contributed significantly with important studies of Mexican barrios in El Paso, Tucson, Santa Barbara, and other Southwestern cities. *An Illustrated History of Mexican Los Angeles* is a valuable addition to this growing body of historical literature.

---

**James Pattie’s West: The Dream and the Reality.**


Reviewed by John E. Baur, Professor of History, California State University, Northridge, author of four books on California and the West.

Everyone familiar with the Mexican Era in California knows James Ohio Pattie’s classic *Personal Narrative*. Since Timothy Flint published it in 1831 its reliability has been challenged. This book analyzes it and Pattie’s dream of himself. Batman’s endeavor to find his reality makes the subtitle as appropriate as the original title, *American Ecclesiastics*, for Pattie realized his struggles to gain a wilderness fortune had come to nothing.

Batman begins with Pattie’s return to Kentucky, broke after five years’ adventures in the Southwest. He then shows how the twenty-six-year-old was encouraged to write his story.

The *Personal Narrative* fascinates Batman as it has others through five later editions, 1847–1962. Critics accused Flint of having written it; others called Pattie illiterate, but Batman convincingly demonstrates that Pattie had educated forebears and was in school before his trek. He believes that Flint’s editing worsened a fast-moving account. Probably Pattie used a diary and perhaps his father’s, too, to recall so many events and scenes. Following a painstaking mode of detection by internal evidence, Batman concludes that Pattie’s writings show an unimpressive, easily forgettable youth who revered VIPs and authority even in the wilderness, while making most ordinary acquaintances anonymous. He nearly worshipped his father Sylvester, who died in a California jail. James’s words reveal that he had led no rugged frontier childhood, had never hunted before, and was a poor businessman. In sum, Batman evaluates these memoirs as offering some new facts about an area and era little reported at the time, and the reactions of a youth to strange lands and ways. Nevertheless, Batman does not take Pattie’s words as Gospel and does a fine job showing why he cannot. Anyone using the *Narrative* ought to keep beside it Batman’s cautious “guidebook.” Often Pattie observed with care, and did not invent stories, but was frequently “between truth and fiction,” using overheard stories slightly changed to make himself the hero or his father the leader of men. His reported dramatic rescue of a New Mexican governor’s daughter probably was not James’s doing, nor did he actually accept a *California* rebel’s surrender. As a prisoner, his bitterness against Governor José María Echeandia is understandable, but too strong to be accurate, according to other gringos’ accounts. At first Pattie gives accurate geographical descriptions, but later these become vague and erroneous. Batman believes that he probably never visited the northern California missions. His famous account of vaccinating 22,000 Californians not only is statistically impossible—as long known—but probably never occurred. Californians had long known of vaccine, had some, and did not need his amateurish “skills.”

Throughout the *Narrative* is a dismal tale of Pattie’s heroics and hard work, others’ betrayals of him, losses of valuable furs, and his vain seeking of damages in Mexico.

Batman’s checking continues beyond the *Narrative*’s chronology, as he tries to trace Pattie’s later years. After an 1833 tax record in Kentucky, there is no reliable trail. Three vague, shaky reports of his being in California in 1849 are shown to be unacceptable. Yet, Pattie probably did not become a hermit or outcast, Batman believes. In a well-documented account of a cholera epidemic which hit Pattie’s home town, Augusta, Kentucky, in 1833, Batman speculates that he may have died then and there when records were hectic or absent. Perhaps so.

In tracing family and associates and illustrating life and landscape in areas associated with our “hero,” Batman adds many extensive “asides.” They show excellent research and provide so much assistance to readers wanting to immerse themselves in the adventures, that they seldom divert concentration.

---

**Museum Builders in the West: The Stanfords as Collectors and Patrons of Art 1870–1906.**


Reviewed by KD Kurutz, Curator of Education, Crocker Art Museum.

*Museum Builders of the West* is an elaborate tribute to the development of American art museums as we know them today. As stated in the opening chapter, “This book attempts to reconstruct the Museum’s original makeup—
both the architectural achievement it represents and its broad holdings—as well as to study the art patronage of its founders in the context of the American Renaissance.

This story is divided into five sections; the first two sections—"The Stanford Family Collection" and "The Leland Stanford Junior Museum"—are written by Carol M. Osborne, Associate Director and Curator of Collections for Stanford University Museum of Art. Divided into several subtopics, these two extensive essays present an informative context for appreciating both the Stanford family history and collecting habits as well as presenting a colorful picture of America's "Gilded Age." Anecdotes and fascinatingly detailed insights are drawn from family papers, contemporary newspaper accounts, and a vast array of other primary and secondary sources. The footnote section and index are very helpful. Museum visitors and history students, especially, will find these two sections entertaining—even if abundantly detailed—reading.

The remaining sections of the book cover three subjects—architectural significance of the buildings, the photography collection, and the Cesnola collection of Cypriot antiquities. Each section is handled by knowledgeable experts and incorporates a great deal of technical information and scholarly insight. In some cases, information which was introduced in the two earlier sections is repeated unnecessarily in these sections. However, this point illustrates the great challenge undertaken by these authors. In order to address the acquisition of the museum's broad, eclectic holdings during the lifetimes of Leland and Jane Stanford, they must give up the effort to relate the story in a clear, chronological format. As well, the individual intentions of a variety of collectors and their respective influences have to be acknowledged. In addition to the interests of Leland, Jane, and Leland Junior in American and European paintings, Oriental artifacts, Mediterranean antiquities, and photography, the contributions of Anna Maria Lathrop Hewes (Jane's sister), Thomas Welton Stanford (Leland's brother), and Timothy Hopkins—to name a few—have also to be considered. Having noted these considerations, the reader should be prepared for a narrative style that lunges forward and retraces time periods, repeats information and introduces a multitude of characters.

The strong, independent personalities of both Leland and Jane Stanford are revealed throughout the collected essays. Leland's well-known struggles with the painter Thomas Hill over the "Driving of the Last Spike" and with photographer Eadweard Muybridge, over "The Attitudes of Animals in Motion," are both included. Jane is credited with significant control over collection development as well as overseeing execution of the university's architectural plan. Her influence began before Leland's death in 1893 and continued to her death in 1905. In concluding her essay on the museum, Carol Osborne notes that the Leland Stanford Junior Museum was considered a monument to the unfilled ambitions of a remarkable, precocious son. Ms. Osborne states: "...Jane Stanford justified her career—both to herself and to the world—by acting in the name of grieving motherhood. But the Leland Stanford Junior Museum was hers; she built it."

One final matter to review is the use of illustrations. The book is generously illustrated with examples of works from the museum collection and historical photographs which document the family, installation of the galleries before and after the 1906 earthquake damage, and the architecture of the museum and related buildings. In most cases, these images are well-integrated with the text. Only five illustrations are in color, which is unfortunate in a publication directed to the general public. With such detailed description of many of the artifacts and photographs at the beginning of the book. Two photographs—one of Leland, one of Jane—carry the captions of "1848" and "1850" respectively. However, they appear to be either cabinet views or cartes de visite from the Taber Studio, which means they would have been produced or mounted at a later date. The obvious discrepancy should have been explained either in caption or by footnote. In addition, the photograph of Leland Junior at age fifteen, ten months, is incorrectly identified as being taken in 1882. This photograph should be dated 1884, according to information made available in the family chronology.

In summary, this book presents a glowing review of the significant contributions of the Stanford family. Each of the essayists—Carol M. Osborne, Paul Venable Turner, Anita Ventura Mozley and Mary Lou Zimmerman Munn—offers an expectedly generous accounting of the Stanfords' vision and achievements. In addition to the detailed review of accomplishments, weaknesses on the part of the collectors and original leaders are also admitted. Gaps in the collection, inconsistent leadership, and poor decisions are noted, which prove the objectivity of this introspective look. This element enhances the value of this book to all readers.


Reviewed by David Gebhard, Professor of Architectural History at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

The life and creative accomplishments of an individual are, as we are continually being reminded, integrally bound up with others, and this is forcefully illustrated in the lives of Dione Neutra...
and her famed architect husband Richard. In publishing these letters between her husband and herself (plus a number of others), Dione has revealed the depth of closeness and cultivated interdependence which was only partially apparent when one visited with the Neutras in their house overlooking Silver Lake in Los Angeles. The letters included in this volume start with their early courtship in Austria and Switzerland in 1919, and extend through their difficult years in Berlin, New York, Chicago, and finally in Los Angeles. Through these letters we obtain an interesting glimpse of these two personalities, an understanding only partially revealed in earlier writings by and about them.

Dione emerges as a remarkable persona, a gifted musician who laid aside her career for that of Richard, a person who created and maintained an environment within which her husband’s creative abilities were nurtured and cultivated. And Richard comes forth, not as the often portrayed artist who wished others to sacrifice themselves for his goals, but as a perceptive, self critical, and warm individual. After their first child was born, Richard wrote to Dione in May, 1924, “One thing worries me, shall I have time for the boy? If not, it would be my loss. If one is observant one can learn much from one’s child. No one can educate you more significantly, it seems to me, than a child” (p. 123).

Equally revealing is the inclusion of letters of Eric Mendelsohn and Frank Lloyd Wright to the Neutras which show not only highly significant aspects of history, but aspects of their personalities seldom revealed. The Wright letters are especially valuable in this regard, for they portray the Master of Taliesien’s satirical sense of humor as well as his sensitive response to the needs of others.

As one would expect, the letters fill out our understanding of many episodes of Richard’s early work in architecture. His appreciable contributions to the work which came from the office of Eric Mendelsohn are documented, and a number of the questions often raised concerning the circumstances of his receiving the commission for his famed 1929 Lovell house are in many ways answered. The Neutras’ close and at times difficult relationship with Rudolph M. and Pauline Schindler between the years 1925 and 1930 comes forth in many of these letters. Though Richard was often perplexed by the Schindlers’ approach to design and especially to architectural practice, he still found much to admire in the designs of his Viennese compatriot.

Neutra’s letters to Dione are rich in observations about architecture—observations which certainly help us to understand and respond to his building. In a letter of July, 1920, from Vienna, he wrote, “A floor plan can be marvelous, or intimate, simple or tricky, thought out grandly into the smallest detail, or narrow-minded. It can even be joyful or comical” (p. 16).

For the reader who wishes to enrich her or his understanding of the Neutras still further, this collection of letters should be paired off with Esther McCoy’s Vienna to Los Angeles: Two Journeys; Letters Between R.M. Schindler and Richard Neutra. (1978) and supplemented by Esther McCoy’s Richard J. Neutra (1960) and Thomas S. Hines’s Richard Neutra and the Search for Modern Architecture (1982).

---

**Birds of the Pacific Slope: A Biography of the Artist & Naturalist 1818–1869 with Species Accounts and Field Notes by Andrew Jackson Grayson, and with current Ornithological Identifications to accompany the 156 Bird Portraits ... reproduced as Plates in the Companion Portfolio**

By Lois Chambers Stone (San Francisco: The Arion Press, 1986, 433 pages, 156 folios, $4,500 cloth.)

Reviewed by Iris H. W. Engstrand, Professor of History, University of San Diego. Author of Spanish Scientists in the New World: The Eighteenth Century Expeditions.

The appearance of Andrew Jackson Grayson’s *Birds of the Pacific Slope* most certainly can be described as a “publishing event.” This lavish and yet scholarly volume with accompanying illustrations is a printer’s masterpiece—a collector’s item well worth the seemingly high pricetag of $4,500. When one is privileged to examine Grayson’s portfolio of 156 color paintings of California and Mexican birds, it is a breathtaking experience, and one is convinced that Andrew Hoyem’s Arion Press has made a priceless contribution to art and history. The 19-by-25-inch plates are reproduced on cotton, acid-free specially made paper which simulates Grayson’s own watercolor stock, and the colors themselves are so vivid and true as to make one wonder how the originals could be superior. The biography of Grayson by Lois Chambers Stone, based on several decades of meticulous research, is a fitting tribute to the work of this long neglected artist-naturalist of the nineteenth century.

Andrew Jackson Grayson, born in Louisiana in 1818, grew up sketching the flora and fauna, particularly birds, of the teeming swamps on and near his father’s large land holdings. Unfortunately, a narrow-minded Irish schoolmaster considered such activity a waste of time and convinced Grayson’s father to prohibit the boy from pursuing such frivolity. Grayson’s extraordinary talent, therefore, lay dormant until middle age, when life’s circumstances once again permitted the budding ornithologist to achieve his lifelong dream.

The young Grayson married Frances Timmons in 1842, ran a general store in Louisiana, and investigated local birds. Always an adventurer, he travelled with
his wife and infant son by wagon train to California in 1846 (just ahead of the Donner Party) and settled in San Francisco. In 1853 he saw a copy of John James Audubon's double-elephant folio, *Birds of America*, and decided to do for the West what Audubon had done for the rest of the nation. It is doubtful that he had previous experience with ornithological illustration, which makes his paintings even more amazing. Grayson's descriptions and field notes accompanying each plate are extremely informative and enhance the understanding of the painting.

In 1856 Grayson wrote to the Smithsonian Institution, then only ten years old, volunteering his services as a collector. Spencer Fullerton Baird, Assistant Secretary of the Smithsonian, encouraged Grayson in his study of ornithology, and the correspondence between the two men lasted until Grayson's death. It was Baird who first called Grayson "the Audubon of the West." Grayson's collecting activities were significant. He transmitted 1,128 study skins, 27 skeletons and 49 lots of nests and eggs to the institution. At the time of his death this represented almost two percent of the entire Smithsonian bird catalogues.

Author Stone, who writes in a clear, concise, yet marvelously descriptive style, has concluded that Grayson "Very early seems . . . to have developed a method of rendering a complete pencil sketch on Bristol board, followed by light color washes and finishing with fine strokes in strong color, using a dry brush technique. This manner of working may have grown from the necessity of capturing quickly the posture of a bird as it perched on a limb, or flew." (p. 57). Grayson studied art seriously and improved his technique between his earliest known painting, the Green-winged Teal, *Anas crecca*, in 1853, and his next known painting from Tehuantepec in 1857. During this interim, Grayson sent a description and drawing of his pet roadrunner to *Hutchings California Magazine*, where they were published in 1856.

Since the Smithsonian had few funds to reproduce his work, Grayson traveled to Mexico City in 1865 to seek help from the Mexican Academy of Arts and Sciences. The author has selected numerous quotations from Grayson's letters and journals that illustrate the breadth of his observations. Along the way he describes the "dark, magnificent forest" of Mazatlan, the Moorish style houses and gardens of Tepic, as well as the destruction brought on by the War of the Reform. He is well received at court in Mexico but a planned publication of his work is interrupted when Maximilian is deposed and executed.

Grayson continued his explorations on the offshore islands of Mexico's west coast when he was struck by personal tragedy. His only son, Edward, was killed in an unexplained incident in San Blas in June, 1867. Grayson remained in Mexico until felled by illness, possibly...
yellow fever, from which he died on August 17, 1869, just three days short of his fifty-first birthday.

Grayson's wife Frances returned to San Francisco with his work and began a long struggle to get his paintings and voluminous field notes published. Neither the Smithsonian nor Hubert Howe Bancroft felt they could fund the project. A final effort came to naught when The Society of California Pioneers decided they could not afford the $8,000 it would cost to reproduce 100 plates in an edition of 500 copies. In 1879 Frances Grayson donated the paintings to the University of California where they were placed in an obscure area of the Bancroft Library. There they remained until 1982 when Andrew Hoyem of Arion Press met with James D. Hart to discuss possible publication. The result honors a man whose remarkable talent places him alongside John James Audubon and other great figures of American ornithology.

The book and portfolio of paintings, although expensive to purchase, is a must for major libraries. It is a work that can be enjoyed by all persons interested in the history and natural history of California and Mexico during the latter half of the nineteenth century. A traveling exhibition of the original paintings and specimens collected by Grayson will be cosponsored by the Oakland Museum and National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, in 1987–88.

---
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Shasta County's Tower House, built in 1852, was a county showplace until it was destroyed by fire in 1910. It stood on Clear Creek between French Gulch and Oak Bottom at the junction of the Weaverville and Yreka roads. Levi Tower left Boston early in 1849 for San Francisco and set out from there for the Trinity mines in March, 1850. In November he and Charles Camden arrived at Clear Creek where they found a man named Schneider operating a log-cabin bar, dining room, and lodging facility. Tower and Camden found gold in the area, and Tower bought the cabin. Seeing the potential of the location, he built the substantial Tower House with hand-hewn timber from the surrounding forest and planted orchards using trees shipped around Cape Horn. In partnership with W.S. Loudon, Tower invested in the building of a twenty-four-mile wagon road from Tower House to Shasta and constructed a bridge over Clear Creek. He died in 1865, apparently insolvent, since he left behind an uncollectable debt of $9,000, Camden took title to the property. In 1867 the Tower House belonged to Grant I. Taggart. John Shed—who sits on the porch with his wife and daughter in this 1885 portrait—bought it in the 1880s.

An 1864 letter referred to the Tower House as "the prettiest place in Northern California" in the spring when the orchard was in bloom. Another described "splendid fresh looking" apples and peaches which "loaded down" the trees. The hotel was a popular site for balls and other formal entertainments as well as a recommended stopping place.
A Young Woman of Advanced Ideas
Mabel Craft Deering
by Rudolph M. Lapp

This Was Our Place
Memories of Locke
by Jeff Gillenkirk and James Modow

“El Portugues”
Don Antonio Jose Rocha,
California’s First Portuguese Settler
by Eduardo M. Dias and David E. Bertao

Labor and Progressivism “South of the Slot”
The Voting Behavior of the San Francisco Working Class, 1912–1916
by Thomas R. Clark

Temples and Playgrounds
The Sierra Club in the Wilderness, 1901–1922
by Anne Farrar Hyde
When the San Francisco Examiner on its front page in 1901 called Mabel Clare Craft...a young woman of advanced ideas...she was twenty-nine and single and had already made her mark. The occasion for the Examiner's reference to Mabel Craft was a lengthy article the newspaper ran on the debates within the General Federation of Women's clubs over the question of the admission of "colored" women and "Colored Women's Clubs." On this painfully divisive issue Craft took the courageous position that admission should be supported. But more later on the debate itself.

This article is an attempt to restore, for the present generation, the memory of an outstanding progressive feminist and successful career woman of an earlier generation. One may guess that by the middle of this century Mabel Craft Deering's contemporaries were already unaware of her progressive leadership before World War I. Even her son-in-law was unaware of her struggle over the black issue in the women's clubs until this writer approached him.

To flesh out the image of Mabel Craft Deering as a turn-of-the-century progressive, one must go back a number of years. Mabel Clare Craft was born in Rochelle, Illinois, and came as a child with her parents to California, eventually settling in Oakland. She entered the University of California in Berkeley and graduated in 1892. It is at this point that one becomes aware of her outstanding ability. Her academic record was the highest in that graduating class but the medal that was awarded to such accomplished graduates was given only to men. In an unusual turn of events the male graduate who was to receive the medal refused it and stated that Mabel Craft should get it. That medal remains unclaimed somewhere on the Berkeley campus. If the papers of Mabel Craft Deering had survived, we might discover how important that incident was in shaping her into a self-conscious feminist.

Although Mabel Craft contemplated a legal career after leaving Berkeley and did graduate from Hastings Law School in 1895, she never practiced law. The field of journalism soon absorbed her talents. As one account has it she ap-
proached Michael de Young of the San Francisco Chronicle for a job as a reporter and was treated indifferently by him. However, he did (perhaps as whimsy) tell her to talk to his wife. If Mrs. de Young responded well to her, he would hire Craft. Mrs. de Young liked her, and Mabel got the job.

As a Chronicle reporter Mabel found herself in a distinguished company.

The National Association of Colored Women was a parallel organization to the National Federation of Women’s Clubs, which admitted individual black women but refused membership to black clubs. NACW members were educated reformers who addressed the same issues as their white counterparts—education, health, public morality. Their direct attack on racial discrimination, however, was not widely echoed in the white club movement.

The reporter San Francisco Chronicle, led a squad of men in a leaky launch through the Golden Gate to meet the ships returning from the Spanish-American War. The Examiner crew was already under way in a fine large tug. A storm was raging, they were warned that their launch would sink, but Miss Craft insisted on going ahead.

Ishbel Ross, a historian of woman reporters, verified Irwin’s claim that Craft could match and exceed male intrepitude in the reporting of an important story. She wrote,

... back in the nineties Mabel Craft, of the San Francisco Chronicle, led a squad of men in a leaky launch through the Golden Gate to meet the ships returning from the Spanish-American War...
of the year and they made it by a margin of minutes.5

When Mabel Craft prevented the Examiner from scooping the Chronicle, she was already recognized as an important journalist. Just previously she had been sent to Hawaii to cover the American annexations. Her articles were published by major newspapers from coast to coast. They were later published in a book in which she wrote in greater detail about the experience. Her introduction to this small volume makes it clear that anti-imperialism was a part of her progressivism. Recognizing that her sentiments would not be popular with many, she wrote, I do not believe that might necessarily makes right, and I have but reflected the political sentiments of the majority of Hawaiians as I found them during the summer of annexation, when hearts were peculiarly stirred by the culmination of an injustice that amounted to crime.

She went on,

In Hawaii is the old spirit that abides in unhappy Poland, that burns in the breasts of Alsace-Lorraine. The looting of the Hawaiian monarchy by a few Americans was a sort of successful Jameson raid, and not an exploit over which any American need thrill with pride.6

The reference above to "unhappy Poland" brings to mind an incident in Craft's early reporting days. When Ignace Paderewski arrived in San Francisco for his first piano concert just before the turn of the century, Craft was determined to gain an interview with him. He refused to see any reporters but she literally parked herself on his doorstep until he was forced to see her. One can imagine his change of attitude when he saw this strikingly attractive and intelligent young woman. He not only granted her an interview but they became very good friends and remained so for many years. Craft's future husband, Frank Deering, an attorney, served as Paderewski's lawyer whenever the great pianist needed legal assistance in San Francisco.7

By 1899 Mabel Craft became the Sunday editor of the Chronicle, the first woman to achieve this status in the country. It was noted in the journalistic world from coast to coast.

The prominence she achieved in the Bay area gave Craft the opportunity to gain a wider audience not only in newspapers but also on the speaking platform and in a variety of publications. The issues of feminism were her persistent themes. But her progressivism was not of a single-issue kind. In 1901 she was invited to speak to the University of California Club of Oakland. Her address was a challenge to the audience whom she asked to imagine that she was speaking in the year 2051, a century and a half later. This futuristic foray "looked back" at the dismal year of 1901. In a Bellamy-esque presentation, Craft spelled out bitter criticisms of that period's progressives. She spoke of the selfishness and materialism of the "earlier" period and how the peacemakers were called traitors. And she spoke of women who could bear sons who could become good citizens but "might never become a citizen herself." The economic system was also part of her eloquent Jeremiad. She said, Commerce was the thing not as we know it, the exchanging of product for product that all men might have their share of what the earth yields, but a fierce and insatiable striving after wealth through trading at the largest possible profit. A nation's welfare was measured by the excess of what it sold over what it bought, and commerce dominated the earth, making its laws and making its wars.

Craft brought feminism into her speech in a unique manner. The new era that her speech was fantasizing had been initiated by a messianic female she called Corona. From Corona came the inspiration and wisdom of the new age that Craft's audience was asked to pretend they were living in.8

Rudolph M. Lapp is Professor of History at the College of San Mateo and the author of books and articles on the history of blacks in California.
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many white Americans felt that the issues of the Civil War should be put behind and a "reunion" of North and South should be encouraged, bitterness not revived. Into this setting the divisive issue of "colored" women in the women's clubs emerged.

This "reunion" mood was occurring simultaneously with the better known brace of concerns called Progressivism. Historians have agreed that defining this term has its difficulties because of the great diversity displayed by followers of its tenets from one part of the country to the other. But there is agreement that the least of these concerns was the plight of blacks in this nadir of Afro-American history. Not since the Civil War era had white Californians been concerned with matters that involved Afro-Americans. At that time blacks were struggling for equal rights before the courts and in 1863, during the temporary idealism of the Civil War, they achieved it in California. In 1870 California blacks gained the vote through the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution (not through state law), and concerned whites in the state felt that all was done that needed doing for blacks.

In the decades that followed, a small northern black middle class emerged nationally as well as in California and by the beginning of the twentieth century would be pressuring for entry into mainstream white America's institutions. Progressive sensitivity to this need was in short supply. However, there were a few exceptions in a few major metropolitan centers in the north and some breakthroughs were achieved as a result of the valiant efforts of some progressive-minded whites. In California, Mabel Craft was such a progressive white.

The issue of black admission to the white women's club federation emerged in 1900 at the national convention of the General Federation of Women's Clubs in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The occasion for this question was the presence at the convention of a black woman, Josephine St. Pierre Ruffin, wife of a black Boston judge, who was there as a delegate from both a Boston white women's club and a black women's club that was a member of the state federation of Massachusetts. Southern pressure resulted in the rejection of her delegacy as a member of the black club, but she was accepted as a member of the white club. Under these circumstances Mrs. Ruffin refused to remain at the convention. In the months that followed, the southern clubs presented a proposal initiated by the Georgia federation to include the word "white" in the GFWC constitution as qualification for membership. This was to be presented at the next national convention. In 1902 in Los Angeles the national "color question" debate was on. That was when Mabel Craft entered the picture as a proponent of black admission to membership in the General Federation of Women's Clubs.

The question of black women in the General Federation of Women's Clubs was contested in several steps in California. The first was the individual club debates in the last months of 1901, the second took place at the San Francisco convention of the California clubs in February 1902, and the last was at the national convention of GFWC which was hosted by Los Angeles in May 1902. Incidentally, this was the first GFWC convention in the Far West.

Many, perhaps most of the California clubs, appeared to shy away from the subject but in Los Angeles and in San Francisco full-scale debates took place. There were many women who opposed black member-
ship in the GFWC, but a group of articulate and courageous women in the Bay area argued for open membership based on education and cultural level, and Mabel Clare Craft led the way.

The San Francisco Examiner ran a front page headline that read "The 'Color Line' Excites the Ladies" with a picture of Craft. The story said of her,

In a spirited debate Wednesday Miss Mabel Craft stood forth as a champion of social equality of colored women. Calling Craft, "... a bright student of sociology..." the Examiner printed some of her argument which was,

The difference between the negro and the caucasian, was not one of intellect, but rather of climate and the unrelenting rays of the African sun.

On other debates she said,

The color line is drawn by prejudice. I cannot see where the white skinned man or woman is superior to the dark skinned race unless he or she acts in a superior manner. I would rather know a decent, intelligent dark skinned person than know an indecent white.

Further she said,

This color question is one of great interest to women just now throughout the country. Many ladies who are intellectual, sympathetic and lovable have an inherent prejudice against the negro. I can't reconcile this prejudice with twentieth century logic or our boasted progress in civilization.

She also stated that she believed that she shared with President Theodore Roosevelt the opinion that as a citizen of America, Booker T. Washington and others of his race should have "entree into all polite circles—white, black, or yellow." Given the somewhat Victorian flavor of her remarks and even perhaps some naiveté about Teddy Roosevelt, Mabel Craft was for that time well ahead of her contemporaries in opposing racism.  

The month of November, 1901, was heavy with women's club debates in the Bay area.  They seemed to reach a climax at the debate before the Philomath Club. Although this club had an all-Jewish membership, Mabel Craft was invited there to team with a Philomath member in supporting black admission to the GFWC. The Philomath member was Mrs. Florence Prag Kahn, who several decades later succeeded her husband, Julius Kahn, in the Congress of the United States.

In this debate Craft developed her position and revealed some of the depth of her feeling. She claimed that the South had retarded its own growth by its subjection of Negroes and that the perceptions of the women's clubs should be broad and not narrow. She also said what must have been a shocker to the audience. "... if we cannot find it in our hearts to do what we can to help the colored women, why, we had better break up our Federation."

Craft's team-mate, Mrs. Kahn, took a softer line, saying that she was not making a plea for social recognition but rather as American women the clubs should "... extend a helping hand to women who, in spite of their color, were striving to lift themselves ..." She added, "They seek admission into our Federation on their own merit and not to be accepted as a gratuity to an inferior race."

Clear-cut club decisions in this controversy do not emerge, but the
general impression is that hard decisions were left up in the air or were unsympathetic to admission. The latter becomes more apparent at the state convention in San Francisco.

Mabel Craft's major efforts on this racial justice question appear to have been devoted to the preconvention period. When the state convention took place in February, 1902, much of the behind-the-scenes work had been done, and the result was a compromise position that would not have required the southern state clubs to admit black women. However, it should be noted that a few Bay area women, led by Mrs. Gertrude Haight, continued vainly to make the case for black admission. Perhaps the progressive pragmatist in Mabel Craft was showing through. Even Caroline Severance, the venerable octogenarian club woman of Los Angeles, often called the “Mother of Clubs,” a woman of abolitionist roots, decided not to push the matter.

Three months after the state convention, when the national convention of the GFWC took place in Los Angeles, the “color question” was a lively topic in corridor discussion, but it never came to the floor as a divisive issue. The mood of “reunion” was maintained. With this muting of the admission question northern and southern women were left to admit black women or not as they wished in each locality. Mabel Craft, however, had stood out as the foremost California protagonist for black admission before the state convention. One can imagine more contemporary gatherings where such a clearly defined point of view would have resulted in some form of rejection. At the public level this certainly did not happen; in fact Craft received honors at this convention on another matter.

At the national convention session on education Craft presented a paper entitled “The Advantages of Coeducation” that was so well received that it was printed in its entirety in Club Life, the California state organ of the women's clubs. It was called the most brilliant paper presented at the convention. In this paper, which must have been as easy to listen to as it is to read, Craft, with wit, humor, logic, and irony made her point that coeducation at every level would make for better men and women. She punctured the apprehensions of the illogical and the opposition of the prejudiced. In one of her choice lines she said, 
I believe, of course, that it is the normal form of education that boys and girls, since nature was so rash as to plant them side by side in families, are best educated when, in grammar days, in the high school, in the university, and later in the real school of life itself, they are educated side by side.

This lengthy statement was evoked by attempts to roll back some of the gains made by women in the field of coeducation in the universities. At this time, however, the University of California and Stanford were among those that did admit women students.15

Craft also stated that much yet needed to be accomplished in the appointment of women to the faculties and governing boards of the systems of higher education. A few years earlier Craft had written a piece for the University of California Magazine that illustrates her gentle style of irony when dealing with feminist issues. The occasion for the article was a discussion of a proposal...
that students raise a fund for a fountain in honor of the first woman regent of the University, Phoebe Apperson Hearst. Concurrently Mrs. Hearst was preparing architectural plans for University expansion that were evidently considered by some to be in the fantasy stage. Craft used this article to say that Mrs. Hearst’s plans would not be a vague notion but would become reality since the Senator’s wife was a person of her word. But Craft went on with a gentle dig saying,

I noted the other evening when the speakers at the student reception to President Wheeler were alluding politely to the new plans as though they were nebulous dreams, that Mrs. Hearst smiled quietly, much as she smiles when millionare regents wrangle for an hour over a fifty dollar bill for lights. On such occasion the one woman regent quietly remarks, “Gentlemen, allow me to subscribe the amount.” Mrs. Hearst is used to cutting Gordian knots and practical men of means will be glad to follow her lead.17

In November, 1902, Mabel Clare Craft became Mabel Craft Deering. Her husband was Frank P. Deering, a prominent lawyer who for many years served on the Board of Directors of the San Francisco Symphony and at one time was the president of the Bohemian Club.18 The couple was very much a part of the social and cultural life of the city; however, as newlyweds their first public appearance together might have been at the gathering in January, 1903, of the most liberal elements of the Bay area.

The occasion for this gathering was the presence in California of the already famed black educator, Booker T. Washington. He was in the state on a two-week fundraising tour for the black school, the Tuskegee Institute, which he had founded. One of the events planned for Washington on this tour was an elegantly arranged banquet organized by the women of the Unitarian Club of California. The assemblage was prestigious enough to make the social column of one daily newspaper, and the names mentioned bore out its liberal character. Among them were Frank P. and Mabel Craft Deering.19

In 1904, a daughter was born to the Deering family and named Francesca. She would be their only child. For a few years Mrs. Deering was out of public life, but the suffragist movement would shortly call upon her talents and energies.

Back in 1895 Susan B. Anthony paid a visit to California shortly before her death. She came to the state to give heart to the. 1895–96 campaign for women’s suffrage which ended in defeat because of the San Francisco and Oakland male vote. While in San Francisco she sat for a picture with seven local activists. Seated with them was Mabel Craft who appeared to be the youngest of the group.20

Mabel Craft Deering’s re-entry into the suffragist movement a decade after the 1896 defeat is best told in her own words.

Mrs. Austin Sperry, the then president of the California State Suffrage Association, had asked me several times previous to 1906 to take the press chairmanship as I had been a newspaper woman. I had always selfishly refused on the ground that I was too busy.

After the earthquake and fire in San Francisco in 1906 I found myself with more time on my hands than I had ever had before or ever expect to have again. There were no streetcars or telephones in the city, almost everyone I knew had left town for places where more comforts were to be had; I had lived on a little island entirely surrounded by miles of burnt homes; there were no theatres, no parties, no distractions of any kind. Feeling it our duty to remain in the city during the reconstruction period I said to myself, “what can I do for suffrage?” and the press work occurred to me. I told Mrs. Sperry I would undertake it and was at once appointed press chairman for the entire state.

The “little island” Mrs. Deering refers to was her home at the end of Larkin Street which overlooks the Bay and is still standing today.21

The above words were taken from Mrs. Deering’s post-victory report on press work during the 1911 campaign. As one proceeds through this report it becomes clear that it was not only a narration of the most important details associated with any large scale electoral campaign but was also a readable guide for suffrage campaigners in any state. It should be remembered that in the vast majority of the states of the Union women did not yet have the vote. In her report called “Cooperative Enterprises,” a narration of the field work of women campaigners, Mrs. Deering was justifying the use of skilled women campaigners from other states. This was evidently a bone of contention with some of the California women. She commented,

It is true, undoubtedly, that a mediocre local woman can often do more effective work than a brilliant woman who knows nothing of local conditions, but when all is said and done there is always a dearth of workers at the end of a campaign. It is a question of outside workers or...
In the years that followed the successful California suffrage campaign Mrs. Deering appears to have stepped back from involvement in social issues. However, since so many of the men who supported women's suffrage were supporters and members of the Lincoln-Roosevelt League, the progressive wing of the Republican Party, it stands to reason that she voted for them faithfully. While the social circles she and her husband moved in were staunchly Republican, the liberal streak in her makeup appeared in the 1930s during the Great Depression. She supported Democrat Franklin D. Roosevelt for president in 1932 and 1936. It is doubtful that her husband did likewise. When Frank Deering died, Herbert Hoover was an honorary pallbearer.23

Travel was another love of Mrs. Deering's life and, according to her son-in-law, Thomas Carr Howe, Mr. and Mrs. Deering and their child traveled for six months every two years. On two of these trips she wrote travel accounts for the National Geographic. The first was a boat trip in China in 1927 from Shanghai to Soochow for which she took several of the pictures for the story. The second was in Korea in 1935 during the years of Japanese rule. Korean nationalists were probably not happy about her narrative, because it took a benign view of Japanese occupation and perceived it as a progressive development in Korean economic life. However, she did not fail to note that while Japanese rule modernized agriculture and increased its production, it placed half of the best Korean land in the hands of the Japanese.24

According, again, to Mr. Howe, Mrs. Deering's later years were much involved in "society" and cultural activities. He also noted that she was very involved in financial matters. When she died in 1953, she left an estate of nearly a million dollars. Her obituary notice reported that she was on the board of directors of the San Francisco Opera Association and St. Luke's Hospital and was active in the San Francisco and Burlingame Country Clubs.25

While Mrs. Deering did not reach the political distinction as a liberal achieved by Katherine Edson, one of her contemporaries, her contributions as a feminist progressive are an important part of the record. She represented not only a breakthrough by an exceptionally strong woman but was also symbolic of her era. This was a time when middle class women's organizations had reached a particularly high level of maturity, considerable numbers, and sophisticated organizational skills. As a role model for assertive women she is hard to match. Perhaps her later immersion in "society" was for the benefit of her daughter and granddaughter. Mrs. Deering was born into economic circumstances that were extremely modest. Her father was a failure in the world of small storekeeping.26

There may have been other women of similar humble origins in her time with her ability, education, looks, and iron determination to succeed, but there were not many who also remembered the underdogs in life.  

See notes on page 233.

Booker T. Washington, ca. 1918. Washington's tour of California in 1903 was a major event for civil libertarians and reformers.
"To those responsible for preserving the nation's historical landmarks, what qualified the little town of Locke in the Sacramento Delta for inclusion in the registry of national places was its unique status as the only village in the United States built and inhabited exclusively by Chinese until recent years, . . . However, Locke's true significance lies not in its singularity but in the fact that it is a model of other villages and towns that might have been built in rural California had Chinese laborers not been excluded from the United States after 1882. Locke is the most visible monument to the extraordinary efforts made by the Chinese to develop agriculture in California and establish communities in rural America. . . ." So wrote historian Sucheng Chan in her introduction to Bitter Melon, the forthcoming book from which the following images and oral histories are excerpted.
MEMORIES OF LOCKE
The Chinese farm laborer, working hard and complaining little, saving from his meager wages to support a family in China, has become a familiar figure in California history, his contributions to California agriculture gradually being documented. These memories of Locke, recounted from the perspective of the 1980s, reveal a complex and varied community which began with businessmen and farm laborers and grew to include families and artisans but could not provide opportunities to hold its upwardly mobile children.

The narrators of these stories have different relationships to Locke: some were born there, some came as adults, some are living out their days there, others spent only a period of their lives there. In addition, the periods of Locke's history which they describe are different. There are many facts about Locke which are not told here, but because these stories are told from a variety of vantage points they offer a sense of the dynamic which shaped the growth and decline of Locke as well as a multi-dimensional portrait of some of the lives which shaped the community and were shaped by it.

—Editor's Note

PING LEE, the son of Locke co-founder “Charlie” Lee Bing, was born in Locke in 1917. Today, he is the unofficial mayor of Locke and proprietor of The Big Store supermarket in Walnut Grove.

I was born in Locke. October 1917. My father's history here, of course, is a long, long history. He came over in 1893, at the age of twenty-one. He's from Zhongshan, about a half hour north of Macao. He came over here with the Chicago Expo, the World Fair at Chicago. . . . The Expo deal was, you came over here and you paid your $500 or $700 and you jumped ship. So he jumped ship, and here he was. Lots of them did that.

During that time the harvest season came up over here, just across the bridge, and he started picking pears and all that. [Walnut Grove’s] Chinatown was up here where the liquor store is, in that area. It was booming; it was the biggest Chinatown on the river. But anyway, he's working on the ranches and he decided he didn't want to work that way for the rest of his life, coming over to the Gold Hills. He said that's no way to get ahead, so he came up here and made a lot of friends in town, and met an old cook here—name was Lee, old guy, maybe late fifties, sixty years old. He worked for Alex Brown. Alex Brown, of course, owned everything then in Walnut Grove. He'd been the kingpin at that time for about twenty years. [Through the cook, Ping Lee’s father went to work for Alex Brown, and the two men became friends.]

Now, this is the history of my father. He got into gambling and everything else—he bought a share in a gambling house. To tell you the truth, it's not easy for Zhongshan to get into gambling. No way. It's all locked up, OK? Taishan. But the gambling house wasn't doing so good. Still, it took a lot of guts if you aren't even one of them. They might come in and mess you up. That happened all the time. Down in San Francisco, oh, lots of times. But my father's ace in the hole was Alex Brown. Alex Brown owned all the grounds. He was the kingpin. There was no deal between him and Alex Brown, they were just friends. They admired each other very much. . . . [My father] walked the streets because Alex Brown was the kingpin. If he had any problems he just went to Alex Brown and it's taken care of. He was a white man, he owned everything. He was a big man. Alex Brown just put the word out: "You touch my boy, everybody loses . . . everything locks up."

In seven years, my father's got seven businesses in town. The man is very brilliant, the history already shows how brilliant he was. First off, he became an herbist. Where he learned it, I don't know. Herb store maybe. Then, there are two gambling houses. A restaurant. A hardware store. A dry goods store, and the other one he ran as a barber shop and a pool hall. On this side, down Market Street where the Porthole is—that's where he had a gambling house.

It was a crazy time. You're talking about a thousand Chinese working on these farms around here—the town was all Chinese. The Mexicans came in in the fifties. Mexicans never gambled in those places; Filipinos came in the thirtyies from the islands. They loved to gamble, and they played the Chinese way. The Filipinos and the Chinese. And the Japanese wanted to gamble. At one time Locke had four or five gambling halls. The town was all business, going until about 10. There was some trouble, but never after 1930 or so. But in the early twenties, before
Ping Lee in his Big Store.
1924, you had tong wars—over gambling, somebody trying to muscle in. . . . But there again, during one war my father said, “If I stay in town there’ll be a lot of bloodshed.” Rather than do that he locked up his place and left, went up to Stockton. . . . My father had a hatchet man protecting him all the time. He didn’t build up his empire by being scared, you know. They even shot at him—and missed, that’s all.

By the forties in Locke, there were only two gambling houses, [both] owned by my father. My father’s gambling houses lasted the longest, longer than any other place on the river. He started about 1908 when he had gambling in Walnut Grove, and lasted until 1951, when they decide to clamp down. That’s a lot of years. Nobody else lasted that long.

My father didn’t even like gambling, but he had to manage the place. Most of the time he’d be talking politics in his headquarters in Joe Chow’s place—political headquarters. He was [Kuomintang] Chairman of the district for a long, long time. District was called the Delta. They had lots of people; you can see their pictures in the museum when Dr. Sun [Yat-Sen] died and all that—see how many people there were. My father was a rich man, so he gave a lot of money to the cause. A lot of overseas [Chinese] gave a lot of money to Dr. Sun’s revolution. Dr. Sun was a Zhongshan, you know. My father met him once in San Francisco, on the street. It goes way back. My father was one of the originals. Way back. But my father never bragged about any of that stuff. He’s a man who doesn’t say too many things. He’s not a publicity man. He believed in what you’re going to do—let your deeds speak for themselves.

I grew up in the Depression time. I didn’t think I’d ever make it. The Depression hit my father, the same things as today, why some of these farmers are going bankrupt. He lost $80,000 on asparagus, which was a lot of money in those days. Like a million dollars. So he lost that, and a thousand acres of land. His backer went bankrupt, a Miss Hughes, I remember the name. Big, fat, chunky lady, those days doing a man-sized job. She was shipping celery when the Depression hit, and went broke. She had to file for bankruptcy, and took my father down with her.

He was sixty-three or sixty-four when I was the last year in high school, up in Courland. And he tells me, “You have to go to college.” I didn’t have no preparation to go to college, and my father was broke. But sixty-five years old, and he opens a restaurant in San Francisco so I could go to college. See, when I was young I lived in Grass Valley, where he had another farm, 120 acres. I went to school there. I didn’t attend grammar school here, because the schools here were segregated, and he didn’t believe I’d learn very much English. . . . He believed anybody born here should go off to college and learn all you can and get all this ability and bring all the knowledge back to China where you can open their minds, open factories, produce petroleum and all that. See, he was thinking patriotically. . . .

Anyway, here my father’s going to wait on tables so I can go to school. It was only 1941 by the time I came out of college—I graduated from Cal [University of California, Berkeley] with a degree in economics and business administration. But my father had a few bucks in his pocket by then. Things are pretty good at the gambling house, what he lost he forgot already. Everything’s all right, would be for another ten years. . . .

He wants to bring me back down here [from Sacramento, where Ping Lee ran a grocery store] to run his empire. But my mother didn’t want me to. She didn’t like gambling. Neither did he, but he’s got to manage the place. So he wanted me down here. But then in ’51 they shut down the gambling all over California. . . . My father died in 1970. Ninety-seven years old, died of old age. But right up to the end he could still give you advice. . . .

**SUEN HOON SUM** is eighty-seven years old and still reluctant to describe the details of his entry into the United States as a “paper son.” He completed the equivalent of a high school education in China and expected more of life in California than work as a farm laborer. Unable to speak English, however, he joined thousands of his compatriots to work in fields and canneries from Monterey to Alaska during the 1920s and 1930s. Between jobs he rented a room in one of Locke’s boarding houses and now lives in a house on Key Street.

Tell you stories about my working days? I worked all over the place! If someone asked me to work at a certain place, I went there. On the big ranches, around this time of year [July], I’d be working in the pear sheds and cherry sheds, or picking pears or whatever fruits they had in the orchards. I’d go to Newcastle, Auburn, pack plums and nectarines . . . then up to Lum Bun’s and pack pears again. After packing pears in Walnut Grove and Locke, I’d go to San Jose . . . and after San
Asparagus was one of the prime Delta crops, because the loose peat soil allowed the stalks to grow unusually straight, and packing house work was one of the regular sources of employment for Locke residents.
Jose I'd come back to Locke and work in the tomato sheds, the tomato canneries until about November or so. Then I could either go to San Francisco for a week and spend some time there, or do whatever I wanted. It was very easy for a year to go by. Year after year you did the same thing—pick fruit and trim fruit trees. These are the two things. Every year it was the same.

I always had some kind of work to do, so I hardly spent any time in Locke for any period of time. I rented a room here and just left my belongings and went to work. You could rent a room for $2.50 a month here. There were a lot of people staying here at the time [the 1930s]. Three hundred or so residents. It was very lively, a very busy place. The businesses were in full run and Al's Place right now, it used to be a Chinese restaurant and people would be going in and out all weekend. There were always at least two gambling houses open, which kept the town pretty busy too, all kinds of people going in and out of town, mostly from San Francisco and people working in the orchards. They rarely stayed for long periods of time, just like I did. The ones who came to work, they just left their belongings and went straight to the fields.

We even had a lot of college students come to the Delta to pick pears or cherries, that type of work. There was nothing else for them to do at the time. Canning work was the most available, so they would come flocking in to do it. It was real hard for the students. Very few kids even got to go to school then because of discrimination, segregation, those kinds of problems. We also had a lot of bad discrimination at work. There was an incident once in San Jose, where a farmer wouldn't let us work because we were Chinese. So we just came back to Locke. It's not like now, where there's equal opportunity and things like that.

But I got along with the Caucasians, I got along quite well. In fact, I joined a labor group with them—the CIO—when I was looking for work in the orchards. I was one of the very few Chinese who got to join up; and though the Caucasians knew I didn't speak English, they were still welcoming to me. Most of the tomato canneries had mostly Caucasian employees. I was one of the few Chinese working with them. There were CIO groups organized in many of the areas I worked in. The main ones, of course, were in San Francisco and Sacramento. In order to join up, you had to pay annual fees—no, seasonal fees, like five dollars for each season that you worked. For instance, if you worked for a couple of months in pears, you would have to pay five dollars for that. And another five dollars if you worked in tomato canneries.

**BING FAI CHOW** came from China to join his older brother and an uncle in the Delta in 1921. He worked for fifty years as a farm laborer, but unlike many of his peers, he chose to spend most of his earnings on good times, including weekends in San Francisco, rather than saving to support a family in China or his own retirement. Until the 1970s, his blue Mustang convertible was a fixture in the local scene as he sped up and down the Delta on personal business and transporting friends. Now in his seventies, he lives in a boarding house in Walnut Grove.

I was about ten years old when I came over, in 1921. All I had was some clothes with me, and a few necessities. My older brother was already here. He was the one that sponsored me to come into the U.S. He's about ninety-three right now, and lives in Oakland.

I came here thinking I'd be able to go to school. But once I got here I never could, because of the discrimination. The schools were segregated—Chinese were separated from the Caucasians and so on. So I went directly to work with my brother, working out in the fields for about a dollar-fifty a day. It was hard, because I had to work right away and didn't have any friends. Two of my uncles had already come to the U.S., and I joined them afterwards to work in the fields. Then my second year here I began to do laundry. I was young then, so I could wash. The old people couldn't do it; they could only work maintaining white people's gardens, or picking weeds.

I didn't have any friends, and I kept thinking that life would have been easier in China. I did go to Chinese school for maybe half a year or so. But I had to leave as soon as my brother found more work for us. I just worked and sent my money back to my family in China. . . . All I can remember was the hard work I put in. Chinese are always working. Other than that I can't remember too much. We worked right here in Locke, or out in the orchards. We lived in the orchards too. Working every day, or just about every day, you didn't have much time to play. There weren't many kids around anyway, living the in camps. When I did have some free time, or when it was off-season, I usually just swam or talked to the other folks.
Two Delta Chinese boys on their way to school, 1905. Asian children were not allowed to attend integrated schools until the internment of Japanese in World War II reduced the Asian population and made it economically unfeasible to maintain segregated schools for Asians.
Swimming I guess was my main activity. There weren't any theaters around to go see moves, so we usually went fishing or swimming. I remember these American ladies who used to come around and try to get us to go to church and read the Bible and listen to their preachers. They used to offer us candy just to get us to go to church. We used to go to church just to get the candy, and then not stay for the whole sermon or the classes that they had afterwards.

The first thing I remember about Locke was the gambling houses—there were so many gambling houses here. I didn't gamble myself. I didn't know how. But I had friends who gambled, and uncles who spent quite a bit of time in them. There were also a lot of children running around the streets.

The town's really changed a lot since then. I remember when thousands of people used to come through here on a weekend—three, four thousand people. Where there was farm work, you'd find the Chinese right there, and this was the place for farm work. There was no discrimination here either. Farther inland was worse. There were no Chinese and no Chinese newspapers there. Before the war, in Isleton, Rio Vista, places like that, the whites wouldn't take your order in the restaurant. You wouldn't even get served in the bakery shop. Chinese couldn't go to the bars. But now we can go anyplace. You can order anything you want to eat or drink and if they don't serve you, you can sue them. What happened was in 1942, 1943, a lot of Chinese served in the Army, and when they came back you couldn't discriminate against them. But in the past, the whites would attack you with stones when you walked through some of these towns. We never dared to walk on the streets alone then—except in Locke. This was our place.

I was one of the few people around here who had a car. I'd use my car to go to work in places like Castro Valley or Fresno, or even further than that, when there wasn't any work to do around here. It was pretty valuable to have a car then, to be able to work in some other area than the Delta. Sometimes I'd drive to San Francisco with a couple of friends. In just one day you could spend a hundred dollars, and when you got back from the trip, you'd have to work again to get that hundred back. Working here was like fighting a losing battle. Just to earn fifty dollars you really had to work your tail off.

**EFFIE LAI, who now lives in an apartment building she owns in Los Angeles, was born in San Francisco and grew up speaking English as well as Chinese. She lived in Locke from 1927 to 1942. In addition to the work she describes here, she has been a bootlegger, restaurateur, beautician, and translator for the California Department of Social Services.**

I married into Locke. My husband was a tenant farmer for the Meyer ranch. I met him through friends, and my uncle Wah Lee's merchandise store in Locke. I went up to Locke as a girl sometimes, to visit. It was so different from San Francisco's scenery. Coming from a big family, we lived in such close quarters. I liked to get away. And I love it, it's so nice up there. The weather there was nice, and the conditions—not the crowded conditions. Everything was so spacious, to me, it was wonderful.

At that time my husband, right after the First World War, they were doing pretty good. He was the head of the Meyer ranch there making four thousand a year—lots of money. There was two brothers there, Louis Meyer and Pete Meyer that owned big acreage on Grand Island, big acreage. It's orchards: pears, peaches, cherries. Well, the biggest crop is pears, that goes to the canneries. And they got a big beautiful home there. My husband, he got a Buick, what they call it? Those wagons, a big one, with side doors, you know, and you go open the side and there's a side wheel.

Anyway, when I got married it was the talk of the town. I marry in San Francisco, at the Fairmont Hotel. My father was very much against it, because I was so young, and marrying a man older. My husband was twelve years older than I was, he was almost thirty. But they came to the wedding. It was a Christian wedding, our Chinese minister married me. We have a little banquet and when we came back on the ranch there, practically all the people from the river came. There were four or five hundred people there. I was married in January so it was a little cold, but it's nice as long as it doesn't rain.

I had my first child in September; I had five children altogether. Every weekend we'd come into Locke. Actually, if you could go twice a month you're doing good... I'd see my uncle, Wah Lee, and his family. And all the people from the ranches come up here and congregate; catch up on
Wedding of Effie Jung and Lai Foong, January 19, 1922, in the Red Room of the Fairmont Hotel in San Francisco. The bride's father, George Jung, and her mother, Chun See are to her right; on the far right is her younger brother Raymond Jung.
the news—who died, who married, what's happening around the area there, the economic situation, all those things you talk about. And then during the summer you see all the school boys from San Francisco come in and work on the ranches there, to pick pears. You see all kinds of mixtures, the ABCs and OBCs, you know. ABCs are American Born Chinese; OBCs—the overseas born. So we mixed together and the ABCs loved to come in because it's an outing for them. First thing, they earn a little money. Secondly, it's a vacation. It's so different from San Francisco, where you see nothing but cement and concrete. It's the open air, open space.

I stayed on the farm until, let me see, 1927—five years. Then I moved into town, lock, stock, and barrel. At that time we couldn't make a go of it. The Meyers lost it. . . the crop didn't bring any more money and they owed so much, so they lost it [the ranch]. So when they lose it, we didn't have any money either because of the way sharecropping worked: the money is divided in half. So the owner gets half and we get half, but we don't even have money to pay for our groceries. They'd been losing money for two or three years . . . so we moved.

My husband went out and worked, and in those days it was terrible. A dollar a day as a ranch hand, and we couldn't get a job. So I went and worked. I lived with my children and went up and worked in the cannery there—Libby's. No transportation then, you can imagine from Locke walking up to Libby's, next to Clay Locke's ranch there. Every year toward the asparagus season there was hundreds of, I think they were Latinos, from in the East Bay there, from San Francisco, all come to work there. Libby canneries, they have a bunch of shacks for the workers to live. The husband and wife generally comes in and then they have children, some of them don't, they're all hands there; but we don't live there, we have to walk back and forth, so during dinner time, six o'clock, I have to walk home and cook for my little kids, and hurry up and go back there again. So it was really rough. Fifteen cents an hour!

And then when the season wasn't good, when there's no prices and the cannery wasn't canning that month, then experienced workers get the job; you got to know "who" before you get a job there. . . . My husband at that time was working as a ranchhand, sometimes I don't see him for months. I'll be in Locke and he's down in Isleton, working day and night there, and he doesn't come home. If they're fortunate enough they come home weekly, but that's not to stay either: they come back and see you and go leave around four or five o'clock and go back with the boss, because there's no way of going home, they don't own cars at that time. . . .

[When I worked] I left my children home. My oldest son was only eight years old, he was awfully good. I taught him how to cook, he could cook rice on a kerosene stove. And then I said, now, when you get the dinners ready and the little ones at home, then don't go outside after dark. They're very good. Anyhow, sometimes they clean up the tables, sometimes don't. . . .

The worst was when the Depression came. My husband's out of a job. Couldn't get anything at that time; no social worker come down to the valley, and no way of getting anything. Sometimes you don't even have a dime in your pocketbook to get a loaf of bread. You sit at home, that's all. In those days our rent was only six dollars a month, and we're so poor sometimes we were only able to pay our rent. And the neighbors, when you were in hard time, you wouldn't even dare to ask the neighbors to loan you a dime. Because they're out there every day in that situation too. Everybody's in that same situation. But then fortunately, you have a few pounds of rice; and then what vegetables that friends from the ranch bring you down. You just eat vegetables, that's all. I don't fish at all. I'm not a fisherman; I don't go to the dirt and plant. We're from San Francisco, you know! . . .

During the Depression things slowed down. And then the place seemed to be so dull; the Depression seemed to affect everybody. The gambling halls were open, but not as much gambler. They were operating on a deficit. And the people who worked there, fortunately, they all have shares in there, so you don't have to pay them a weekly wages. If they do, they close up too. Fortunately, Ping's [Lee] father is the banker. So then if times is getting low, he could fork up a few until you're back up on your feet, and then you can plow it back again. . . .

I had five children—three boys and two girls. We spoke Chinese, at home I jabber in Chinese. That's why all five of my children they understand Chinese and speak a little bit of it. Whereas the others completely lost it. But my children even now, even at my age now, when my chil-
Jane Ho planting garlic in her garden, 1973. Backstreet garden plots, cleared and brought under cultivation by Locke residents, have for decades played an important role in the town's economy, providing a buffer against the ups and downs of the cash economy.
dren come home we speak Chinese.

They worked in the fields too. My first son went to work, right across Courtland, Sutter Island; the ranch is called Sunnyside Ranch. That is one of my husband’s first cousin run that place for twenty years. So he went up there to work, picking fruit, doing odd jobs. The second son went up to Vorden, he worked there. Vacations only. We worked the seasonal, that’s all. So my youngest, he was still young then, he went to the field to help his uncle, Everett’s [Leong] father, to pick tomatoes—Everett’s father and my husband were brothers, see. . . .

At that time Locke was a good place to raise children. All the children came out very successful, very nice children. Like my cousin’s . . . my uncle’s children went to Cal. . . . Main Street never bothered us. Those people never go in the back street at all. It seems strange, we get bars there, but no drunk. You don’t see them. If they do get drunk in the bars there on Main Street, we’re all in bed already in the back house, we don’t see them. In that old house where we’re living there, where my sister-in-law lived, I don’t even lock my doors. . . .

EVERTT LEONG was born in Lodi in 1942 and raised in Locke. Today he heads the Division of Translation Services, California Department of Social Services and lives in a suburban section of Sacramento.

One of the first things I remember about Locke is that it was like an old-country town. To me it’s the closest thing to nature, yet you still have your so-called basic necessities, accommodations . . . the town of Locke is the closest thing to a Chinese village back in China, OK? The people were all from the old, back country in China. Most of them were farmers and they came over here and they utilized their farming skills and then, you know, people in the town of Locke actually contributed to the agricultural development of the Delta. But in those days we didn’t think of Locke as a unique town. We only thought of it as the town that we lived in. We didn’t perceive it as a special town, or just being developed by Chinese or anything. We just thought we were part of the Delta community.

Most of the time what we did was, in our younger days before we could work in the orchard, most of us just went out and played around and climbed trees, went out to the river, did a lot of fishing, a lot of rock throwing, catching grasshoppers, shooting birds, and then, you know, catching pigeons and selling them to those old men who wanted to buy them to cook pigeon to make soup with herbs.

And then in those days, too, when I was about between six and ten, the town had a local gambling hall, and that provided a lot of action. A lot of kids hung around out there. Several of us were shoeshine boys, and we used to stick around there and shine a guy’s shoes for fifteen cents a shine. . . .

I remember Ping Lee’s father [Lee Bing]. When I was small I’d see him. He was a very businesslike individual, a businessman. He was always well dressed. He always had at least a sports coat and a tie on, something like that. In other words, he did not dress up like the typical farm laborers in town; he was better dressed, more professionally dressed than anyone else. Other people of the town looked upon him as a person of a higher level than themselves, because he was a businessman. . . .

I went to Walnut Grove Elementary and Courtland High School. Walnut Grove was integrated by my time. The majority of the students there were pretty well mixed. It was a combination of Japanese, Chinese, and white, and a few Filipino and a few Mexican and very few Blacks. But in my opinion we all got along fairly well in those days, because the school was small. We started in kindergarten together, and practically 80 percent of us kids went through for eight years. So you knew one another fairly well, and you also knew your teachers pretty well because there was very little turnover of teachers.

Most of the kids from our town came from parents that were non-English speaking. We were brought up learning to speak the Chinese language. When I started school, my basic language skills were in Chinese. When I went to school, that’s where I originally developed my English speaking skills. Sure my parents encouraged me. The time to learn English was in school, you know. But in those days the Joe Shoong School was open too. We had Chinese school after our regular day of school, five days—not, six days a week, because we also had classes on Saturday morning. Practically every kid that was born or brought up in Locke is bilingual today. . . .

I started working in the orchards when I was about twelve years old,
Overseas Chinese were deeply involved in the political struggles of their homeland at a time when they were prohibited from becoming U.S. citizens. Here Delta Chinese gather to honor General Tsai Ting Kai (center left) on November 24, 1934, two years after he had won renown for defending Shanghai against invading Japanese forces.
picking pears and hauling boxes. I must have done it for seven or eight summers. In those days they had the small boxes, they weren’t using the big bins yet. So most of us, we started picking pears when we were just starting high school, right after we got out of the eighth grade. We used to work ten, twelve hours a day out in the orchard, for ninety cents an hour. The highest it ever got to be when I was working in the fields was two dollars an hour.

I started out as a picker, but then I did other things. Later on when I was in college, I irrigated, I cut blight, I fertilized, I sprayed—everything that has to be done during the summer months. All I know is that it wasn’t an easy life, because now I’ve had a good taste of it myself, you know, working in the fields. The old folks weren’t working anymore. They worked in the orchards before then. My mom was working though. She was working in the packing sheds, cannery, and probably also in the fields—tomatoes and other open field crops. I don’t think there’s anger among any of the parents in those days, in regards to working, say, ten hours out in the fields and then coming home and having to cook for five or six kids. It was something they had to expect. So an expression of anger, I would say, is not a good description of the situation. It was their way of life. . . . But the older people very seldom talk about their past history. What they know they usually just keep it in themselves.

But my opinion, working in the field is one job that I think anybody who works there is well underpaid. In other words, you work your ass off. You start early in the morning and by eight o’clock you’re sweating. When you work in the fields, you do, say, three to four hours of work, and it’s equivalent to somebody who’s sitting in a building working, say, ten hours a day, . . .

Everything I’ve done since—school, learning English, adjusting to other racial groups—those were easy adjustments. Because when you have a tough life, a rough physical life, you know, working, anything else you do later on is mediocre. All I have to do is look back at the old days, and just the thought of sweat coming down my forehead by nine o’clock, well, that makes it appear as if what I’m doing now is nothing compared to those days. . . .

It was probably in grade school, by sixth or seventh grade, that I decided I was going to go to college. You realize that if you don’t want to work in the fields all your life, the only way you can do anything about it is to go to school and learn skills so you can better yourself.

Quite a few kids from Locke went on to college. Quite a few didn’t finish either. Some of them went to trade school. . . . I went to Sacramento, City College, then to Sacramento State. I graduated with a degree in economics. My first job was with the [California] Department of Social Services. It’s the only department I’ve ever worked for. Today I’m the interpreter—the translator coordinator for the Department of Social Services, State of California. . . .

When you finish school, your education, you want to find employment for what you were trained for, or that is near to where you’re going to live. So therefore, that’s the main reason why I left the town of Locke. I mean, I still go down there several times a month to visit my mother, but I don’t live there anymore because I want to live near where I work. . . . I noticed that other people prior to me, when they got out of high school and went to school or even went to work, they left the town. So I knew that even before I got out of high school that probably when I make a living it would not be in the town of Locke. I knew I’d be leaving it, education-wise.

Because, you see, a career in ranching never came to my mind. Otherwise I would have majored in agricultural economics or something that had to do with agriculture. The only people who majored in those subjects were the sons of big ranchers down there, like Lincoln Chan’s kids, or van Loben Sels, or the Grahams, or the Salisburys. Those kids, they went to UC Davis or San Luis Obispo, that had agricultural programs. They had it made. I mean, they get out of school, they just go back and start running the ranch. Whereas a guy—a Chinese kid out of Locke—what are their chances? I mean, all their parents were farm laborers, and unless you’re Lincoln Chan’s kids [Lincoln Chan was one of the few Chinese farm laborers to become a successful landowner and rancher in the Delta] you go to college and major in agriculture, you come back and what are you going to do? You end up driving a tractor for someone else. . . .

TOMMY KING, seventy-three, has lived in Locke since 1928. From his garden he can see most of Locke’s backstreet traffic, and he serves as Locke’s unofficial watchman, fireman, and om-
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budsman. Retired as a radio repairman and electrical technician, Tommy and his wife Connie King are among a handful of American-born Chinese of their generation to stay in Locke.

This town is an oddity now, but it wasn’t an oddity then. We had the four towns, the four Chinese sections—Courtland, Walnut Grove, Locke, and Isleton—and the Chinese stage running from Courtland on down, hauling passengers and freight. The Gibson line, it died out in the fifties. On weekends the town would be really crowded. People coming in to relax—gamble, eat, drink, visit friends. It wasn’t really rowdy. If you saw any drunks it was Caucasians. You’d never see a Chinese lying in the street drunk. But it wasn’t a lot; you’d have a couple of speakeasies once in a while, not too bad. There were all kinds of stores here then—dry goods, restaurants, hotels, barbershops. It was the only town built by Chinese still standing in the state of California. The thing different about Locke from the other Chinese towns was a different dialect spoken. Walnut Grove was a different dialect: Sze Yap.

My first job was ranch work, outside of working for my father. Ten cents an hour, all day, room and board. It was sharecropping all the way around here. Chinese just hire all the labor and do all the work, and then share the profits. You worked for the Chinese who had the lease. . . . we worked from six to six. They don’t do that anymore. Everybody worked from six to six, two hours of rest and ten hours of work. Long day. Sometimes you worked 10 and half hours. Most of the pruning crews spoke the same dialects; mostly Zhongshan around here. In fact, I can’t think of a ranch that had a Sze Yap boss. The one in charge was usually the one that could speak a little bit of English, like my granddad.

You were glad just to get a job. Depression time, we didn’t get too damaged by unemployment around here. There was always a lot of ranch work, but it wasn’t a dollar a day. During the Depression it was down to seventy-five cents a day, 1932, ‘33. We had the poorhouses too. Every town had its poorhouse. Courtland have one, Locke have one, Walnut Grove have one, Isleton have one. Just a place to keep away from the elements. . . .

I never finished high school. I only went to tenth grade. I quit because I was stupid. I don’t know why, but when I was young I just hated school. I think I had a reason, too. I was always interested in electronics—electronics and mechanics—and the schools had neither one of them. If they had, I think I would have gone through . . . but there wasn’t anything that interested me. The only thing I took was woodworking. I’m a practical man more than an intellectual guy. Later on I learn that you can be practical about being intellectual. . . .

It took me four years to save $600, then I went to school in San Francisco. In six months the money was gone. I spent six months in San Francisco at the Western College of Radio, on Larkin and O’Farrell. I don’t think it’s there anymore. They taught me the bare fundamentals . . . at that time, since I didn’t finish high school, I was handicapped. I didn’t know mathematics and science and chemistry, the things I needed in order to understand electricity, to repair things.

That was 1938. I was twenty-four. Then I came back here and opened up a radio shop. I ran it three or four years before the army got me. Actually, I enlisted in the air force. I was one year in Sacramento, one year in Houston, Texas, two years over in CBI—China-Burma-India. I was a radio mechanic for airplanes and ground vehicles. Then after the war I came back and did the same thing—opened up a radio shop. I did that from ’46 to ’55. When I first opened my shop, after four years of war there was a lot of work to be done. People still had a lot of radios, TV wasn’t in yet. So I made a living at it. But after a while, a lot of businesses here were gone already. There was only one restaurant left. Two grocery stores. Two gambling houses. One pool hall. I guess it started during the Depression. A lot of Chinese move away during the Depression; they couldn’t make any money farming, so they moved to the city. I was the only radio shop around at the time but I still never had enough work to keep me busy. Finally, television killed me off. Couldn’t handle television. You know how big a television was in those days—about that big, that wide, weighs a ton. You can’t lift it without help, you can’t carry it, you can’t transport it without help. You can’t put up an antenna without help . . . . I finally closed down and went to work for the Army Signal Depot. Electronics, repair work. I spent twenty years there, and retired nine years ago. Federal retirement, it was a federal installation. . . .
Carol Hall, the only Chinese of her generation living in Locke, on Main Street, 1976.
The year of 1814 might well have been termed the "Year of the Foreigner" in California. What made this particular year so memorable was the arrival of the first (so far as has been documented) permanent non-Spaniard/non-Indian settlers, brought by two English schooners, the Isaac Todd in January and the Columbia in November. (The Russians who founded Fort Ross in 1812 had all left by 1841.) Of these original pioneers, the man who most typified the later entrepreneurial spirit of the Yankee was no American. Rather, he was the Portuguese-born Antônio José Rocha.

"He was a pious man, quite a favorite with all the priests, a very industrious man, and one of the most respectable and esteemed citizens of Los Angeles, from the time of my first acquaintance with him in 1831 to the time of his death," wrote J. J. Warner, author/rancher/politician, in 1864.1 A. J. Rocha had been variously referred to as a sailor, weaver, carpenter, gunsmith, and blacksmith; he probably had been a farmer and soldier as well. He was a businessman who knew how to cultivate friendships and exploit situations. He was a personable, energetic, and footloose individual, whose wanderlust carried him through much of the coastal, Spanish part of the state. California's first Portuguese settler is best remembered today for building the adobe house that later served as Los Angeles's first (and only) City-County Municipal Building and for being the first grantee and developer of Rancho La Brea, location of one of the world's most remarkable collections of Pleistocene fossils, Registered Landmark No. 170.2

Of Rocha's life before his arrival in California, probably little aside from the facts of his birth can ever be accurately known. His peasant background and the tragic consequences of the Napoleonic Wars combined to obscure all but scattered bits of information. It is only due to the omnipresent Portuguese Catholic Church, with its ceaseless zeal for the documentation of church events (principally baptisms, marriages, and burials) that even the date of his birth on January 18, 1790, to Feliz Carlos Rocha and Maria Josefa Lima has been ascertained.3

Rocha was born near the Galician border in the tiny, rural parish of São Tiago do Sopo at a time when hunger, disease, and natural calamities raged in areas of the kingdom. The ability of Queen Maria to lead the country effectively was seriously in question, and to the north the French Revolution was underway. Rocha's youth was shadowed by the increasing turmoil of the European wars that the revolution spawned. His native village was situated on or near the path crossed by Napoleon's troops as they invaded Portugal between 1807 and 1811, wreaking havoc on its economy, its countryside, and its people. For reasons that probably can never be accurately determined, Rocha, as a soldier, a refugee, or one simply chasing his destiny, departed the continent and his homeland forever sometime before the close of 1813.

Enroute from Monterey to Santa Barbara, Rocha spent two years at Mission San Miguel Arcángel helping repair damage caused by an earthquake.
Though the European continent was ablaze in the Napoleonic Wars and the United States was fighting England on the high seas, some international ventures proceeded in a more economic vein. One of the United States’ earliest Baltimore Clipper schooners, a 190-ton vessel built in 1812, was apparently captured, brought to England, and sold to the highest bidder. The Northwest Company of Canada purchased the vessel, named (or renamed) it the Columbia, and dispatched it from England in the fall of 1813 toward the northwest coast of America.

Along with the Isaac Todd and the Colonel Allen, the Columbia was part of a tripartite company plan to transport furs to lucrative and forbidden markets in the Far East.

When the fur-laden, China-bound Columbia anchored in Monterey Bay in November, 1814, after a year at sea and various ports-of-call, Antonio Rocha was aboard. Where exactly he had been recruited is unclear, but Madeira Island, the scene of military activity on the part of British and Portuguese allied forces and the schooner’s only North Atlantic port-of-call, is most likely.

The Columbia was brought to Monterey to establish some sort of trade relations between it and a small fur-trading outpost near the mouth of the Columbia River in Oregon called Fort George, originally (and now) known as Astoria. But the negotiations flew in the face of a Spanish ban on commerce with foreign vessels and required a lengthy wait for the approval of José Dario Argüello, the interim governor in residence at Santa Barbara. The delay resulted in the desertion of ten men, Rocha included, who joined nine others who had deserted earlier in the year from the Isaac Todd. If we eliminate the nine Columbia and Todd deserters returned in 1815 to the Columbia, as well as the one Spanish deserter from the same vessel and the one of unidentified nationality from the Isaac Todd, California’s permanent foreign population had swollen from zero at the start of 1814 to eight by the year’s end.

The decision to desert the schooner could not have been an easy one for Rocha. Even if he were never captured, he nevertheless was far from home in a country with whose customs and people he was unfamiliar. He probably had little or no money and would quickly need to find some means of supporting himself. He was, in effect, closing the door on his chances of ever returning to his native land. In the event that he was captured, he likely faced disciplinary action if he were returned to the schooner and an uncertain fate in the hands of the Spaniards if he were not.

The man responsible for Rocha’s capture and subsequent freedom was Lieutenant José María Estudillo, comandante of the Presidio of Monterey. He not only rounded up all ten Columbia deserters, evenly split between Protestants and Catholics, but brought in four Protestant Isaac Todd ones as well. The roundup, which almost certainly occurred in the first half of 1815, came in response to a demand by the captain of the Columbia, whose own intense
manhunt had failed, to have the men captured and jailed to await his return. When the vessel returned in July, 1815, Estudillo handed over five Protestant *Columbia* deserters but bargained for and successfully obtained the release of the Catholic deserters by offering the four *Isaac Todd* men in their place. We can only imagine the anger and frustration of these latter men who had been in the country for a year and a half and who were thrown into chains upon their arrival aboard ship.

Estudillo's action revealed the sharp distinction in the way the Spaniards treated Catholics and Protestants. It was only by a quirk of fate, when the *Isaac Todd* Protestants did not join other deserters from that vessel in accepting conversion to Catholicism, that an opportunity presented itself for Rocha to remain. Had the Protestants not been available, Estudillo would have had little to bargain with. Why or how badly he wanted the Catholics is unknown, but it is unlikely that, in the absence of a governor, he would have shouldered the responsibility of using force to resist a foreign power over the matter.

Aside from perhaps John Gilroy of the *Isaac Todd*, Rocha was the only one of the 1814 pioneers to achieve any significant level of prominence. That he soon came to the attention of the Spanish officials and had, in at least a small way, won their confidence is evidenced by the fact that his short stay in Monterey was marked by his appointment as one of two witnesses in the *Lydia* hearings. Moreover, apparently alone of the 1814 pioneers, Rocha was selected for what appears to have been an unescorted trip south to San Gabriel mission to work in the weaving industry.

Rocha's southbound journey was just one more leg in an ongoing travelogue. He carried a passport requiring him to check in monthly with José de la Guerra y Noriega, comandante of the Santa Barbara presidio and forbidding him to go beyond San Gabriel mission. In addition, Governor Pablo Vicente de Solá sent a dispatch to de la Guerra alerting him to the impending arrival of the Portuguese weaver. Rocha stopped to eat and sleep at the missions, but his stop at San Miguel turned into a two-year effort to rebuild the earthquake-damaged mission church. Here he obtained the carpentry skills that he would use for the rest of his life at other southern California missions. In keeping the Portuguese traveler, Fr. Juan Cabot had probably defied the governor's authority and the San Gabriel mission fathers' expectations; the father wrote the governor of his plans only to allay Rocha's trepidation over the consequences of violating the terms of his passport.

At La Purísima, further south, before the close of 1818, Rocha met the number-one man in the province's ecclesiastical circles, Fr. Mariano Payeras, President of the Missions. Imagine the thoughts and concerns that must have been floating around in his mind. He was more than two years overdue at the Santa Barbara presidio and his future there was uncertain. In leaving the English schooner, he had, after all, merely exchanged one set of masters for another. Cabot had displayed no qualms over usurping the governor's authority when he had kept the Portuguese, and Payeras was Cabot's superior. Seizing the opportunity, Rocha asked the father to give him permission to marry and thereby become eligible for naturalization. He had to have felt that his real goal, naturalization, would free him...
of the restrictions that now hindered his movements and actions. He must have been greatly surprised, however, when Payeras could not respond to his request but, instead, got off an as yet undiscovered letter to the bishop of Sonora. The bishop, also rather vague, responded on February 19, 1819:

Dear Father and Friend: I consider myself without authority to grant naturalization to any foreigner in this Kingdom, and if António Rocha would be allowed to enter upon the marriage you mention, this would in a certain way give him the right of residence in the Kingdom by means of such sacrament. However, if he proves that he is a free and single man in his country, and the government grants a residence permit to the above-mentioned António Rocha, there would be no objections to his intent.

It is not surprising that the bishop carefully sidestepped what must have been uppermost in Rocha's mind; namely, the possibility of becoming a naturalized citizen through marriage. While marriage may have been an ecclesiastical matter, naturalization was a secular one. What is surprising, however, is the lack of any kind of reference to some sort of governmental process, outside of a residence permit (which Rocha had), for the naturalization of foreigners. The bishop does not refer to any such process, and if Payeras had been aware of one, there probably would not have been any need for a letter. Perhaps the difficulty arose from the weakness of civil authority in California, a remote province of a colony already in the throes of the war that would make it independent from Spain. As Rocha had learned by first-hand experience in 1814 and 1815, even provincial secular authorities seemed to have no formal and standardized way of dealing with foreigners.

The weaver-turned-carpenter did eventually ride into Santa Barbara close to three years after his departure from Monterey. Therewith begins the most obscure portion of Rocha's California stay. For the next seven years, from about early 1819 until August 1826, when he was at San Luis Rey, we are confronted by an almost total lack of documentary material concerning Rocha's life. The few published references to the new carpenter for this period are usually vague and inaccurate. There is, for example, no information on how Rocha was affected by Mexico's independence from Spain (known in California in 1822) or whether he was present when Indians attacked the Santa Barbara mission in 1824. Yet this period may well have been one of the most fruitful in his life. The few references to Rocha's work at the various missions cannot be totally discounted. Rocha's new carpentry skills, coupled with his energy and enthusiasm, surely found expression among the numerous building projects of the mission fathers. In addition, his close friendship with many of the priests is a further testimony to his mission involvement in more than just a superficial manner. We do not know whether he was aware of proposals circulating in Mexico City to secularize the missions.

The year 1826 seems to have been a turning point in Rocha's life. He was sent at an unknown date to work at San Luis Rey and while there he reported a forgery to the alcalde of Los Angeles. In July, a governmental decree enabling Indians to free themselves under certain circumstances from mission bondage may have been sweeping enough to include foreigners as well. The decree made
it difficult for the mission fathers to justify a continued expropriation-at-will of the labor skills of foreigners. Rocha's case may be the only hint that foreigners were briefly placed under such a loose type of bondage system. In any case, shortly after the announcement of the decree the Portuguese carpenter left the mission at San Luis Rey. At San Gabriel mission, on November 5, 1826, he married María Josefa Dolores of the Xavier Alvarado family of Los Angeles.  

Although the naturalization he had hoped for did not come until five years later in 1831, his energy and talents, given free rein, soon made him one of the most conspicuous and, later, respected persons of southern California.

Rocha's most significant and publicized project was the house he erected a few blocks west of the plaza on the northwest corner of what in American times would be called Spring and Franklin streets. It was constructed around the time of, or shortly after, his marriage. No complete and reliable description of the house has come down to us, surprising in view of its history, except that it was a long, low adobe building with a portico extending out over the walkway that ran along Spring Street.  

Apparently it was spacious, for he repaid the debt he owed Estudillo for his freedom in 1815 by granting him the use of the house in 1827 and 1828 for the "Bringas affair," an investigation probing the alleged misappropriation of public funds by state administrator of public accounts and finances, José Maria Herrera. Later, adopting the role of the gracious Mexican host, Rocha brought the shipwrecked crew of the American drogher Danube to his house on Christmas Eve, 1828, to recover from their ordeal.  

In American times, the "Roche house," as it came to be called, rapidly lost its old Mexican charm. It was purchased in August, 1853 (sixteen years after Rocha's death and shortly after his widow's death) for use as a city-county public office building, and a large brick jail was constructed in the rear lot. Perhaps inevitably this led to such events as the following: "When the vigilantes . . . undertook to improve the morals of the town with a hangman's noose the rafters of the porch in front that extended over the sidewalk on Spring Street were sometimes improvised into a gallows tree that bore gruesome fruit."  

By 1859, the County Courthouse had already vacated the site and the rest of the county offices looked to follow suit due to a lack of space in the old adobe and because "... when it rained water actually poured through the ceiling and ran down the walls." In the sixties, after the county had left, we find this rather colorful assessment: "... one of these squat adobes constituted the first Los Angeles city hall. Within its white-washed, tobacco-spattered walls, in dingy, smoke-filled rooms, the city council sat on wooden benches, the mayor held morning court for the drunk and disorderly, and the town's three policemen made their headquarters." The city vacated the premises in the eighties, leading to its use by private commercial interests. The house endured until the early 1900s when, around a hundred years old, it was razed to make way for the Phillips Block.

Politicians and rancheros were those who attained the greatest prosperity in the 1820s. And Rocha was no politician. He was not even a citizen. In January, 1828, in order that it "... in some part may assist them to the comforts of life...", Rocha formed a partnership with a Mexican citizen named
Nemesio Domínguez and petitioned the Los Angeles ayuntamiento for authorization to colonize a tract of land called La Brea. It was situated on the west side of the pueblo and at least partly within pueblo borders, and the partners sought permission to develop the rancho by building a house and corral and stocking it with four hundred head of cattle they both possessed. 

Why they needed a partnership is unclear. Perhaps they simply needed to pool resources for their mutual benefit, but Rocha may have recognized that his “foreign” status could hinder approval of a land grant. The Mexican colonization act of 1824 did not bar foreigners from land, except along the coast or near foreign borders, but it did give preference to citizens. The regulations of 1828, designed to clarify and enforce the 1824 decree, had not yet been issued at the time of the application for the La Brea grant. In fact, it appears that no foreigner had yet obtained a grant of land. Was Rocha merely using Domínguez’s citizenship to help ensure success in his venture? It likely played a large part. As for Domínguez, he could benefit from Rocha’s influence in the community through his prominent in-laws and because La Brea was being provisionally occupied by Rocha’s brother-in-law, Juan Nepomuceno Alvarado. José Antonio Carrillo, alcalde, granted the two enterprising men the rancho in April, presumably after surveying the area in question. The survey of such pending grants was described as a procedure in which “... the alcalde of the district, who, with two witnesses and a riata fifty varas in length, would go out on horseback and measure the tract. The survey, if it could be called such, was begun by throwing up a pile of stones or earth as an initial point, and planting a cross thereon. No compass directions were noted and a line was run by sighting to some natural landmark.” The grant was for one league, amounting to around 4400 acres. The pueblo retained a possessory claim to the rancho’s brea beds, allowing freedom of passage to local citizens needing tar for their roofs and preventing the two men from attempting to market the product.

The extent of the La Brea partners’ involvement in the rancho’s development is about as unclear as why they formed their partnership in the first place. No evidence detailing any of Domínguez’s activities on the rancho has surfaced. Born in 1788, he was already forty when he sought the grant. The son of a career soldier, he likely was one as well, and his name appeared on an 1841 roster of soldiers at Sonoma. This fact explains his absence from the rancho in 1840 when the city sought control of La Brea, believing it to have been abandoned for two consecutive years. Domínguez apparently placed little value on the rancho, for he sold his interest in 1852 to Rocha’s heirs for one dollar rather than face litigation; it is not known, however, how many cattle he walked off with.

As for Rocha, evidence of his involvement is considerable, although much of the information is contained in testimony from the La Brea court case of latter years. As a carpenter, he probably built the adobe house, which today still stands in restored form on the rancho, as well as the corral, which does not. Using managers to oversee the cattle and vaqueros to work the matanazas, he saw his herd increase around three-fold in the nine years he operated the rancho. Some of the cattle were marketed live and some were slaughtered to supply hides for American and European markets. Although such rancho activities were the norm...
Two Los Angeles courtrooms in November, 1852, they included a twenty-two-year-old son, his slightly younger brother, both single, and a dead sister's infant daughter. They had come to fight for possession of Rancho La Brea. Against them was the United States government. The United States based its claim to La Brea on the 1840 proceedings, believing that the family's claim was of a provisional nature and revocable upon the parents' deaths. But the stubborn family fought on in court through the appeals process for twenty-two years, using at least four attorneys, and summoning such prominent witnesses as José Antonio Carrillo, Andrés Pico, Juan María Sepúlveda, J. J. Warner, and Abel Stearns. The family prevailed when its members finally convinced the court that their rancho deslito operated in Mexican times as a kind of deed to the land.

Don António José Rocha was only forty-seven years old when he died in 1837. He had labored as a mission carpenter nearly all his life in California, but had found time for other notable projects such as his home and Rancho La Brea. He left behind a thirty-four-year-old wife, three daughters, and three or four sons. He never saw his last son who was born on February 7, one day after the carpenter was buried in the Plaza Church cemetery. Two sons, António José Calixto and José Jorge, and a daughter, María Timotea de Jesús, who died before she was twenty-five, lived long enough to have children. Rocha also left behind property on Spring Street in Los Angeles, on Carrillo Street in Santa Barbara, and the La Brea industry, such as it was.

Rocha's life provides us with some of the first glimpses we have of what it was like to be a foreigner in Spanish California and with some insights into what it took to "make it" in Mexican California.

See notes on page 233.
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The years between the defeat of the Union Labor Party in 1911 and the United States’ entry into World War I were a time of relative stability for organized labor in San Francisco. Union membership, which had grown rapidly from 1901, leveled off after 1911 and did not rise again until the wartime industrial boom created an increased demand for labor. However, organized labor was by no means inactive, for the period of stability in industrial relations coincided with an increase in political activity. The election of Hiram Johnson in 1910 was followed by a wave of pro-labor legislative reforms in 1911 and 1913, largely through the support, if not the direct initiative, of the Progressive governor. Labor lobbyists became a permanent Sacramento fixture. San Francisco’s John I. Nolan exemplified the new alliance between labor and politics: a member of the Iron Molder’s Union and the San Francisco Labor Council’s salaried representative in Sacramento, Nolan went on to represent San Francisco’s largely working-class 5th Congressional District.2

The relative stability which appeared on the industrial relations front and the increased activity of labor on the legislative front coincided with another important development in California politics: the rise and fall of the Progressive Party as a significant political force. Until fairly recently, historians had so often defined “progressivism” as a middle-class movement that little was said of its relationship to the labor movement.3 More recently the role of labor has received more attention in histories which treat progressivism not as a unified phenomenon but as a heterogeneous collection of groups often seeking quite different sets of objectives.4

However, where labor’s relationship to Progressive Era politics has been considered, the focus has usually been on labor leaders. There have been few attempts to study the voting behavior of working-class constituents. San Francisco’s neighborhoods were relatively homogeneous, with predominantly working-class districts in the Sunset and the Richmond, and the upper-class districts of Pacific Heights and Nob Hill. This provides a valuable opportunity to evaluate voting behavior along class lines.5 Furthermore, because of California’s appetite for the initiative and referendum, the vote for or against a variety of propositions allows one to examine working-class support for a variety of key Progressive Era issues.

The purpose of this essay is to examine working-class support for progressivism. The term “progressivism” is used with all due caution; but generally, it should be thought of at one level as encompassing, a variety of reform movements which sought to use the legislative process for the “betterment” of society. At a second level, progressivism can be thought of as the political and legislative agenda of the Progressive Party. Where the terms “progressive” and “progressivism” have been used, every attempt has been made to identify the species of progressivism to which they refer. A statistical analysis of working-class voting behavior, as well as a content analysis of the labor press, has been made in the hope of clarifying the relationship between labor and progressivism. It will be argued that, in San Francisco, working-class voters embraced Progressives and progressivism with no more and no less enthusiasm than other voters, and without a great deal of consistency. At least two historians have taken working-class and labor support for progressivism to indicate that workers and labor leaders had adopted a “middle-class psychology” and been incorporated into the mainstream of middle-class politics.6 This study, however, suggests that what has been interpreted as overwhelming support for progressivism-in-general is, in fact, nothing more than

San Francisco’s drinking places have always been an important arena for political discussion. The proprietors of the Teamsters Inn clearly did not expect their customers to be put off by the political preferences they expressed.
the selective voting behavior of a working class fully aware of its class interests.

Students of California progressivism have at their disposal two major works on the subject: George Mowry's *The California Progressives*, which appeared in 1951 and continues to be an influential interpretation; and Spencer Olin's *California's Prodigal Sons: Hiram Johnson and the Progressives, 1911-1917*, which appeared in 1968. Both scholars addressed the relationship between labor and the Progressives.7

Mowry saw the Progressive Insurgency in California as a middle-class movement, a concept similar to the "status revolution" thesis later elaborated by Richard Hofstadter. Mowry's progressives feared the power of both organized capital and organized labor and were especially hostile to the latter. Mowry argued that Progressives were tolerant of trade unions only so long as they were ineffective, and that wherever "closed-shop" forces started to make gains, as they did in San Francisco, the progressives became hostile to trade-unionism. As for the pro-labor legislation which passed in the state legislature in the 1911 and 1913 sessions, Mowry claimed that those bills which became law were watered down versions of labor proposals. More importantly, anti-injunction legislation, which was labor's single most important demand, never passed.9

Spencer Olin, writing in 1968, proceeded from a different framework.

Heavily influenced by the views of Samuel Hays, Samuel Haber, and Robert Wiebe, Olin stressed the Progressives' desire for efficiency and social harmony. Contrary to Mowry's assertion that Progressives were hostile to labor, Olin concluded that organized labor and the Progressives formed a convenient political friendship: labor leaders ensured the electoral support of the working class districts, and progressive politicians provided legislation favorable to labor's interest. Olin argued that Mowry concentrated too heavily on the rhetoric of a few selected Progressives, and too little on legislative accomplishments. Mowry, Olin contended, fixed his attention so firmly on the failure to secure an anti-injunction law that he neglected the fact that thirty-nine of forty-nine bills proposed by labor became law during the 1911 session.9

If Mowry did in fact ignore a great deal, Olin was guilty of the same fault. Progressive support of organized labor was indeed qualified, and the passage of an anti-injunction law was in fact of primary importance to California labor leaders.10 Moreover, both Mowry and Olin focused on the attitudes and policies of Progressives toward labor and said little or nothing of labor's stance toward progressivism. Where they considered labor, the focus was on leadership and lobbying activities. Neither attempted a comprehensive analysis of the Progressives' constituency and the role of working-class voters, union or nonunion.

Following the lead of Alexander Saxton, who showed that San Francisco workers supported Hiram Johnson in 1914, John Shover and Michael Rogin, in separate articles, attempted sophisticated statistical analyses to determine the roots of electoral support for Progressives in California. These essays—later published as chapters in a book which attempted to apply "critical election" theory to California politics—argued that a shift in the Progressive constituency occurred between 1910 and 1914. Although in 1910 Hiram Johnson's support had come from middle-class, native-born, and rural areas of the state, his re-election in 1914 owed itself to the votes of the state's urban working class. Both Shover and Rogin pointed to the considerably pro-labor legislative sessions of 1911 and 1913 as an explanation for this shifting base of electoral support. Shover concluded that Mowry's thesis was therefore in need of revision, for it could not explain how a movement born out of middle-class status anxiety could have been so appealing to working-class voters. Rogin, hoping to expand on Shover's article, argued that the Progressive Era in California marked the beginning of "the incorporation of workers into liberal, middle-class American politics."11

Shover's and Rogin's conclusions, were based almost entirely on the vote for Johnson yet Hiram Johnson's ability to secure the votes of working-class voters does not mean that all Progressives, much less "progressivism," elicited the same response. This essay proposes to test the Shover-Rogin hypothesis against a wider range of variables: a statistical analysis will be made of San Francisco working-class support for all candidates who ran as Progressives between 1912 and 1916, and their success or failure will be compared to that of the other parties' candidates. In addition, well over 100 propositions came before San Francisco vot-
ers during these years. Not all of these are useful indicators of support for progressivism, but there are several which allow one to measure working-class support for a variety of key Progressive Era issues. By using the same statistical methods as those employed by professors Shover and Rogin and applying them to a greater number of variables, it is hoped that a more refined statement can be made about the nature of the relationship between the working-class constituency and Progressive Era politics. In order to supplement statistical data and to provide probable explanations for voting patterns, a careful examination has been made of San Francisco’s labor press during this period. Not only does this help explain voting behavior, but it offers as well an opportunity to consider the extent to which the working-class vote followed the recommendations of labor leaders.

In order to gauge workers’ support for specific candidates, parties, and propositions, I have used two statistical methods. First, I have borrowed a technique used by both Shover and Rogin, by which a district’s vote on a labor-issue proposition—in this case the “No” vote on San Francisco’s 1916 Anti-Picketing Ordinance—is used as an index of pro-labor sentiment. The resulting sentiment index is then compared to the vote for specific candidates and propositions. A high positive correlation indicates a candidate or proposition was most successful in those districts where the labor sentiment index was high and ran poorest in those districts with low pro-labor sentiment; a negative correlation would suggest the opposite. Second, I have divided San Francisco assembly districts into working-class and non-working-class blocs in order to test the hypothesis that there are statistically significant differences between the mean voting behavior of the two groups. Since opposition to the anti-picketing ordinance ran considerably higher in working-class districts than it did citywide, one would expect that a candidate or proposition which ran well in the working-class districts would also show a strong, positive correlation to the labor sentiment index. And, in fact, this was the case.

Although both Shover and Rogin have shown that San Francisco workers supported Hiram Johnson in 1914, neither attempted to measure the support that other Progressive Party candidates received. Shover’s and Rogin’s argument implicitly assumes that working-class support for Johnson also indicates support for progressivism in general. One way to test this assumption is to correlate the labor sentiment index with voting for (1) other candidates running as Progressives and (2) candidates from the major parties who were considered “progressive.” If workers were in fact voting for progressivism and not just for Johnson as an individual, then one would expect that other Progressive candidates would also show positive correlations to the labor sentiment index.

Johnson and Francis Heney, who ran for the U.S. Senate in 1914, both did better in working-class districts than they did citywide, and their overall performance correlates highly with the labor sentiment index. Running for the U.S. Senate in 1916, Johnson again scored a heavy victory in working-class districts, but the correlation of his support to the labor sentiment index decreased considerably. There are two possible explanations for this decrease: First, Johnson ran on both the Progressive and Republican tickets in 1916, and it is possible that the Republican endorse-
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ment cost him a few votes among workers while gaining the votes of a few standpat Republicans. Second, Johnson ran so well in both working-class and non-working-class districts—with the exception of Pacific Heights—that the small vote against him is not sufficient to show a significant difference. Nonetheless, working-class voters gave Johnson and Heney greater support than did non-working-class voters.

However, other Progressive Party candidates were not always as successful as Johnson and Heney. Support for Progressive candidates to the House of Representatives and the State Assembly shows no significant correlation with the labor sentiment index. Because San Francisco had two congressional and thirteen assembly districts, it is possible to make general statements about support for Progressives as a group. Progressive Party candidates in the 4th and 5th congressional districts in both 1914 and 1916 were, respectively, Julius Kahn and John I. Nolan. Kahn, a Republican businessman, ran most poorly where pro-labor sentiment was high, whereas Nolan, a former labor leader, received his greatest support among working-class voters. What is significant is that working-class voters voted not for the “progressive” candidate—for both claimed to be that—but for the candidate who was perceived to be a friend of labor. The pattern is the same for Progressive candidates for the Assembly, calling oneself a Progressive was not enough to ensure working-class support. The recommendations of the labor press point to a similar conclusion: candidates were rated according to their past record with no apparent regard for party affiliation.

What was true of Progressive Party candidates was generally true for Republicans and Democrats as well.

Neither party could claim consistent support south of Market Street. Although it appears that Democrats were somewhat more successful than Republicans, the appearance is deceiving. Democrats did in fact run better in the working-class districts than they did elsewhere; but they did not always win there. In 1912, the six most heavily working-class districts, 21–25 and 29, elected three Democrats and three Republicans. Granted, the Republicans won by much narrower margins in working-class districts than they did elsewhere, but they did win. Furthermore, when the three South-of-Market Republicans elected in 1912 ran again in 1914 and/or 1916, the labor press rated the legislative voting record of each as “excellent.” In fact, South-of-Market assemblmen who ran for re-election always had favorable voting records, regardless of their party.

The only party which consistently polled highest where pro-labor sentiment was strongest was the Socialist Party. The South of Market districts showed strong support for socialist candidates; Assembly District 22, for example, gave Eugene V. Debs nearly twenty-four percent of its vote in 1912, well above the national average of six percent. Between 1912 and 1916, Socialist candidates for assembly seats averaged more than fifteen percent in the working-class districts while getting only five percent in the non-working-class districts.

While the Socialist vote in San Francisco was never sufficient to elect Socialists to office, it did affect the races between Democrats and Republicans. Take for example the 1912 vote in Assembly District 23, where Republican James Ryan received 2,104 votes to Democrat John...
Bogues's 1,983—a difference of only 121 votes. The Socialist candidate, Herman Doyle, received 1,629 votes. Although it is difficult to say whether Doyle's votes would have gone to the Democrat or the Republican it is clear that a major party which could tap into the sentiment behind the Socialist vote would certainly win more elections. In a sense, that is exactly what pro-labor Progressives like Johnson and Heney were able to do. When one compares the average Socialist vote in state elections where Johnson and Heney ran with those where they did not run, the results are quite revealing. Against Johnson and Heney, the Socialist vote in the working-class districts ranged from six to ten percent, whereas in races which lacked a notably pro-labor Progressive it ranged from twelve to nearly twenty-four percent.

Several propositions came before the San Francisco voters which, according to traditional definitions, could be called "progressive." These propositions provide further variables which can be used as evidence to test the Shover-Rogin hypothesis. A total of thirteen propositions covering several progressive themes—direct democracy, civil service reform, regulation of public utilities, "moral" reform, and improvement of labor conditions—can be subjected to the same tests as those applied to the several candidates.

There were no significant differences between working-class districts and the rest of the city when it came to voting for or against "moral reforms." The vote on two prohibition amendments shows no significant correlation to the index: voters rejected both amendments by approximately four-to-one margins with no more than a 2.26 percent spread between working-class and non-working-class districts. A proposition to abolish prize fights, which lost by a small majority in all districts, shows only a moderately negative correlation to pro-labor sentiment. It seems clear that, regardless of class, San Franciscans wanted to keep their liquor legal and were divided on the issue of abolishing prize fights.

Of the propositions which do not deal with moral reforms or labor issues, only two show strong divisions along class lines in election results. Of the other two, a proposition extending the nonpartisan direct primary to include additional state offices failed to win a majority on either side of Market Street. Extending the civil service lost in both areas as well, faring only slightly better in the working-class districts. Of the seven non-labor-issue propositions, only two, one allowing the Railroad Commission to fix the value of public utilities—a first step toward municipal ownership—and another abolishing the poll tax, show strong, significant differences along class lines. Not surprisingly, these two propositions deal most directly with economic issues.

The labor-issue measures, on the other hand, reveal a striking cleavage. Voting patterns on each of the six labor-issue propositions shows a very high correlation to the labor sentiment index and a statistically significant difference between the mean vote according to class. It is to be expected, of course, that votes on labor-issue propositions would show strong correlations to a pro-labor sentiment index; what is interesting, however, is the degree of polarization between working-class and non-working-class districts. Jack London's description of the Market Street cable (the "slot") as a reification of the barriers between rich and poor in San Francisco is on the mark. The aristocracy of Pacific Heights and Nob Hill and the "suburban" middle-class of the Sunset and Rich-
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mond districts perceived labor and economic light from workers "south of the slot."

When a quantitative analysis of working-class voting behavior is carried beyond an examination of electoral support for Hiram Johnson, it reveals that working-class support for "progressivism" was not unconditional. To explain working-class voting behavior during the Progressive Era as evidence of the "incorporation" of workers into middle-class politics ignores the considerable degree of polarization between working-class and non-working-class voters. Jules Tygiel, in a reappraisal of San Francisco's Union Labor Party (ULP), argued convincingly that the ULP was clearly perceived as representing working-class interests and that San Francisco voters—both South of Market and in Pacific Heights—were conscious of this fact and voted accordingly. The evidence seems to suggest that the class conflict observed by Tygiel for the 1901-1911 period was still quite evident in the 1912-1916 elections. When workers did support Progressive candidates or "progressive" reforms, it was because they promised to benefit workers, not because workers had been incorporated into the middle-class.

A statistical analysis of San Francisco voting behavior supports Daniel Rodgers's observation that the Progressive Era was highlighted by the substitution of "special interest" politics for the traditional party system. An examination of San Francisco's labor press during the 1912-16 period lends additional support to Rodgers's conclusion. Like working-class voters, the three major labor journals in San Francisco—Organized Labor, Labor Clarion, and Coast Seamen's Journal—showed no special inclination toward any political party. Rather, all three papers seemed to follow Samuel Gompers's admonishment to "support our friends, and punish our enemies" regardless of party.

Although the labor press in San Francisco did not officially make political endorsements, it used four important methods to get its message across to the rank-and-file. First, all three papers made direct recommendations on propositions and ordinances; never addressing all measures on the ballot, labor editors included only those which were most crucial to labor's interest. Second, a few weeks before each election, each paper carried a section entitled "Questions for the Candidates" in which questions of interest to labor were posed and the candidate's response reported. Third, the California State Federation of Labor, as well as the local Building Trades Council and the San Francisco Labor Council, published the voting records of Assemblymen, State Senators, and members of Congress. The voting records appeared alongside a candidate's response to the questions.

### Mean Percentage of "Yes" Votes on Propositions by Class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>Working Class Mean %</th>
<th>Non-Working Class Mean %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valuation of Public Utilities</td>
<td>51.71</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Primary</td>
<td>43.57</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abolish Poll Tax</td>
<td>66.43</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Service Extension</td>
<td>39.43</td>
<td>35.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Moral&quot; Reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition (1914)</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>15.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition (1916)</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abolish Prize Fights</td>
<td>45.29</td>
<td>48.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Issue, endorsed by labor press</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-hour Day</td>
<td>55.14</td>
<td>30.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit Public Speech (&quot;No&quot; Vote)</td>
<td>66.63</td>
<td>39.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitney</td>
<td>55.71</td>
<td>31.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-hr/$3.00 Minimum Wage</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Issue, not endorsed by labor press</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Wage (Women and Children)</td>
<td>56.71</td>
<td>40.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-Day Rest</td>
<td>53.86</td>
<td>35.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
And fourth, editorials served as a means of indirectly—though at times very conspicuously—supporting a friend or punishing an enemy of labor.24

Any attempt to discuss all of the issues addressed by San Francisco's labor press would exceed the space available here. However, it is instructive to select for examination two major themes: (1) To what extent were the A.F. of L. and its affiliates non-partisan? (2) Was A.F. of L. support for Asiatic exclusion racially or economically motivated? Labor historian Philip Taft has argued that the A.F. of L. under Gompers was consistently non-partisan, but Marc Karson countered that the federation was a strong supporter of the Democratic Party between 1908 and 1916. Taft argues that labor's support of Asiatic exclusion was based on a legitimate economic grievance, and Karson argues that the A.F. of L. policy was fundamentally racist.25

The disagreement over non-partisan ship can be resolved in part by considering candidates to state and federal offices separately. Party affiliation did not affect the way candidates for state offices in California were the labor press. Consider, for example, the following table, which gives a breakdown of candidate ratings by party and year of election: At the state level, therefore, it does not appear that organized labor in San Francisco showed any favoritism toward Democrats; on the contrary, Republicans, simply because they were able to win narrow victories in the working-class districts and then vote in the interest of their constituents once in Sacramento, were most likely to secure favorable ratings from organized labor.

Karson's contention that organized labor supported Democrats, however, is much more credible in the presidential arena. While none of the three labor journals officially endorsed Democratic candidate Woodrow Wilson in either 1912 or 1916, they ran editorials either in favor of Wilson or against Theodore Roosevelt (1912) and Charles Evans Hughes (1916).

In an article entitled, "Roosevelt and The Japs," the Coast Seamen's Journal reminded its readers that in 1907 Theodore Roosevelt had interfered with San Francisco's effort to segregate white and Japanese children in the city's schools. Furthermore, Roosevelt had recommended that Congress pass legislation that would allow the Japanese to become American citizens.26 Organized Labor ran a political advertisement which compared the stands of Wilson and Roosevelt on the question of Asian Exclusion and quoted Wilson as saying, "Oriental coolieism will give us another race problem to solve and surely we have had our lesson."27 Roosevelt ran poorest in working-class districts, showing a negative correlation with the labor sentiment index (−0.6887), although the "Bull Moose" platform carried more pro-labor planks than the Democrats' and Roosevelt's running mate was none other than Hiram Johnson.28 Considering the intimate relationship between labor and anti-Asian sentiment in San Francisco, which dated at least to the 1870s,29 it seems reasonable to assume that Roosevelt's policy on Asian Exclusion cost him support among working-class voters.

When San Francisco's white working-class rejected Roosevelt for his tolerance of Asian immigration, was it acting on the basis of legitimate, class-based economic concerns or
was it simply taking a racist position? It is difficult to conclude that labor's policy toward Asian exclusion was not based on racism, but Taft is correct in asserting that there was an economic component. Walter MacArthur, secretary of the San Francisco Labor Council and editor of the Coast Seamen's Journal, summed up labor's argument in an address to the Pacific Coast Immigration Conference, April 13-14, 1913:

There is no necessary disagreement between the organized workers and those who take the immigrant by the hand and lead him into higher paths of social and civic life. We are all agreed that the immigration question is a "problem of humanity." [However] in the more concrete form it is also a problem of economics, a bread and butter problem.30

The quote from MacArthur is interesting for several reasons: first, that immigrants could be taken "by the hand and [led] into higher paths of social and civic life" reveals MacArthur's paternalism, which, of course, was not unique to labor leaders during the Progressive Era; second, he stresses that labor's opposition to immigration is based on economics; finally, MacArthur was speaking on the need to restrict both white and Asian immigration. Labor's efforts to restrict the importation of cheap labor, which it believed would deflate wages and provide business with a source of strikebreakers, extended to European as well as Asian labor. However, labor leaders and working-class voters should not be let off quite so easily. For organized labor sought only to regulate the influx of European laborers, but it wanted the absolute exclusion of Asians and denial to those who were already here of the right to citizen-ship and the right to own or lease land.31 Racism and economic self-interest, it seems, were mutually reinforcing phenomena to a point. Whether Roosevelt's pro-labor platform or Wilson's Asian exclusion offered more to San Francisco workers is open to debate.

In 1912 Wilson had received the lukewarm support of the labor press only by default; his support in 1916 owed itself much more to the pro-labor record of his first administration and his promise to keep the United States out of the war in Europe. As in 1912, the labor press did not officially endorse either candidate, but there could be no mistaking the favorite. In the issues immediately preceding the November election, all three labor papers carried an imposing picture of Woodrow Wilson on their front pages. The Coast Seamen's Journal captioned its photograph of Wilson: "The man who signed the Seaman's Charter of Freedom and thereby completed the work of Abraham Lincoln, the Great Emancipator."32

Inside, the editors went about in their usual manner, listing the respective accomplishments of both candidates. Wilson's list went on for nearly two pages, but a brief discussion of Hughes's career mentioned only his support of the tariff (which labor opposed), his concurrence in the infamous Danbury Hatter's Case, which upheld the use of the injunction in labor cases, and his opposition to the Adamson Act, which guaranteed the eight-hour day for the nation's railroad workers. An unsigned editorial referred to Hughes as the "candidate of the plutocracy" and reckoned that Hughes appeared
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**California State Federation of Labor's Candidate Rating Based on 1911 and 1913 Legislative Voting Record:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Republicans</th>
<th>Democrats</th>
<th>Progressives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1914***</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916***</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

* Republicans receive greater numbers of both positive and negative ratings because in the 1913 session San Francisco Republican assemblymen outnumbered Democrats 8 to 5.
* ** No Progressives ran for the Assembly in 1912.
* *** Because of cross-filing, the figures for 1914 and 1916 represent a certain amount of overlap.

---

**Rooming houses like this one on Harrison Street were common South of Market, offering affordable housing to a wide variety of working-class opportunity seekers. Saloons were important amenities and meeting places for men.**
to "have less sympathy (for) ... American workers than the Czar of Russian has shown for the Polish Jews." For a non-partisan paper with an official policy of not endorsing candidates, the Coast Seamen's Journal, along with the other labor weeklies, had made its position perfectly clear.33

San Francisco Republicans should not have been surprised by labor's overwhelming, though unofficial, support for Wilson in 1916, for in the issue immediately following Wilson's victory in 1912, Organized Labor had issued a caveat to members of all parties: The Democratic Party not only has a great opportunity (because of its victory), but it has a great responsibility. The next four years will tell whether this party, like the Republican and Progressive parties, will lose the confidence of the common man. Should this come about, it is inevitable that in the Presidential campaign of 1916 we will see the workingmen of this nation solidified as never before and marching under the banner of the party which looks alone to the workers of the world for its perpetuity.34

In 1912 therefore, San Francisco labor was not prepared to pledge its loyalty to the Democratic Party; rather, it issued a stern warning to the Democrats not to go the way of the Republicans and Progressives. And just as labor swore loyalty to no political party, neither did it embrace the more elusive label of "progressivism" without qualifications. Organized Labor editorialized three days before the 1916 election that, "Whether a man is a true progressive or not may be judged largely by his attitude toward labor unions ... (this is) the test of a candidate's progressivism..."35

The essays by John Shover and Michael Rogin, taken together, offer a single interpretation of working-class voting behavior during the Progressive Era, which can be presented as follows: (1) The California working class, starting in 1914, proved to be the greatest source of support for Cal-
The first component of the Shover-Rogin hypothesis, that working-class voters supported progressivism, is clearly in need of qualification. Working-class voters supported Hiram Johnson and accounted for the greater part of Johnson's political success after 1914, support for Johnson cannot be interpreted as support for all Progressives, much less progressivism. As a group, Progressives fared no better among working-class voters than any other party; and to the extent that one can speak of "progressive" propositions, working-class voters—like everyone else—were most likely to vote according to what appeared to be in their best interest. One need not resort to the rubric of Progressivism to explain working-class voting behavior.

By denying the premise that workers supported Progressivism, we necessarily deny the conclusion that such support constituted the incorporation of the working class into middle-class politics and its acceptance of middle-class ideology. More-

| Table 1: Performance of Progressive Party Candidates by Labor Sentiment Index |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Johnson         | Heney           | Johnson         | Representative  | Representative  | Assembly        | Assembly        |
| Governor        | Governor        | Senator         | 1914            | 1914            | 1916            | 1914            |
| 1914            | .9295           | .8832           | .3085           | .0494           | -.0644          | .3661           |
| .001            | .001            | .100            | .436            | .415            | .109            | .250            |

| Table 2: Performance of Republican and Democratic Candidates by Labor Sentiment Index |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Republicans     |                |                |                |                | Assembly        | Assembly        |
| Governor        | Senator        | Senator        | Representative  | Representative  | 1912            | 1914            |
| 1914            | 1914           | 1916           | 1914            | 1916            | -.4014          | 1916            |
| -.9684          | -.8448         | .3805          | .0494           | -.0664          | .098            | .311            |
| .001            | .001           | .100           | .436            | .415            | .109            | .250            |

| Democrats       |                |                |                |                | Assembly        | Assembly        |
| Governor        | Senator        | Senator        | Representative  | Representative  | 1912            | 1914            |
| 1914            | 1914           | 1916           | 1914            | 1916            | -.2157          | 1914            |
| .3425           | -.7304         | -.8838         | .6186           | .6352           | .250            | .122            |
| .126            | .002           | .001           | .012            | .010            | .109            | .250            |

| Table 3: Performance of Socialist Party Candidates by Labor Sentiment Index |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Governor        | Senator        | Senator        | Representative  | Representative  | Assembly        | Assembly        |
| 1914            | 1914           | 1916           | 1914            | 1916            | 1912            | 1914            |
| .8668           | .9323          | .9063          | .9555           | .9247           | .8683           | .7690           |
| .001            | .001           | .001           | .001            | .001            | .001            | .001            |
over the assertion that progressivism was an essentially middle-class phenomenon, albeit embraced by working-class voters, ignores the diversity of Progressive Era reforms and he varied interests of progressive reformers.

The progressive legacy for twentieth-century politics is an ambiguous one whose contradictions may not be resolved before the century ends. By attempting to place working-class voters in the context of Progressive Era politics, Shover and Rogin opened a new line of inquiry which enables us to move beyond simple categories and look carefully at how different groups of Americans responded to progressivism. Quite possibly a close examination of Los Angeles and San Francisco. That, however, is a different undertaking. What is important is that by starting with the Shover and Rogin hypothesis and examining working-class voting behavior in a specific place, we have been able to see more clearly how Americans of the Progressive Era responded to progressivism. In casting their votes, San Francisco working-class voters treated progressivism not as a monolithic entity but as a collection of elements from which they chose those which served their interests.  

ANALYSIS

It is essential in testing Shover's and Rogin's hypothesis that the methodology employed be the same as theirs. Accordingly, I have calculated the correlation between the labor sentiment index and the results of voting on candidates and propositions by using the Pearson r correlation coefficient. The correlation coefficient(r) can range in value from -1.00 to +1.00. A correlation coefficient of r = +1.00 would indicate a perfect linear relationship between the labor sentiment index and the vote for a particular candidate or proposition; i.e., as one increased or decreased, the other would increase or decrease in the same direction and with equal magnitude. A correlation of -1.00 would indicate a perfect inverse relationship, and a correlation of 0.00 would suggest no relationship whatsoever. In the tables which follow, r represents the correlation score; the P score represents the results of a test for statistical significance. The significance level calculates the probability that observed differences are due to chance. Convention holds that levels greater than .05 are not statistically significant.

The raw data for all statistical analyses is taken from "Statement of Voters, San Francisco City and County" for the general elections of 1912, 1914, and 1916. Ledgers are located at the offices of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall. All statistical procedures were done using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences).*

Table 1, which compares the correlation to the labor sentiment index of the vote for Hiram Johnson with that for other Progressive candidates, suggests that working-class support for Progressive Party candidates was qualified.

Tables 2 and 3 demonstrate that Republican and Democratic candidates were not treated as consistently friendly or hostile to particular class interests but that Socialist candidates always ran better in working class districts than in non-working class ones.

Voting results on propositions can be analyzed in the same manner as those for candidates. Table 4 shows the Pearson r correlation for thirteen propositions.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation Coefficients: &quot;Progressive&quot; Propositions by Pro-Labor Sentiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correlation (by index)</td>
<td>Pearson r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation of Public Utilities</td>
<td>.8989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Primary</td>
<td>.2193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abolish Poll Tax</td>
<td>.8189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Service Extension</td>
<td>.5889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Moral&quot; reforms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition (1914)</td>
<td>.2566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition (1916)</td>
<td>-.0148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abolish Prize Fights</td>
<td>-.4441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor issues, endorsed by labor press</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-hour Day</td>
<td>.9661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit Public Speech (&quot;No&quot; Vote)</td>
<td>.9981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitney</td>
<td>.9728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-hr/$3.00 Minimum Wage</td>
<td>.9728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor issues, not endorsed by the labor press</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Wage (Women and Children)</td>
<td>.9362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Day Rest</td>
<td>.9544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes on page 234.
In 1904 an enthusiastic Sierra Club member penned the following words to describe a photograph he had taken of Yosemite Valley while enjoying the annual Sierra Club Outing:

Sunday morning, too!—and we stood at the portals of one of the grandest natural cathedrals on the Pacific Slope. From the richly carved granite choir galleries came the joyous music of many waters, and the deep organ tones of full throated waterfalls pealed forth ever and anon as we threaded its aisles.

His photograph demonstrates the same reverence. Graceful pine trees frame a vision of towering granite walls split by a tumbling cataract. No human presence dares to break the sublime spell.¹

Seventeen years later, two exuberant participants in a 1921 Outing recorded their adventures in a different tone. Under a photograph of a white mule standing in a mountain snow field with legs splayed and nostrils flared, they wrote:

Has anybody here seen Blackie
He wandered off the trail
We've searched without avail.

We're in the High sierra
We've no beds, no food,
We trusted that white Blackie
We thought he was good.

Has anybody here seen Blackie
He bears a great white pack.
If should come across him,
Please will you send him back?²

The photographs and their captions, demonstrating a clear change in attitudes toward nature in the years between 1901 and 1922, are contained in albums kept by California Sierra Club members to commemorate their Annual Outing to the mountain wilderness. Founded in 1892 by John Muir and a group of Bay Area professionals with the purpose of “exploring, enjoying, and rendering accessible the mountain regions of the Pacific Coast,” the Sierra Club introduced the Annual Outing in 1901. Each summer the club organized a month-long visit to the wilderness, generally in the California Sierra. The most popular spots included Yosemite and its surrounding area and the region that now encompasses Sequoia and Kings Canyon national parks. According to the officers of the club, “This Outing is intended to awaken an interest in and afford an exceptional opportunity for visiting the most wonderful and picturesque High Sierra Region of California.”³

In 1901, the Sierrans recognized that their first Outing was a unique event. The announcement to the general membership of the expedition to Yosemite stated, “Never before has there been presented such an opportunity for visiting so comfortably and enjoyably this wild, rugged, and romantic region of our High Sierra.”⁴

Each year, eighty to two hundred Sierrans of varying ages, occupations, and outdoor experience set off for

(Right) “Summit of Mount Gould 13,300 feet.” Photograph by J. LeCoute (1901).
TEMPLES AND PLAYGROUNDS
THE SIERRA CLUB IN THE WILDERNESS 1901–1922
Anne Farrar Hyde
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the wilderness, accompanied by a platoon of Chinese
or black cooks, an army of mules, and several tons of
gear. The pattern of these trips varied little. The club
set up a main base camp, and a wide range of side
expeditions took off from there. The participants in the
outings kept voluminous journals describing every as-
pect of the event, and each year they took hundreds
of photographs which they placed in carefully cap-
tioned albums.

Over the years, these albums show distinct changes
in the way the Sierrans photographed themselves and
the surrounding wilderness. The photographs fall into
te three general categories: nature as awesome, a sublime
temple to approach with humility; nature being con-
erquered; and nature conquered, a gigantic playground.
In general, these categories develop chronologically,
the first present in 1901 and fading by 1910, the second
appearing by 1907 and continuing through 1922, and
the third present only after 1915.

By the late nineteenth century, the art world’s search
for the sublime and the ideals of Romanticism and Tran-
scendentalism had begun to move Americans from the
contemplation of paintings of dramatic landscapes in
art galleries and drawing rooms to the observation of
real landscapes. Tourists gaped at towering mountains
and peered into awful chasms from well-appointed
Pullman cars or observation decks, but still, few Ameri-
cans had ventured into the wilderness—and certainly
not in the numbers or for the long time that the Sierra
Club proposed. Some Sierra Club members preparing
for the early Outings worried that their love of nature
would place them in the category of eccentric. Others
took pride in their eccentricity, exclaiming, “There are
those who believe that in this modern day the love of
nature—wild nature—is vanishing from the world.
Probably they read their Thoreau of a vacation on the
verandas of summer resorts; at all events, they are not
members of the Sierra Club.”* The Sierrans could look
for praise for their undertaking from President Theo-
dore Roosevelt, whose advocacy of the “strenuous life”
as an antidote to “flabbiness” and “slothful ease” en-
couraged a wide variety of vigorous outdoor recrea-
tional activity.

Even for the Sierrans, though, life in the outdoors
was unfamiliar. Many described themselves as com-
plete “tenderfeet” and admitted their need for an initi-
ation into the ways of the wild life. One remembered,
“To get into our bags was the work of a few moments
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and a tired mountaineer needs no sedatives. But the extreme novelty of the situation assisted by the villainous unevenness of the ground and by prowling mules, had the effect of keeping at least one 'tenderfoot' awake for some time." Later that night this particular brave Sierran roused the entire camp in a loud brawl with a mule, unfortunately mistaken for a wild grizzly bear.7

Another clue to the novelty of the situation appears in the concern about proper attire for women. The announcement of the 1902 Outing advised, "Skirts can be short, no more than half way from knee to ankle, and under them can be worn shorter, dark, colored bloomers." The writer added, "It would be unsafe to ride other than astride on portions of the trip, and no side saddles can be obtained." As a helpful hint about hiking and climbing garb, and to assure critics that proprieties would be observed, one writer suggested, "For high climbing many ladies wear a skirt of moderate length until out of sight of the main camp and then leave the skirt under a rock ..." Women so rarely engaged in such rigorous activities that a writer for Outing, an early sporting magazine, broached the delicate subject of underwear in print. She stated adamantly, "No one should climb mountains in corsets.

One must have the fullest use of the lungs and the loosest corset is some impediment to the breathing. As ordinarily worn they are impossible."

Outing photographs from the early years demonstrate the tentativeness of the ties the Sierrans felt to the natural world. Awe before what John Muir called "a window opening into heaven, a mirror reflecting the Creator" was the dominant mood. Joseph LeConte, a famous geologist and experienced outdoorsman, rarely took pictures of people in the wilderness. When he did, the people appear out of place, not sure how to behave. "Dinner in Camp," for example, depicts an elegant dinner party which seems, incidentally, to have taken place in the Yosemite wilderness. Several elegantly dressed men and women sit stiffly at a table covered with a table cloth and set with china and silver. No chandelier hangs above, and a pine bough decorates the table, but these are the only concessions to the wilderness.8

This discomfort evolved out of the context in which the appreciation of wild nature developed. The nineteenth-century transformation in the American view of wilderness from an enemy to be subdued to the embodiment of the sublime brought with it a sense of hesitation. The wilderness became a shrine where nature lovers expected to be impressed, intimidated, and awed. "Here the appropriate mood is worship," wrote one visitor to Yosemite. "It is John Muir's exquisite insight to the effect that the vale of the Yosemite looked

(Left top) "The Nine at Their Base Camp." Photograph by William F. Bade (1904).

(Left) "Mist Falls." Photograph by J. LeConte (1901).

(Above) "King's Canyon." Photograph by E.T. Parsons.
at from its western end is architecturally like a huge temple lighted from above."\(^{12}\) The notion of eating a meal in God's greatest cathedral must have seemed alien to the early Sierrans. Even if they viewed the meal as a kind of sacrament, like communion, it could not have been a comfortable or familiar experience.

Most of the early photographs show that nature is in control rather than recording the Outing participants in the act of defiling the temple. William Bade took pictures of the 1904 Outing with an emphasis on the awful power of nature. His album is filled with images of stupendous acts of nature: trees hit by lightning, avalanche paths, gigantic riffs in boulders, and tremendous waterfalls. Occasionally, Bade's photographs include people, but they are dwarfed by the landscape. "The Nine at their Base Camp the Evening before the Climb Watching the Wonderful Play of Light on the Forbidding Rock Masses of Banner and Ritter" shows worshippers at the base of a magnificent temple.\(^{12}\) The dimly silhouetted humans crouch to stare up at the brilliantly lit snowy mass of Mount Ritter.

Typically, humans are simply absent from the early Outing photographs. With a few exceptions, Joseph LeConte included human figures only to underscore the immensity of a waterfall, a sequoia, or a boulder. Other photographs used captions to personify nature, occasionally making it less intimidating. After a series of photographs depicting the rapids and falls of the Merced River, one photographer included a picture of the river in a calmer stage with following caption: "At the close of day when the slanting beams of our chief luminary give fair warning of his pending leave, the fair damsel Merced coyly wraps the gentle shadows 'bout her graceful form."\(^{13}\) Although nature is less imposing in this guise, man does not enter the scene unless hidden. William Bade described his conception of man's relationship to nature, "We learned to interpret the various languages in which nature speaks to the children of men. She led us step by step to the summits of her beauties, seduced us into the secret places of her hiding and day by day revealed the arcana of her being. We were acolytes in the grand temple of the eternal."\(^{14}\)

A standard photograph evolved which consciously displayed the sublimity of the wilderness. A tree is the foreground provides perspective, and the immense landscape fades into the background. Joseph LeConte mastered this composition in "Mist Falls" and "River View." Philip Carleton adopted the same format in "Unicorn Peak from the Meadows," in which a huge pine tree looms in the foreground and the river roars directly toward the viewer. All of this provides a frame for the mass of Unicorn Peak. Similarly, a photograph taken by E.T. Parsons in 1902 emphasizes the vast sweep of
Kings Canyon as it stretches away from the tree in the foreground. Such photographs echo the conventions of nineteenth-century paintings, in which the blasted tree in the foreground of a landscape demonstrates the power of God’s whimsy. Just as in a Bierstadt or Cole painting, in which humans rarely appear except as foils to demonstrate the magnificent scale of nature, the photographs are composed to emphasize vastness and power, with sheer cliffs looming up from valley floors and vast canyons fading into endless ranges of mountains.

Beginning about 1907, however, the Sierrans seemed to take nature for granted, feeling more at ease in their wild surroundings with each passing summer. “I laugh now when I think of those first trips . . .” wrote one woman in 1909. “Of course I wore a long skirt, a shirt waist, straw hat and veil, kid gloves and low shoes and was as uncomfortable as a woman could be. My skirt caught on every little briar and brush, my face was red with sunburn, and I once annexed eight freckles to my nose.”

The physical pleasures of wilderness life gradually came to occupy more attention than spiritual lessons in the journals of Outing participants. One Sierran exulted in 1909, “To walk over hard snowdrifts under a hot sun, to burn at midday and shiver at night . . . all without taking cold; to be a barbarian and a communist, a homeless and roofless vagabond, limited to one gown or suit of clothes . . . all of these breaches of convention became commonplaces in such a life as this, part of the adventure.” Another described the joys of the 1907 Outing: “The weak grew strong and the strong invincible. Men and women made knapsack trips, young girls tramped over a hundred miles in a week, and in all the company, never a creature, even to the horses, was ill.” These humans felt at ease in the wilderness and little concerned with the awesome forces nature could unleash upon them.

The new focus had a competitive aspect, as Sierrans grew increasingly intent on conquering the challenges of the wilderness. Using their newly discovered physical prowess, they tackled nature and exulted in their victories. A 1907 photograph depicts a group of people standing squarely on the summit of a mountain. Both men and women smile proudly and plant their feet firmly on the peak. The caption reads, “Conquered Dana!—Yes, to be sure, for this constitutes the roll call that was taken on the summit.” A similar photograph in the same album is captioned “Just holding down Mount Hoffman for a while” and shows a large group
of hikers resting contentedly on the summit, relaxed and smiling in their lofty and barren surroundings. A woman who mastered Mount Rainier commented on her satisfaction, "Only a mountaineer can appreciate the sense of exaltation with which we contemplated the vast expanse of the crater and told ourselves that we had conquered the kingliest among all the mountains of the United States."21

Mount Rainier may have been the "kingliest," but Mount Whitney reigned as the highest mountain in the continental United States, and it was a Sierra Club favorite. A few Sierrans climbed it in the early years, but they never attempted it en masse or took pictures of themselves on the summit. In 1916, Jessie Treat wrote proudly, "On Wednesday morning two hundred left for Crabtree Meadows base camp to ascend Mount Whitney the following day. One hundred seventy-five reached the summit, the largest party of mountaineers ever registered there."20 A few years later Perry Evans photographed the trip up Whitney. He took ten shots of the group lolling about on the summit and ten shots of the surrounding scenery and compiled a complete list of those who made it up in the order of their arrival on the summit.

Many of the photographs showcased the technical skills of the Sierrans. Marion Randal Parsons took a picture of club members successfully crossing a difficult pass in 1912. "Treading down the snow" shows a single file of hikers crossing a steep and treacherous patch of snow on a rocky slope.22 A series of photographs by Elizabeth Crispin emphasized the rough terrain covered by the hikers, and "Comming Down Off Mount Conness," a 1919 photograph, depicts a group inching its way down a sheer rock face.22 Marion Parsons described her experiences on a similar endeavor on South Kaweal Peak, "It seemed the wildest of follies to stir a hair breadth from the hand or foot hold which had proved firm toward the untried possibilities that the next step held. Slowly and with greatest care, we crept, crawled, and clambered along the knife edge."23 For these climbers, the wilderness provided challenges that simply had to be met.

The new obsession with conquering nature appears even in photographs of the landscape. Gone are the carefully framed images of nature's grandeur; in their place appear photographs of single peaks, precisely identified and measured. The captions provide information, not poetry: "On top of Mount Brewer, 13,577 feet," "Looking Up Bubbs Creek of Evolution Peak," with peaks named and measured.24 These photographs show little interest in pictorial qualities.

Generally the photographers of the years after 1910 did not concern themselves with scenery but documented instead the presence of man in nature. The

(Above) "We Take Turns Serving," Photograph by Parsons (1912).

(Top right) "Snowballing on the Fourth of July," Photograph by Marion Parsons (1912).

(Right) "Treading Down the Snow," Photograph by Marion Parsons (1912).
Sierrans now took pictures of their base camps and of their daily activities, no longer disturbed by the idea that they were defiling the sanctity of nature. Either Marion or E.T. Parsons captured club members waiting hungrily in their vast “chow line” and snapped a shot of the serving table, gaily decorated with Japanese lanterns and the grimy but smiling faces of garland-be-decked Sierrans. People eating, washing, swimming, sleeping, and groaning uphill fill the images of this era.

Recognizing the novelty of their adventure, the Sierrans found their stay in the wilderness exhilarating rather than spiritually moving. Marion Parsons’s 1912 photograph entitled “Snowballing on the Fourth of July” illustrates some of the new qualities. No longer did people feel obligated to stare off into the scenery and wonder about lessons to be learned from the inspiring sight. Now Sierrans could ignore the awesome views and hurl snowballs at one another, and even capture the disrespectful scene on film. One club member who climbed Mount Lyell in 1914 echoed the changing attitude: “There was no sea in sight but everything else in geography seemed to be there: vast snowfields, desert, lakes, wicked looking Ritter. At first, however, we seemed less absorbed in these wonders than in the joyous chopping together in our tin cups of snow and several gallons of strawberry jam, and the subsequent consumption of this ‘Sierra Sundae’!” Such a reaction differed from that of a 1902 climber who wrote, “Sobered by the austere grandeur of the scene, we quietly withdrew in detached groups and slowly pondered the awe-inspiring spot.”

By 1909 the rhetoric of the sublime was rare both in print and on film. The Sierrans now conquered nature’s wonders instead of worshiping them. Certainly some of the earlier ideals exist in the photographs of waterfalls and mountain lakes, but in all the albums from the second decade of the twentieth century these pictures are scattered among examples of the newer style of Sierra Club photography. In photographs taken after 1915 evidence of the sublime aesthetic disappears almost entirely, and the later pictures focus nearly exclusively on the presence of humans in the wilderness. Though it still appeared in both written and pictorial memoirs, the need to conquer nature was losing its urgency as well. Marion Parsons remembered a 1920 crossing of Muir Pass, “We passed safely with scarcely a flounder. We felt we had made history that day when two hundred sixty human beings, one hundred animals, and eighteen thousand pounds of supplies crossed Muir Pass without one mishap.” The photographs of this era frequently depict
huge numbers of people clambering up mountains as in "Going Up Lembert Dome" or "Group on Mount Gould."  

The zeal for naming and measuring continued, but naming served a different purpose in those years. The Sierrans no longer needed to prove that nature was conquerable; they understood this from long experience. Their photographs now served as records. The photographers took pictures along the trail not to show human prowess, but simply to prove that they were there. In 1919 H.C. Stinchfield took a picture of all ten lakes in Yosemite’s Ten Lake Basin, carefully noting the name and altitude of each one, but Perry Evans took photographs with captions like "Lake Where We had Lunch," "Rest Spot Near Glacial Moraine," and "My Bedroll in Tuolumne Meadows."  

The written records also reflect a heightened interest in daily detail. James Rother noted, “It must be remembered that on this trip we were working under the Daylight Saving Law so that our 5:00 o’clock trip would be equivalent to a 4:00 on a former trip. After a breakfast of mush, bacon and eggs, and tea, we were packed and off at 7:15.” A kind of bookkeeping had replaced reflection on the mysteries of the wilderness. The desire to keep records resulted in photographs of the everyday aspects of life in the wilderness. In “Stoves and Cooks at Little Pete Camp” four black cooks stand solemnly next to their large “portable” stoves in the midst of a barren meadow. The next photo in the album, “Early Risers at Little Pete Camp,” shows the immense amount of gear the Sierrans dragged with them into the wilderness. Both pictures demonstrate attention to the interaction of man and nature, but the relationship is no longer competitive. Nature has been tamed by the presence of cooks, stoves, luggage, and the Sierrans themselves.  

Outing participants did not pretend to live as one with nature, but they found no threat in the wilderness and could relax and enjoy the novelty of living outside. The photographers realized that at times the club members cut ridiculous figures and did not hesitate to capture silliness. “Miss Read’s Knapsack Party” presents a group of hardy women in knickers and hats, bowed down by large packs as they set off into the Yosemite backcountry. The photographer made no attempt to include a stunning backdrop of scenery; the women themselves provided enough color. They smile, knowing that to most observers they are quite a bizarre sight.  

Many Sierra Club photographers in this period made their pictures intentionally humorous. Russell Bacon took pictures of a man falling off a log. He also carefully composed a shot of a gravestone bearing the inscription, “Here lies the remains of the Sierra Club Outing, July 1920.” A tin cup rests on the stone, and at its base lies a pair of wornout hiking boots. C.M. Baker titled a shot of an older hiker wearing a bandana and standing in front of a dead tree “Two Old Stumps.” The photographs of the Outings were now entirely human centered, no longer including sweeping panoramas or even carefully measured peaks. 

In some ways nature had become more friendly and intimate. The only photographs that do not include people are closeups of plants and flowers. No examples (Above left) "Just Holding Down Mount Hoffman." Photograph by William Belfrage (1909).  
(Above right) Left to right, “Junction Peak,” “Trail to Junction Peak,” and “Muir Trail.” Photograph by Elizabeth Crispin (1922).  
(Right) "Stoves and Cooks at Little Pete Camp." Photograph by Perry Evans (1920).  
(Far right) "Early risers at Little Pete Camp (elev. 9,000 ft.)" Photograph by Perry Evans (1920).
of this kind of photography appeared in an album before 1919. H.C. Stinchfield stopped to take a picture he called “An Inquisitive Beastie,” and even the great trees of the Sierra, once referred to as God’s greatest works and “the vegetable wonders of the world,” no longer astounded the Sierrans. “Yellow Pine and the Fester Twins” shows two young girls posing and giggling in front of a huge tree. Instead of using the girls to show the giant scale of the tree, the photographer cropped most of the tree out of the picture to focus on his human subjects.

The solemnity and competition of the earlier years disappeared almost entirely from the albums after World War I. The Sierrans of later Outings poked fun at themselves and at the wilderness where they had come to play. A page from C. M. Baker’s album has no photograph, only the caption, “Bathing, censored—perfectly proper, BUT!” Another photographer snapped a shot of a man’s backside covered with a bandana. A poetic caption read:

There once was a man named Carey  
Who wasn’t the least bit wary,  
On a rock did slip—  
Twas a terrible rip—  
His bandana did cover poor Carey.  

The new recreational thrust can also be seen in the nightly campfires of the Outings. In earlier years John Muir had lectured on nature’s wonders, and experts on geology and forestry gave educational talks. By the 1920s the campfires had evolved into boisterous play. Julie Mortimer recalled an evening on the 1921 Outing which “featured a vaudeville show, including a pantomime of Bluebeard. A row of stones marked the limit of the stage, two large bonfires served as footlights, and the costumes were made of bathing suits, rugs, mosquito nets, and other odds and ends that could be got together.” Russell Bacon took a picture of the actors in a follies which he called “Jinks Bunch.” Bizarrely dressed men and women pose together, trying to look serious despite their heathen garb. Clearly they intend to entertain their fellow campers, not educate them.

Wilderness as playground had a broad appeal, which was not limited to members of the Sierra Club, and inevitably, the transformation of the wilderness into a recreation center meant the Sierrans had to share it. A forestry expert writing in National Geographic Magazine called the Sierra Club a “playgrounds association for grownups” and suggested that a national association organized along the same lines “but with the United States for its field of activities, would find important work to be done and would enlist an army of supporters.” In 1920, a supporter of the national parks rhapsodized, “The national park is the democratic equivalent of the vast estates of the nobility of Europe; yet no such noble has such playgrounds. . . . A movement is afoot to provide the thickly populated parts of America [with parks] which will meet the universal need for such lovely scenes and places of wholesome outdoor recreation.”

The national forest system in the West had tripled during the presidency of Theodore Roosevelt, and new national parks were also created between 1900 and 1920.
In 1919, Stephen Mather, first director of the national park system, noted, “the past season was remarkable for the large increase in travel to the national parks.” A new interest in pack trips, hunting trips, and “roughing it” fueled this travel, which was encouraged by the increased availability of automobiles after World War I and by such campaigns as the Santa Fe Railroad’s “Off the Beaten Path” promotion of saddle and pack camping trips lasting up to thirty days. Santa Fe also set up a “Camping Out Bureau” suggesting a range of options: “Really rough it or camp out de-luxe.”

One of the more interesting manifestations of a newly popular love of wild nature was the changing architecture of Western resorts. Before 1900, the grand resort hotels of the West featured a montage of elegant European styles. The developers of the Hotel Del Monte in Monterey (completed in 1880) boasted of its “airy modern Gothic facades, its incisive angles, its many sharp gables, minarets, towers, its dormers and window hoods and broad verandas.” Similar glamorous mixes appeared in the Hotel del Coronado in San Diego (1888) and the Antlers Hotel in Colorado Springs (1883).

After 1900, however, resort hotels incorporated wilderness elements. Many Glacier, the grand resort in Glacier National Park (1915), sported a rustic air with exposed beams and open fireplaces to give the interior a log cabin look. Elk and moose heads were prominent parts of the decor. This trend reached its apogee in 1928 with the completion of the Ahwahnee Hotel in Yosemite Valley. Bear skins with ferocious growling faces, Indian rugs, and a plethora of antlers carried rustic elegance to its peak. The wilderness had been conquered and mounted solidly on the wall.

The Sierrans had learned to play in the wilderness—

(Top) “Miss Read’s Knapsack party, Tuolumne Meadows to Ten Lake Basin.” Photograph by H.C. Stinchfield (1919).


(Top right) “Jinks Bunch.” Photograph by Russell Bacon (1920).

(Right) “An inquisitive beastie—A marmot watching some Sierrans making the grade on low near Donohue Pass.” Photograph by H.C. Stinchfield (1919).

(Far right) “Yellow Pine and The Fester Twins.” Photograph by Perry Evans.
and had helped popularize the concept—as Americans in general were discovering the mobility offered by the automobile and experiencing serious reservations about the quality of urban life and its implications for American society. “We are not going to be happy cluttered together in houses backed up against each other in cities,” wrote Franklin Lane in the National Geographic in 1920. “This is not the normal natural life for us. We are not going to have cities made up of apartments and boarding houses and hotels and produce the good, husky Americanism that has fought our wars and made this country.” His concern echoed Theodore Roosevelt’s suggestion that “as our civilization grows older and more complex we need a greater and not a lesser development of the fundamental frontier values” of physical strength, courage, and general toughness. Between the end of the nineteenth century and the end of the second decade of the twentieth, a significant portion of the American population had come to see wilderness not as a threat to be eliminated but as a resource providing respite from and perhaps even a cure for the ills of modern society.

The Sierra Club Outing photographs offer concrete evidence of how this change took place and what it meant to the people at its forefront. In 1901, the Sierrans, awed and intimidated by the wilderness, ventured into it with trepidation. They hoped to learn of the mysteries of nature and responded to its challenges with wonder and respect. The photographic record of these early trips captured an omniscient nature where man was but a plaything. Later, the wilderness evoked a new reaction from the Sierrans. They met its challenges with vigorous activity rather than dumb awe. The Outing participants conquered mountains and recorded their presence in the landscape. Finally, the wilderness, now conquered, evolved into a place for people to play, and Sierra Club members noted ambivalence toward mass appreciation of “their” wilderness, “The accessibility of the [Tuolumne] meadows by automobiles is an advantage or a disadvantage according to one’s point of view. One’s first impulse is to resent this intrusion into Nature’s heart. . . . Upon reflection, however, one can but rejoice when increasing numbers of one’s fellow men find healthful pleasure in Nature’s gifts.” The wilderness was now perceived as a refreshing escape from the activities of the business world, a place where the Sierrans could do things they could not do on Market or Montgomery streets or on the campuses of Stanford or Berkeley. For its users, the wilderness had changed from a temple to a playground.

See notes on page 236.
Rodman Wilson Paul
1913–1987

Fifty-one years ago, Rodman Wilson Paul’s senior thesis at Harvard won the Phi Beta Kappa award for the best undergraduate paper on any subject that year. Forty years ago Paul published California Gold, which won the Pacific Coast Branch of the American Historical Association prize for the best book of 1947. It has remained in print and continues to be cited as a major source on the Gold Rush. Two years ago, the California Historical Society gave Paul its Henry Raup Wagner award for a distinguished career in California history, and in 1987 he was named a CHS Fellow.

Rodman Paul died on May 15, 1987, but he will continue to be a presence in the field he served so illustriously. His Mining Frontiers of the Far West (1963) is a classic which will serve as a starting point for serious consideration of the mining West for years to come. His edition of the Reminiscences of Mary Hallock Foote (1972) made widely available a woman’s perspective on the mining frontier before the new social history had made women’s history respectable. His studies in the 1950s of the California wheat trade revealed the degree to which the California economy was an international one. Other books and articles are and will be consulted over and over again.

It was not only with his individual scholarship that Paul created an abiding legacy. He contributed in lasting ways to the institutions that define the field of Western history. As the Edward S. Harkness Professor of History at the California Institute of Technology, he added weight to the humanities division of an institution dedicated to science. From 1971 to 1979 he was a member of the Board of Trustees of the California Historical Society, and in the 1970s he chaired the Awards Committee that selected recipients of the Wagner and other awards. He served as president of the Western Historical Association and the Pacific Coast Branch of the American Historical Association. He was a member of the National Archives Advisory Council and the Historical Advisory Committee of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. He sat on the editorial boards of all the most prestigious journals in the field, and he was always available to offer assistance to professionals and amateurs alike.

Rodman Paul was known for the elegance of his thought, the care with which he responded to requests for his judgment, and the consideration with which he treated his colleagues—not only his peers but earnest newcomers to the field as well. He represented the best of what it means to be a gentleman and a scholar. For those who knew him, the encounter was a privilege. For those who came into the field after his failing health had limited his activities, it is a loss not to have had that privilege. But in the works he left behind it is still possible to glimpse the extraordinary man who was Rodman Wilson Paul.
The Notorious I.C. Woods of the Adams Express.

By Albert Shumate with a foreword by Kevin Starr (Glendale: The Arthur H. Clark Company, 1986, XV in the American Trails Series, 144 pp., illus., $16.50 cloth).

Reviewed by Robert J. Chandler, a specialist in Gold Rush and Civil War California.

Al Shumate, a past president of the California Historical Society, uses his extensive knowledge of San Francisco society to revive another Californian widely known in his lifetime but forgotten today. Author of works on George Gordon, George H. Goddard, and Francisco Pacheco, Shumate adds Isaiah Churchill Woods (1825–1880), best known for his management—or mismanagement—of the banking department of Adams & Co. in the 1850s.

Woods, though, as Shumate shows, should be remembered for more than this. He arrived in California in 1848 and quickly engaged in numerous commercial and real estate ventures, besides actively participating in political and cultural events. He was a mercantile hustler, whose mentality Robert Senkewicz captured in Vigilantes in Gold Rush San Francisco (1985). Throughout his life, Woods retained a hopeful vision for new enterprises: Adams & Co., banking and express, 1849–1855; San Antonio and San Diego Mail Line and an associated wagon road, 1856–1860; in charge of transportation for General John C. Frémont in St. Louis, 1861; passenger travel across Nicaragua, 1864–1866; Pacific Wood Preserving company, 1869–1875; and ranch manager and vintner, 1877 until his death.

Shumate studies how Woods got the title “notorious” that followed him through his life and blighted many of his projects. He argues that it was unjustified, but his attempt to clear Woods is not convincing.

Most unfortunately, Woods’s papers are gone so that his views appear rarely. Good research by Shumate uncovered letters by Frank Woods, an uncle six years older than I.C. Woods, and Eugene Casserly, a brother-in-law associated with Woods in business from at least 1854 to 1860. These two men who should have been close to Woods detested him. Both commented that he trusted nobody and remained secretive. Over the years, Casserly found Woods to be “such a combination of conceit, folly, ingratitude, selfishness, and total absence of moral principal” (95) that he had only “sovereign contempt and dislike” (89) for him.


Woods revealed a questionable business style in running Adams & Co. Ranking banks in 1855 by the amount of Eastern drafts sold, Adams was second behind Page, Bacon & Co. Like third place Wells, Fargo & Co., it had an extensive network of banking and express offices in the gold country. However, Wells Fargo's first agent had been in town only three days when he wrote in June, 1852, that “Adams & Co. do not stand very well among the Bankers.” He explained, “They attempt to be very smart in many of their operations,” and that “smartness” characterized Woods. In 1854, Frank Woods in an angry mood declared that Alvin Adams, the head of Adams & Co. in Boston, looked upon I.C. Woods as “a consummate scoundrel” (39). Adams acted on this belief by re-incorporating his eastern operations as Adams Express Company and spinning off the California business.

A fuller description of the Panic of 1855 is needed. Citation of contemporary newspapers would have prevented Shumate from confusing the names of bankers Page & Bacon of St. Louis with its San Francisco affiliate, Page, Bacon & Co., and assigning the date February 22 to “Black Friday,” which was February...
The dailies portrayed the despair San Franciscans felt when they discovered Friday morning that Adams & Co. would not open, news which precipitated runs on other banks. A reporter for the *Alta California* found among the excited crowd an "intense and deep regret that THE GREAT HOUSE of California had failed." Wood's proposal on February 24, to a city that settled accounts daily, to pay depositors only twenty-five percent and after thirty days showed that Adams & Co. was grossly bankrupt.

Compared to other banks, Adams & Co. did not play fair. Over six days, Page, Bacon & Co. paid out till broke. Adams & Co. and Wells, Fargo & Co., due to the nature of Gold Rush banking where gold buying was a primary business, had available coin in the mining regions and collected gold dust and bars in San Francisco. On February 25, banker William T. Sherman wrote, "Adams & Co. are dishonest, craven, cowardly; all through the land they caved in." In contrast, most Wells Fargo agencies not only remained open, but sent surplus funds to San Francisco. On February 27, Wells, Fargo & Co.'s San Francisco office re-opened and met all demands.

During the unsettled panic week, Adams & Co. paid out little before closing. Shumate ably describes how the gold in its vaults then disappeared through the manipulations of Woods and his friends. Acrimonious legal proceedings fully reported in the press followed. Shumate even suggests that the failure of the great mass of depositors to receive anything weakened belief in the courts and in 1856 increased support for the Committee of Vigilance.

An unenviable picture of Woods emerges. Since he did not trust others, others did not trust him and the appellation "notorious" stuck. Eugene Casserly summed up the contradictions in Woods's character. He was "all his life visionary, reckless and unsuccessful to the last degree" (82). In his dreams and actions, Woods was a typical Californian of the 1850s and 1860s. Al Shumate aids understanding of this tumultuous era through his rescue of "the notorious I.C. Woods of the Adams Express."

**Norton I: Emperor of the United States.**


Reviewed by Fred W. Viehe, Assistant Professor of Urban History, Youngstown State University.

In *Norton I: Emperor of the United States*, William Drury has written a most readable biography of Joshua Abraham Norton, a destitute 49er, who by proclaiming himself Emperor, became San Francisco's most popular tourist attraction following the completion of the transcontinental railroad. While unquenchably mad, Norton's insanity was limited only to the insistence that he was of royal blood; on other matters, he was intelligent and lucid enough for acceptance into both the Mechanic's Institute and the famed Bohemian Club. Largely because of this dichotomy, this self-proclaimed monarch caught the eye—and the respect—of Mark Twain and others who linked Norton I and San Francisco together in the late nineteenth century public mind.

In accounting for Norton's insanity, Drury presents a plausible tale that the Emperor was deeply disturbed about his religious identity. Born a London Jew of humble circumstances, Norton and his family emigrated soon after his birth to the South African frontier, a most Christian environment. Given the name, Joshua Abraham, Norton was a clearly identifiable Israelite. Drury argues that his parents compounded this problem by naming his two immediate brothers, Louis and Philip, in memory of the French royal family. In order to escape this overwhelming stigma, Norton's mind forced him to eschew Judaism by insisting that he too was a Bourbon. While this is elementary psychohistory, Drury's conclusion should be accepted for no other reason than that it is quite understandable.

After reaching adulthood, Norton sailed from South Africa to San Francisco consumed by the gold rush. Establishing himself as a commission merchant, he prospered until he tried to corner the rice market. Failing to recover from this setback, Norton's schizophrenia increasingly got the better of him, and he remained on the financial and psychological skids for the remainder of his life. Coinciding with Norton's personal failure, the United States in the late 1850s was on the political skids; and as it rushed toward the Civil War, this itinerant Englishman, convinced of his royal lineage, reached the conclusion that what this country needed was not "government of the people, by the people and for the people," but enlightened despotism. So, on September 17, 1859, a date that shall live for insanity, the former Joshua Abraham proclaimed himself Norton I, Emperor of the United States. For the next twenty-one years, his was a benign reign.

Hear Ye, Hear Ye, *Norton I* is not just a "royal" biography. It is also a colorfully written social history of San Francisco "from the bottom up" during that city's heyday. Drury, a columnist for the *San Francisco News Call-Bulletin*, superbly interweaves his account of the enigmatic Emperor with a description of the city's nineteenth century street life. The latter after all contained the Emperor's most loyal subjects; the police who saluted him in passing, and his courtiers who counseled him daily in Portsmouth Square. Martin & Horton, like so many other taverns of the day, provided a royal meal via their sumptuous "Free Lunch," where His Majesty discussed affairs with the city's professional and commercial elite. The latter he "taxed" at the rate of fifty cents a day. Drury also presents us with a taste of the dog-eat-dog world of
After the Gold Rush, the salmon fishing industry on the teeming Sacramento River was slow getting started, but by the late 1870s good prices and heavy fish runs had ignited an explosive growth. In 1875, 3,000 cases of Sacramento River salmon were packed; in 1878, 34,000; in 1880, 62,000; and in 1882, 200,000. That was the high point in production. Afterwards the catches dropped off sharply, and by 1890, only 25,000 cases were packed. Unfettered by regulation, and lured by high prices and the big early harvests, the new industry had simply outdone itself by catching too many fish. Those left to swim upstream and reproduce were too few to sustain large populations. With the life-cycle of the fish interrupted at a critical point, the big salmon runs vanished. Commercial salmon fishing on the Sacramento continued for many years, but on a declining basis. Finally in 1919 the last of the river’s canneries closed its doors.

Meanwhile most of the burgeoning industry had long since moved north to exploit the still plentiful salmon in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska. Enough of the crippled salmon industry stayed on marginally, however, to prevent the Sacramento’s fish stock from recovering. McEvoy calls the case of the Sacramento River salmon “the fisherman’s problem in laboratory form.” He emphasizes that it was “not an isolated phenomenon but part of a cyclical pattern,” resembling what had happened previously with the depletion of the New England salmon, and was to be repeated afterward in the Pacific Northwest. From whales to mackerel to sardines, the resources of the sea and rivers have time and again been victims in this sad cycle.

From the beginning of European settlement, the history of California’s fisheries has been a roller-coaster ride of boom and bust, of surging harvests and severe, often catastrophic declines. While no single factor alone can account for all of the erratic patterns, obviously a major cause is overfishing, driven by the economic pressures and fierce competition that typify fishermen’s lives, even in good times. Excessive harvesting, however, is not the only factor. Fish populations are remarkably sensitive to changes in their watery environment, which in turn responds to fluctuations in regional and worldwide oceanic and atmospheric conditions. For example, relatively small shifts in ocean currents or prevailing water temperatures can have major influences on marine life. Only recently has improved scientific understanding begin to bring home the crucial consequences of these complex relationships, many of which are still far from thoroughly grasped.

While the author acknowledges that his book “does not pretend to be encyclopedic,” it is nevertheless a first-rate, thorough and thoughtful piece of work. It achieves its stated purpose of analyzing those fishery controversies “that have been most important to the development of public policy,” and of identifying “the ecological, historical, and theoretical links between them.” His carefully documented research draws on a wide range of sources and disciplines—history, legal studies, economics, natural sciences and anthropology—for this fascinating but often melancholy account of the rise and fall of California’s fisheries, and of the impacts on the fish, the people, and the institutions. The book lives up to the dust-jacket claim that it “represents a significant new departure in the study of ecology and change in human society.”

Unrestricted exploitation of fish prevailed at the outset of the period McEvoy treats. Fish were seen as there for the taking. Later, in the final quarter of the 19th century, administrative agencies were established, and legislation and court action taken, seeking ways to regulate and protect the fishing industry. Informal but pervasive patterns of ethnic regulation were also developed, helping divide up the markets and organize sources of supply.

Neither the formal nor informal measures were effective in protecting vulner-
able stocks, however, and soon the era of mechanized fishing dawned, with the ability to haul in ever-larger catches. The first half of the twentieth century saw intensified struggles as bureaucrats, politicians, fishermen, and a host of interest-group organizations fought over ways to deal with the disaster-prone industry's many problems. Meanwhile "[f]rontier ideology and frontier imagery continued to color people's thinking about the nature and future of American society."

The old myths were persistent and influential, despite all the evidence of their bankruptcy. Although a remarkable apparatus was built up in California for marine biology research, the author emphasizes how "political and economic disorder guaranteed that none of its information would have effect." This prevailing inability to take prudent action led among other things to the "sardine failure, one of the most egregious disasters in the history of U.S. wildlife management."

Effective conservation and management of marine resources had to wait for the maturing of a powerful environmental movement, whereupon in surprisingly short order a virtual "sea change" occurred. McEvoy points to the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 as a key "constitutional" building block in a whole panopoly of new law and policy. This was bolstered by new public attitudes toward natural resource conservation, and a greater willingness to use the results of scientific research, including a more sophisticated understanding of marine resources and ecology. The author is consequently able to conclude his history on a relatively happy note. "A new way of approaching the fisherman's problem dissolved the contradictions that for a century or more had made it seem . . . insoluble . . . " McEvoy's excellent book helps us understand the processes by which this finally came about, and the principal reasons why it took so long.


By Don L. Hofsommer. (College Station: Texas A & M University Press, 1986, xviii, 373 pp., $44.50 cloth.)

Reviewed by James J. Rawls, Instructor of History, Diablo Valley College.

Don L. Hofsommer, one of two historians given unrestricted access to the archives of the Southern Pacific, had a unique opportunity to make a major contribution to the history of California. He chose, instead, to conceive his task within very narrow limits. He has produced a workmanlike company history, but the larger story of the impact of the railroad on the development of modern California remains untold.

Hofsommer's account of the Southern Pacific is written exclusively from the point of view of the corporate elite. Rarely does the narrative venture beyond the executive suite, and when it does the managerial perspective remains intact. The book is organized chronologically, following the careers of the corporation's chief executive officers from the days of Edward H. Harriman and Julius Kruttschnitt, through "The Russell Years," to the era of Benjamin F. Biagini. Although Hofsommer is occasionally critical of the SP's leaders for their lethargy in responding to new challenges, generally his evaluation of their performance is positive.

Given the book's point of view, it is not surprising that little attention is given to the lives of the men and women who worked in the railroad's switching yards, machine shops, dining cars, and ticket offices. Labor and management relations are characterized as "a family bond," and when that bond is threatened by union activity Hofsommer's identification with the corporate managers is transparent. The book does contain some useful information, however, on the role of Mexican railroad workers during World War II and the assignment of some 4,000 women to nonclerical positions formerly
held by men. We learn that in Mohave a roundhouse crew during the war was known as the “Piston Packing Mamas” and a road gang near Elko was called the “Sunbonnet Gang.”

The most unsatisfactory aspect of Hofsommer’s work is his treatment of the political power of the Southern Pacific. Early in his account he acknowledges that many people came to dislike the Southern Pacific “for reasons of fantasy as much as fact.” Rather than offering a thoroughgoing analysis of the charges of political corruption and domination often leveled against the Southern Pacific, Hofsommer offers a bland and unsupported dismissal of the charges: “It is unlikely that the company ever had the power that many ascribed to it.”

William E. Herrin, the head of the railroad’s legal and political departments from 1893 to 1910, was arguably the most powerful official in California. He was the manager of the SP’s vast political machine, yet he receives only a scant four mentions in this book and nowhere is his political role considered. Hiram Johnson, who campaigned for governor in 1910 on a platform promise to “kick the Southern Pacific Railroad out of politics,” is mentioned in the narrative but once. At the conclusion of his book, Hofsommer refers to the charges against the railroad as a part of “western folklore” and notes sadly that they have been “repeated by succeeding generations of writers and others who invented history to satisfy strangely perverse needs.” It is true that the power of the Southern Pacific has sometimes been exaggerated, but Hofsommer fails to offer a realistic reappraisal.

Don Hofsommer succeeds well in telling the intricate story of the SP’s acquisitions of other rail lines, its constant efforts at modernization, and its remarkable record of diversification. Especially valuable is his detailed account of the “un merger” campaign of the federal government to strip the SP of its historic holding, the Central Pacific. Also valuable is Hofsommer’s analysis of the decline in passenger revenues, the rise of new modal competition, and the “mega-merger” movement that resulted in the formation of the Santa Fe Southern Pacific Corporation in 1983.

Readers interested in a managerial history of the Southern Pacific will find this book to be thorough and comprehensive. Those who are looking for a larger story of the SP will be frustrated and disappointed.


By Terrence J. McDonald. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1987, xiii, 356pp., $45.00 cloth.)

Reviewed by William Issel, Professor of History and Urban Studies at San Francisco State University.

Terrence J. McDonald examines the history of public administration and municipal finance in San Francisco on the assumption that a thorough explanation of the development of the public sector in one city will be of use in understanding local government history in the United States more generally. McDonald makes an imaginative and original analysis of the city’s Municipal Reports and considers these obscure data in relation to a wide variety of contemporary documents and historical literature.

In nineteenth-century San Francisco, public administrators regardless of party shared a strong belief (McDonald calls it a political ideology) in low taxes and carefully controlled spending. For forty years after 1860, the city announced surpluses averaging $1 million at the end of every fiscal year. These long-standing patterns changed only after Mayor James Duval Phelan and his allies brought more expansive conceptions of government to city hall in 1897, reformed the city charter in 1898, and set in motion a program of paying for public works by issuing bonds in 1899.

The San Francisco case suggests to McDonald the need to take account of unique local political developments in explaining the trajectory of twentieth century urban government. His data show that the San Francisco experience cannot be understood by deterministic socioeconomic explanations that portray the growth of public services and government spending as the automatic consequence of rapid industrialization and urbanization. Nor does the San Francisco case fit the notion that political bosses pursued profligate expansions of the public sector in contrast to reformers whose policies represented fiscal conservatism linked to beliefs in limited government. McDonald realizes that the case of San Francisco should not be generalized to cover urban America outside California. His findings will nonetheless encourage further tests of his proposition that particular local traditions, provisions of city charters, the rules and regulations pertaining to voting and elections, as well as the practices of officeholders and public administrators, played a powerful role in shaping the history of municipal government. Such political realities, in McDonald’s reading, ought to be given due credit alongside the “socioeconomic” and “political cultural” explanations for the development of the twentieth century public sector.

McDonald’s text is studded with statistical tables filled with the results of tests of his hypotheses. Some readers may be surprised to find an historian presenting a work of formal analysis of a kind usually associated with political science. Those inclined to regard history as a branch of the storytelling art will find themselves challenged by both the density of the argument and the complexity of the statistical procedures with which McDonald develops his thesis. True believers who share his conviction that historical explanation can be reduced to evaluating “how powerfully the combi-
nation of independent variables influences the dependent variable" will find the book a vindication of their approach. Doubters who allow themselves to be guided through the work by McDonald's careful explication of his methodology will gain respect for the utility of a new departure.

High-spirited and disputatious, McDonald's book ranks as a major contribution to the growing body of California scholarship that relates the history of the Golden State's cities to the general themes of American urban development. The meticulous study that he lavishes upon the undramatic details of nineteenth century San Francisco yields a new appreciation for the power of politics to shape the evolution of municipal government in America.

Cadillac Desert: The American West and Its Disappearing Water.

By Marc Reisner. (New York: Viking Penguin, Inc. 1986, 582 pp. $22.95 cloth.)

Reviewed by Kendrick A. Clements, Professor of History, University of South Carolina.

Imagine, for a moment, Los Angeles crumbling into ruins, the Imperial and Central Valleys salt flats barren of all life, the skies of the Eastern United States blackened by clouds of blowing topsoil from abandoned farms of the Midwest, Hoover and Grand Coulee and hundreds of other dams silted nearly to the top, the entire United States west of the Hundredth Meridian economically shattered, its people turned into Okies. Impossible? You may not be so sure after you read Marc Reisner's disturbing Cadillac Desert.

Every Westerner ought to read it to understand the magnitude of an impending catastrophe as inevitable as a major earthquake in California. Every taxpayer should read it to anticipate the stupendous cost of even partial solutions to the problem.

The simple fact is that there is not enough water in the West to sustain the civilization we have built there. Despite dams and aqueducts and irrigation systems that are engineering miracles, the problem keeps getting worse instead of better. Water used and reused for irrigation becomes so salty that it is undrinkable and poisonous to that plants it is supposed to sustain; oceans of groundwater built up over millions of years are sucked up by gigantic pumps and used up almost within the lifetime of a single man; federal and state agencies build ever-vaster projects on ever-more-marginal sites because to stop building would be to admit failure and futility.
and worse, would be to stop the greatest irrigation scheme of all, the deluge of federal dollars pouring into local economies.

Marc Reisner, a writer for the Natural Resources Defense Council before he set out in 1979 to research and write this book, is obviously no lover of the R eclamation Bureau or the Corps of Engineers, but he is a good reporter and lets his actors speak for themselves. Some of his arch-villains, such as Reclamation Commissioner Floyd Dominy, he obviously came to like and enjoy in the course of extensive interviews, which are among the most interesting and valuable parts of the book’s research. Also of great importance are his extensive quotations from internal files of the Reclamation Bureau, which are astonishing and revealing. The book is thus no mere polemic; it is thoroughly and intelligently documented, although scholars might wish for some system of identifying specific quotations or references more precisely.

Beginning with a splendid retelling of John Wesley Powell’s great adventure on the Colorado, and concluding with a terrifying description of the as yet unbuilt North American Water and Power Alliance, which would dam and divert over thousands of miles virtually every river of the American and Canadian northwest all the way up to the Arctic, Reisner’s book is beautifully written and frightening. “We set out to make the future of the American West secure,” he concludes: “what we really did was make ourselves rich and our descendants insecure.” (p. 505) Those descendants, if any survive in the ravaged and unimaginably arid West of the future, may well be less temperate in their judgments.

The Mythic West in Twentieth-century America.

Reviewed by Robert V. Hine, Professor of History, University of California, Riverside.

The last book of Robert Athearn before his death in 1983 is appropriately a personal statement, a regional rumination based on a lifetime of scholarship. It begins in 1922 in Athearn’s Montana hometown and on his grandfather’s horse ranch south of the Missouri River. The West he writes about is that interior province, the Plains and the Mountain states, excluding the Midwest and the Pacific slope. His experience and his scholarship lead him wisely to restrict his definition of West, joining those historians who increasingly realize that it makes little sense to lump too many incongruous elements into one region.

Athearn did not conceive his book in traditional terms. It is a commentary on the twentieth-century West, not a telling of that history (such as Richard Lowitt and Gerald Nash have done). He is concerned with the way a nineteenth century myth of the West has been perpetuated in the twentieth century, not with political periods or leading figures in the region. Even in the myth he has not delved into psychological and literary criticism (as with Richard Slotkin), but has extracted major themes, exploring these from a variety of viewpoints.

One overriding theme, a myth rooted in reality, is the colonial status of the West. From the beginning Athearn’s region was an appendage of the East, and sometimes of Europe. Shortly after the first comers, large speculative developers moved into Western mining, lumbering, farming, and cattle raising. Eastern railroads held farmers under their thumbs. The federal government, the source of its basic commodity, the land, became a salvation for the West, notably during the Great Depression in the form of the CCC. So between corporate power and governmental centralization, the West truly stood in economic bondage. This subjugation was also reflected in tourism, for as soon as the “dude’s West” became profitable, corporate railroads and chain hotels took charge. Even in literature, the West was used by Eastern publishers to present what Eastern readers wanted to read.

In this personal statement, Athearn has a right to portray the West as he has seen it, and that tends to be in a positive light. As a product of that “healthy provincialism” which Bernard DeVoto called for, he de-emphasizes elements of or possibilities within the myth. The cooperative West, for example—the economy of barn raisings and quilting bees—though mentioned is not explored. Violence, an overwhelming consequence and component of the Western myth, is downplayed. The West as a process of multi-acclimatization, of racial conflict, is only hinted at.

In the end, however, these points seem minor, for the book is full of wonderful perception. For example, Athearn reminds our own Nixon-Reagan era that the West has been consistently conservative. He sees more myth than realism in the liberal idea of the West as “a hothouse for sprouting seeds of change.” (p. 123) Even the popular Western story has maintained a vehicle for “profoundly conservative” points of view. Western conservatism, he feels, is rooted in the Westerners’ collective inferiority complex. But it also reveals ambivalence about their own nature and direction. As conservatives they have wanted to rid themselves of governmental interference but they have always been quick to cry for help. “As adolescents in the national family, they wanted the freedom to roam, but they still have turned up at mealtimes . . .” (p. 130)

This book is exciting, witty, and thoughtful. Western readers should glory in its insights: “The mountains and deserts and great spaces, and the threat and challenge of it all, somehow have become part of our belief in who we are and where we have been, and so it feeds our faith in what we might still be.” (p. 222)
Trusted by Californians since 1852.

Wells Fargo comes through.

For over 130 years we’ve come through with what Californians have needed most. We turned gold dust into hard cash. We weathered financial panics, earthquakes and fires. Through boom times and bad, we kept our word—and our customers’ trust. Since those early days of the Wells Fargo stagecoach, we’ve developed one of the strongest and most innovative banking systems in the West. Now we are one of the ten largest banks in the country, so we’ll be around for a long time to come. Wells Fargo Bank.
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SUCH A LANDSCAPE!

A Narrative of the 1864 California Geological Survey Exploration of Yosemite, Sequoia & Kings Canyon from the Diary, Fieldnotes, Letters & Reports of

William Henry Brewer

Introduction, Notes & Photographs by William Alsup with a foreword by Cathleen Douglas Stone
Published by the Sequoia Natural History Association and the Yosemite Association

Brewer's chronicle of the Survey's landmark journey is a classic of Sierra mountaineering. In this new volume William Alsup has gathered many unpublished field notes and letters and paired them with forty stunning black & white photographs of the route.

This limited edition of 500 copies has been designed and printed letterpress by Susan Acker at the Feathered Serpent Press. Duotone photographs printed by Phelps-Schaefer Litho-Graphics. The format is 10½ x 11½ inches, 124 pages, hardcover. Numbered and signed by the photographer. ISBN 0-939666-46-4

Available November 1987
Orders received prior to publication: $100 ($125 thereafter).
Shipping & handling: $3. California residents please add sales tax.

Yosemite Association
P.O. Box 545
Yosemite National Park, CA 95389
(phone 209-379-2648)
A Perfect Serra Commemorativ e

- The definitive, documented history of Junipero Serra's "possessions" at his California home, mission headquarters, and burial place—31 Mission Carmel artifacts that Serra personally touched, used and valued (e.g., his Bible, vestments, altar silver, burial stole and reliquary cross). Presented within a unique three-chapter chronology of his life and Mission Carmel history (drawn mainly from his own writings), and a fourth chapter that traces all significant events since his death. Written by Mission Carmel Archivist Martin J. Morgado.

- 151 illustrations—50 color plates (several full page, many items never before photographed); 82 black and white plates/historical photographs; 19 maps/drawings/keys. Also, an extensive glossary of 124 terms/topics/persons relevant to Serra, 348 reference-content footnotes, 138 sources, and complete index. 282 pages.

- "This new volume thoroughly delineates the treasures that forever link San Carlos Borromeo to Serra... an exquisitely-illustrated and accurately-descriptive book." Msgr. Francis J. Weber, Archivist, Archdiocese of Los Angeles

- "A highly informative, enjoyable, attractive book that will appeal to everyone interested in Serra...it makes a worthy contribution to the existing literature." Richard Menn, Monterey Diocesan Curator and Mission Consultant

- Junipero Serra's Legacy—limited first edition, first printing—500 deluxe hard bound, numbered, signed copies (while available) — $50

- Junipero Serra's Legacy—Limited first edition, second printing—2,500 regular hard bound copies — $34.95

- The 1988 Junipero Serra Calendar—Featuring fifteen of the most important items associated with Serra, in full page color. Each month includes dated highlights from Serra's life — $9.95

- Order from: Mount Carmel, P.O. Box 51326, Pacific Grove, CA 93950, (408) 649-0144. CA residents add 6% sales tax. Add $2.50 postage/handling first book, $1.00 each successive; $1.00 first calendar, 50¢ each successive (free postage/handling for calendar when ordered with book). Allow 2-3 weeks delivery.
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(Above) Thanksgiving Day (November 28), 1907. Hollister 61, Pacific Grove 0. At Hollister.

The game was rugby, not American football, which had been outlawed at the University of California and Stanford in 1906. A national controversy over the violence of football came to a head in California after the 1904 "Big Game" between California and Stanford in which the Stanford team disabled eight California players. That game, according to long-time California coach Brick Morse, "was a remarkable demonstration of the bad which had crept into the American game. It was a case of concentration of attack on a weak man in the line until he could stand it no longer and was forced out of the game. . . . President Wheeler saw that something must be done. . . . Any game that has for its object the crippling of an opponent needs reform."

High school teams followed the university lead in switching from American football to rugby. The Gilroy Advocate noted on November 30, 1907, that "football seems to be revived now and the High School boys are eagerly playing the new game, Rugby." A week before coming to Hollister for its Thanksgiving Day debacle, the Pacific Grove team had hosted Gilroy in a game for which Gilroy had been practicing "every afternoon."

Pacific Grove, one of four high schools in Monterey County, had an enrollment of eighty-three students and four teachers in 1905. The San Benito County High School in Hollister had a graduating class of twenty in 1909, the year it moved into the two-story brick-and-steel building designed by architect W.H. Weeks. A 1915 photograph of the Hollister football team shows nineteen players and three teachers on the steps of the new high school buildings. That year the school had 165 students. The players are wearing rugby uniforms—shorts, jerseys displaying a large "H," and cleated, high-top shoes. Athletic change was in the air in 1915, however, as the University of California returned to American football after fielding a 1914 team whose members "had been trained from boyhood in the English type of play," according to Morse. In a dispute over freshman eligibility for varsity teams, Stanford and the University of California severed athletic relations, and California found a new "big game" rival—the University of Washington, which played American football. By 1918, when California and Stanford Student Army Training Corps teams resumed the traditional contest, American football was again the college game.

(Cover) Helen Gahagan and Melvyn Douglas in a scene from Mother Lode, a play by California playwright Dan Totheroh, in 1934. Photo courtesy of Carl Albert Center Congressional Archives, University of Oklahoma.
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Notice to Our Readers:
Beginning with the March, 1988, issue,
California History will include a "Correspond-
dence" section. Letters commenting on, adding
to, or correcting articles or photographs we have
published are welcome. Please address your
typed, double-spaced letters to "Editor, California
History" and indicate that you intend for this
material to be published.
HELEN GAHAHAN DOUGLAS

BROADWAY STAR
AS CALIFORNIA POLITICIAN

Helen Dahagan Douglas died of cancer on June 28, 1980, at the age of seventy-nine. Newspapers across the country reminded readers that in 1950 this actress-turned-politician lost her race against Richard M. Nixon for the United States Senate seat in California in perhaps the most celebrated red-smear campaign of the cold war years. The Los Angeles Times, which virtually shut Douglas out of its news coverage during her six-year congressional career, commented that Nixon's campaign "was a model of its kind—innuendo piled on innuendo." The paper cited Douglas's political courage as her most significant contribution to American politics. In a letter to the Times editor, Stanley Mosk, a prominent San Francisco judge, commented that to lose both the noted California writer Carey McWilliams and Douglas in the same week was "a tragic loss for American democracy" and called for a "requiem for the demise of an era." Former congressman Jerry Voorhis, himself a political loser to Nixon in 1946, predicted that this "noble" woman would live on as a "symbol of the Gallant American Lady." Tenant farm worker organizer H.L. Mitchell called Douglas a "sainted person." United States senators Alan Cranston and Howard Metzenbaum inserted lengthy newspaper obituaries in the Congressional Record with preliminary adulatory remarks of their own.1

Melvyn Douglas, Helen's husband of forty-nine years, received several hundred letters which revealed in a more private way the esteem and affection both friends and strangers felt for Helen. The son of New Dealer David Lilienthal, Ernest, who knew Helen as a child, wrote that he still had the copy of Mary Poppins that Helen had given him as a child, and, "very simply, I worshipped her."

Congressman Claude Pepper called her "one of the greatest and loveliest ladies whom our land has known." Motivated by an "overriding purpose" to build a better world, he said, she "contributed enormously to helping people ... walk on higher ground." One person who wrote had attended college in 1950 and had been profoundly affected by the senate campaign. He said that "among the little people of America there were those who remember Helen's grandeur" and feel a great loss. "I was one of those whose life was illuminated by her leadership and principles."2

In 1897, Walter Gahagan, a civil engineer born and raised in Ohio, and his bride Lillian, a teacher who had grown up in Wisconsin, moved to Brooklyn. In the summer of 1900, Lillian, Walter, and their two-year-old twins, Frederick and William, took up temporary residence in Boonton where Walter had a contract to build a large reservoir. On November 20, Lillian gave birth to Helen shortly before the family moved back into their Brooklyn home. Two years later a second girl, Lillian, was born, and the Gahagans moved their growing and active family into an imposing brownstone house in the city's posh Park Slope area adjacent to Brooklyn Park.
and Grand Army Plaza. In 1910, a fifth child, Walter Jr., added even more bustle to the busy household. Walter viewed hard work, constant reading, and education as the essentials of a successful life for men and women, but that did not mean that women should pursue careers. He engrafted in his children a series of rules stemming from his business principles. One which made a particular impression on Helen was the directive to “make everybody’s life and every place you’ve been better because you’ve been there.” His wife Lillian also believed in education as well as exposure to the arts and a good religious upbringing in the Presbyterian Church. Unlike some of her contemporaries, Lillian disagreed with her husband over careers for women, and had begun a promising singing career in opera which Walter prohibited her from pursuing. Yet when Helen developed an early interest in the theatre, Lillian was as adamant as Walter in opposing it.

Aside from continual friction over her acting ambitions, Helen grew up feeling a close bond to her parents and enjoyed family activities. Walter often took the children to his construction sites. Lillian invited musicians to the house to perform. She took the children down the street to the Brooklyn Art Museum and the public library on Saturdays. Helen went with her mother to the Metropolitan Opera but did not enjoy it. Helen recalled, “I would be so unhappy sitting through long operas and I’d complain, ‘They’re all so fat, Mother.’” When Helen said she wanted to be an actress, her mother responded, “Why do you want to be an actress? Why don’t you want to be something really worthwhile—a singer?”

Summers were special times for the Gahagans. They visited family in the Midwest and when the children became teenagers, the family travelled to Europe. In 1914, Walter bought Cliff Mull, a lovely Victorian house on a hill above Lake Morey near Fairlee, Vermont. After that, the family spent at least part of every summer in Vermont. Although the girls had to endure lessons, including piano and poetry reading, they had their afternoons free to play tennis, swim, hike, and read. Helen regularly found a secluded spot where she read and daydreamed. Even in the last year of her life, Helen found Vermont an escape, a critical source of nourishment, beauty, and repose.

It was always a letdown for Helen to return to Brooklyn to begin school. She and her sister attended Berkeley Institute, a private school in the neighborhood designed to prepare young women for college. Helen’s perpetual dislike of school began in kindergarten when Berkeley dropped her behind a grade because she could not spell, a problem that continued to plague her as an adult. Helen hated both her academic courses and the rules outlining proper behavior for “young ladies,” and she consistently performed poorly. Helen much preferred to spend her time making up stories and acting them out, but theatre had no place at Berkeley until Helen’s freshman year when Elizabeth Grimball, a drama coach by training, joined the faculty. She quickly realized that this academically rebellious teenager had exceptional acting talent and considerable intelligence. Before long, Helen began starring in plays and participating on the debate team. Helen’s grades improved in Grimball’s class, but deteriorated in others. Much to Grimball’s dismay, not to mention Helen’s, the irate Gahagans pulled their daughter out of Berkeley and sent her to the Capon School in Northampton, Massachusetts, a similar school which primarily prepared students for admission into Smith College. Against her parents’ instructions, Helen immediately involved herself in play productions and did little better academically. She managed to graduate, but it took a summer of tutoring at Dartmouth for her to pass the entrance examinations for Barnard College, the only school Helen was permitted to consider. Her parents wanted her in New York at a women’s college so they could attempt to keep track of their prodigal daughter.

Barnard, a coordinate college with Columbia University, provided a stimulating intellectual environment and prepared women for a wide variety of employment opportunities. Helen never became part of the intellectual swirl of activity, but to
her delight, the college had a strong tradition of dramatic activity, quite unusual for colleges and universities at that time. Helen entered in 1920 and soon discovered a place for herself in the students' tradition of Greek games which had become an elaborate annual pageant. She also had opportunities to act and direct in Wigs and Cues, the student organization for play production.

Helen's most rewarding theatrical adventure at Barnard took place in an Irish literature course that she took with close friend Alis De Sola. In 1922 the two dramatized an episode from an Irish epic which eventually became a one-act play, The Shadow of the Moon. The girls showed the script to Grimball, Helen's high school mentor, who arranged to have the play produced off-Broadway with Helen in the lead role. This production led to two more off-Broadway plays for Helen. The noted actress Grace George, who had a reputation for finding young actors and actresses, saw her in a performance and insisted that her husband, the crusty, established Broadway producer William Brady, see Helen perform. Brady was so enthusiastic he asked the starry-eyed Helen to play the lead role in Dreams for Sale, a new Owen Davis play about to go into rehearsal in August 1922. Brady also offered her, on the eve of opening night, a five-year contract for starring Broadway roles, which Helen accepted. Few actors, no matter how talented, stepped directly from any preparatory environment—stock company, drama school, or college theatre—into a leading role contract with a New York producer. The time could not have been more propitious, since one of the most vibrant decades in the history of American drama was just beginning. Helen paid a personal price for her dizzying success, nevertheless, because her decision enraged her father. Although Brady eventually convinced Walter that his daughter was not entering an "improper" profession for ladies from fine families, Walter was deeply disappointed over Helen's decision to leave school.

Despite poor reviews for Dreams for Sale, Gahagan caught critics' attention. In a comment typical of most of his colleagues, the eminent critic Alexander Woollcott called her an "indisputable talent." When the show closed, Gahagan moved on to starring roles which spanned the next several years, including Leah in C.M.P. McLellan's Leah Kleshna, a part originally written for the famed actress Minnie Maddern Fiske. From her debut, critics compared her style to that of Ethel Barrymore. They rarely failed to mention her uncommon beauty—tall at 5'7" and well-proportioned with a regal bearing. After several years, she was often included in the handful of actresses considered Broadway's best. Gahagan, however, never hesitated to turn down a role that did not interest her. Unlike other fledgling stars, she still had financial backing from her family and spent most summers in Europe with her mother. In 1925, Gahagan left Brady for George Tyler, another veteran producer whose gentle personality and innovative productions suited her better. She did several plays with Tyler, including a long tour in 1925–26 with John Van Druten's Young Woodley in which she starred with Glenn Hunter. But by 1927 Helen was restless with the
stage and, under pressure from her mother to develop her singing, decided to take voice lessons.

Gahagan began instruction with voice coach Sophia Cahanovska, a Russian immigrant, and eventually immersed herself fulltime in her lessons. In 1929 Cahanovska arranged for her hardworking student to tour Europe during the summer. Her repertoire included the lead roles in Tosca, Aida, and Cavalleria Rusticana. Although her reviews were less than superlative, Gahagan had visions of auditioning for the Met and a variety of American engagements. When none of this materialized, she sailed again to Europe in the summer of 1930 with the idea of staying two years.

This plan evaporated several months later when the aging theatre legend David Belasco offered Helen the lead role in a new play by Lili Hatvany entitled Tonight or Never. Belasco thought Gahagan ideal to play an opera singer whose agent is convinced she could sing better if she would only have an affair. The agent’s predictions prove correct after the diva has a passionate evening with an “unknown gentleman” who turns out to be a Met scout. With Gahagan’s consent Belasco selected an accomplished actor but a relative newcomer to Broadway, Melvyn Douglas, as the irresistible lover. During the rehearsal period, Gahagan and Douglas fell in love, and in April, 1931, near the end of the play’s long run, they married.

The newlyweds’ lives took an unexpected turn a month later when Hollywood producer Samuel Goldwyn purchased the movie rights to Tonight or Never as a means for Gloria Swanson to launch her singing career. The entire cast moved to Hollywood for the filming. The Douglasses initially viewed this trip to California as a temporary one, but movie offers continued to come Melvyn’s way and Helen had some singing and acting opportunities with theatres in San Francisco and Los Angeles. The couple did two plays together on Broadway but both plays closed early. In general, however, appealing offers came only sporadically for Helen. She had little luck getting into film, making only one movie, She with RKO in 1935. The science fiction fantasy failed at the box office. A radio contract also proved disappointing. The Douglasses’ lives were further complicated by the birth of two children, Peter in 1933 and Mary Helen in 1938.

In the summer of 1937 Helen looked forward to a European singing tour culminating with a performance at the Salzburg Festival. Rather than operatic roles, she had developed a solid repertoire of songs, including German lieder and the music of Joseph Marx, a popular Austrian composer. Audiences were enthusiastic, although once again the reviews were mixed. Despite the tense political situation resulting from the rapid spread of Nazism, no unpleasant incidents occurred until Helen’s stay in Salzburg. There an individual introduced by a friend asked Helen to provide reports on anti-Nazi activity in the United States. Horrified at the request, she cancelled a fall engagement with the Vienna Opera and returned home, determined to involve herself in anti-fascist activities in Hollywood. Helen and Melvyn both joined the five-thou-
sand-member Hollywood Anti-Nazi League. Helen initially considered her political activity to be of secondary interest, but her career as a performer was fading. Her cousin Walter Pick, who lived with the Douglasses in the late 1930s, commented that after Helen returned from Europe she no longer had the "same great drive as before." 

Part of the explanation lies in diminished opportunities. Chances to sing in the United States had always been limited, and existing European doors were closing fast in 1938 and 1939. Professional theatre opportunities on both coasts continued to decline as the depression wore on. But another part of the explanation involved Melvyn. By the end of the 1930s, he had become one of the highest paid leading men, known for his fine comic timing, his handsome looks, and his ability to play well against Hollywood's female stars. While not all of his films offered him a chance to demonstrate his talent, Ninotchka, produced in 1939, certainly eliminated any questions about his talent as a screen actor. Although Helen never represented Melvyn's success, she had always felt their careers should be equally successful. With his star rising and hers on the decline, she was ready to be pulled off in another direction. Within eighteen months after Helen made her first step into the political arena, she had become a leading figure in the California Democratic party with considerable national visibility. Except for a few minor engagements, Helen neither acted nor sang again until the early 1950s. But she did not set aside her theatrical skills. Her rapid political climb was due in large part to her ability to shift her acting skills from the dramatic to the political stage.

The Douglasses' heightened awareness coincided with a change in the political atmosphere in Hollywood. In the early 1930s, the movie colony had been a center of political indifference, but by 1937, it had become a hotbed of radical and liberal activity. The political awakening in Hollywood paralleled the awakening around the country.

Helen Douglas's move into politics began unexpectedly in the early fall of 1938. Melvyn, who had become active in the Democratic party and other organizations during the previous year, offered the patio of the Douglases' spacious home to the John Steinbeck Committee to Aid Agricultural Workers for a meeting. Sitting in, Helen found herself fascinated with the problems being discussed. Her initial curiosity soon evolved into a commitment to action, and she organized a Christmas party for migrant children, which attracted thousands. Then she began to tour migrant camps and attend government hearings and meetings of concerned citizens. In early 1939 she became the committee's chair, working hard to publicize the problem, solicit money, and encourage the public to push for labor laws and social security that would include the migrants. She also urged improvements in housing, health services, and food distribution centers. She constantly asked questions, drawing information from experts, particularly Paul Taylor, professor of
economics at the University of California, Berkeley. Soon she was on the lecture circuit as her activities made her a sought-after speaker for concerned groups. She eventually drew the attention of migrant experts in Washington, including Arthur Goldschmidt, who worked for the Department of the Interior under Harold Ickes. Goldschmidt described his first encounter with Helen: “I found myself subjected to an intense cross-examination—grilling might not be too strong a word. She accepted no vague generalities... Her questions were not naive; I came away... enchanted with a sense of wonder at Helen’s display of energy—at the physical, emotional and mental drive of this beautiful and glamorous person.”

By mid-1939 Helen had been noticed by Aubrey Williams, head of the National Youth Authority, who frequently told Eleanor Roosevelt about interesting people around the country whom he thought she and FDR would like to meet. In the summer of 1939, Williams wrote to the Roosevelts about the California political activities of the Douglasses. He mentioned to FDR that Melvyn could be a political asset for the 1940 campaign and that Helen’s information about migrants would be useful to both Roosevelts. Eleanor Roosevelt was quick to respond; she invited the Douglasses to dinner and to spend the night at the end of November 1939. The evening proved delightful; the two couples were drawn to each other, and a genuine friendship from which both couples stood to benefit took shape almost immediately.

During the next few days, the Douglasses met a large group of high-ranking New Dealers including cabinet members Frances Perkins and Harold Ickes, who were as eager to rub shoulders with the bright, enthusiastic, and glamorous Hollywood couple as the Douglasses were to meet Washington’s political elite. The Douglasses left Washington exhilarated and carrying a standing invitation to stay at the White House when business brought them to Washington. Thereafter, Eleanor Roosevelt began to visit and often stay with her new friends on her trips west. Neither Douglas hesitated to contact the Roosevelts or the cabinet members they had met concerning their political activities. The President appointed both Douglasses to various White House boards and remained in close touch with what each was doing. In turn the Douglasses became outspoken supporters of Roosevelt’s policies.

When the Douglasses returned to Los Angeles, Helen turned her attention to planning the Steinbeck Committee’s second Christmas party, a massive gathering that attracted over eight thousand migrants. Shortly after the party Helen resigned from the committee because she learned of Communist infiltration into the organization. Before the Soviet-Nazi pact of September 1939 liberals of all persuasions were virtually indistinguishable from each other; they formed a United Front that supported the New Deal and opposed fascism. But after the pact, American Communists began to object to the anti-Fascist stands of liberal organizations. The United Front fell apart quickly as non-Communist liberals dropped their membership. After Helen resigned, she wrote her friend
Congressman Jerry Voorhis that she found herself in the "absurd position . . . of most liberals today. The Communists call us reactionaries and the reactionaries call us Communists!" At this juncture, Helen Douglas took her initial steps into the Women's Division of the Democratic Party in a process that brought her closer to Eleanor Roosevelt and also provided an entry into the power structure of Democratic politics. In so doing, she placed herself right in the middle of party feuds between northern and southern California and between the party's liberals and conservatives. In an article for the February 1940 issue of the Democratic Digest, the widely read monthly magazine of the national Women's Division office, Helen urged state and local governments to respond to migrant needs and communities to assimilate the migrant and "recognize him for his true worth—a vital and necessary element in the agriculture structure [and] a human being . . . whose welfare affects the country at large." This impelled Dorothy McAllister, national director of the Women's Division, to invite Douglas to speak at the division's first National Institute of Government in Washington, a conference to educate party women about campaign issues and party organization in preparation for the 1940 fall campaign. That spring Mrs. Roosevelt came to California to visit migrant camps on a trip arranged by Helen and Melvyn.

In July, the Douglasses journeyed to Chicago for the party's nominating convention. Melvyn went as a delegate, Helen as an alternate. When it came time to choose California's Democratic Party Committeeewoman there were two principal candidates: long-time party worker, head of the Women's Division in California, and conservative Nettie Jones, and Helen Douglas. Despite her novice status in the party, liberals found Douglas's flamboyant style and attractive appearance, her political views, and her friendship with the Roosevelts much more appealing than the prickly conservative Jones's party credentials. When Douglas won, it was not surprising that Jones resented Helen's lack of the traditional credentials required for this position.

Douglas enjoyed the publicity surrounding her appointment, which drew national attention, and felt comfortable mingling with the Democratic power structure. When she and Melvyn returned to California they both plunged into a hectic campaign speaking schedule—Melvyn nationally and Helen throughout California. When Roosevelt took California by a landslide, party officials in Washington singled out the Douglasses for their contributions to the victory. Helen's speaking ability surpassed that of more seasoned politicians, and she had proved that she had the power to draw and hold a crowd. Together the Douglasses had led the campaign efforts of Hollywood Democrats, persuading many actors to give political speeches and make substantial campaign contributions.

After a week of festivities during the January inauguration, Melvyn plunged back into his studio work while Helen decided to let her political activities absorb her energies. Through state party chairman William Malone she gained two additional Democratic Party positions.

Eleanor Roosevelt and California Governor Culbert L. Olson greeting guests on the Douglasses' patio after a performance by the California National Youth Authority orchestra, 1941.
She became vice-chair of the state organization and replaced Nettie Jones as head of the Women's Division. Malone claimed to have appointed her to these jobs so that he would not have to deal with more than one woman; in retrospect he admitted that he had underestimated her abilities.23

In the first few months of 1941, Douglas spent most of her time strengthening the existing structure of the statewide Women's Division and making new appointments down to the county level. She appointed two women to head the North while she and an assistant took responsibility for the South. Her appointees were bright professional women, many of whom had never before been active in the Women's Division and were not involved in the rampant factionalism within the division. With her structure in place, Douglas turned her attention to the major focus of the national Women's Division office—home-front defense plans and fundraising. She organized, in conjunction with the Washington office, a regional conference held in September 1941 for party women's education. Invitations were extended to men as well as women from the eleven-state area. She added glamor by including such movie stars as Melvyn, Jackie Gleason, and Douglas Fairbanks. National party figures also addressed the three-day conference, and Douglas enjoyed the aftermath of praise for her “efficient organization and showmanship.” Gladys Tillett, who had replaced McAllister as head of the Women's Division, wrote that she would have to place Douglas “apart as the standard among National Committee—women toward which others can work.”24

Although the conference demonstrated Douglas's organizational ability, a more significant test of her political acumen lay ahead, the mobilization of California Democratic women for the 1942 election. Nationally the picture looked gloomy. The congressional coalition between Republicans and conservative Democrats had continued to grow in strength since the 1936 election. After American entry into the war, hostility towards the number of federal controls and the extension of social reforms had intensified and Democrats feared losing their congressional majority.

Douglas was concerned about all the southern California congressional seats but six in particular. She directed the Women's Division to work outside the regular party structure because she thought the women would be more effective this way.25 They wrote and distributed thousands of fliers, registered voters, raised money, and canvassed precincts. In the final election, despite Governor Culbert Olson’s loss to Republican Earl Warren in the gubernatorial race, Democrats won three of the six critical districts and several others as well. Particularly satisfying to Douglas was the ouster of Representative Leland Ford, an arch-conservative who had viciously red baited Melvyn in the spring of 1942 when he had assumed a volunteer position with the Office of Ci-
villian Defense in Washington.26 The
Washington Democrats were de-
lighted with the southern California
victories in an election in which the
party had lost 70 of its 318 House
seats. Although it is difficult to
assess Douglas’s role in these vic-
tories, many gave her credit. The
Secretary of the Democratic National
Committee wrote: “You, personally,
did a magnificent job. At least we
could have come out much worse
in our Congressional Districts and
where we did come out successfully
I am sure the results were due to
your efforts. I want you to know that
all of us in Washington appreciate
this immensely.”27

Douglas’s goal in 1943 was to coor-
dinate the women to develop an ed-
cuation program directed primarily
to postwar reconversion, a project
she considered critical as ground-
work for the 1944 election. Mean-
while, the 1942 campaign had given
her close ties to the southern Califor-
nia congressional delegation, and
Douglas cultivated these and other
Washington contacts. She became
particularly close to New Dealer
Thomas Ford, who represented the
fourteenth congressional district in
Los Angeles, and his wife Lillian.28

Late in 1943 the Fords suggested
that Douglas consider running for
Tom’s seat. He had long planned to
retire in 1944, and Douglas seemed
to him an excellent replacement.
She, however, had mixed feelings.
The idea of running seemed at first
somewhat overwhelming. Not only
was she a woman, but Helen’s cre-
dentials did not resemble those of
other congressional candidates, male
or female. In addition, she did not
live in the fourteenth district but in
the affluent residential hills of the
adjacent fifteenth district. She was,
however, a total stranger to the four-
teenth, which encompassed the
downtown core of Los Angeles. Fur-
thermore, state assemblyman Au-
 gustus Hawkins had waited patiently
for Ford’s retirement. In many
ways, Hawkins, the second black
man to be elected to the state legis-
lature, was a logical successor. He
had a distinguished record in his
fight for labor and civil rights. Dur-
ing the war years, the Los Angeles
black population, which concen-
trated primarily in the assembly dis-
trict Hawkins represented, grew
substantially, and Hawkins had be-
come an increasingly powerful voice
in the community. But Ford and his
political advisers in the district felt
Douglas would stand a better chance
of winning. Despite her unfamiliar-
ity with the district’s problems, Ford
believed the majority of his con-
stituents would identify with her
enthusiasm for the New Deal and
Roosevelt. Furthermore, as he put
it, the “people of the 14th are not
going to vote for a Negro, however
light-colored he may be.”29 In De-
cember, 1943, Douglas agreed to run.

Ford’s district surrounded the
heart of Los Angeles. It was com-
prised of four state assembly dis-
tricts, the forty-fourth, fifty-fifth,
sixty-second and the sixty-fourth,
with constituents ranging from the
poorest of minority families to some
of the wealthiest individuals in Los
Angeles County. Douglas once de-
scribed the slums in her district as
areas where a “chicken coop would
be considered a high-priority dwell-
ing—especially if you could have it
all to yourself.”30 Over two dozen ra-
cial and ethnic communities, includ-
ing Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and the
city’s oldest Mexican district, nestled
next to each other and in between
commercial districts. Two politically
conservative, wealthy areas sur-
rounded LaFayette Park and ran
along Wilshire Boulevard. A tiny
section of Hollywood intersected at
the northwest corner. By 1945, 86,000
black people lived in the district and
constituted almost twenty-five per-
cent of the district’s total population
of 346,000.31

Ford passed on to Douglas a
strong campaign structure. Its prin-
principal figures included Ford's manager Ed Lybeck, his wife Ruth, and secretary Florence Reynolds. Susie Clifton, an active Democrat in several elections and an astute campaign worker, joined the group when Douglas ran. The team began to get organized when the primary campaign began in March.

Hawkins decided not to run, but Loren Miller, a prominent black lawyer in the district filed, as did several other candidates. Vicious literature from various candidates began circulating immediately. One flier reminded voters that Douglas was married to a Jew and asserted that she was a Communist. After all, twelve years of the "communistic Tom Ford" was enough. The Los Angeles Times accused her of Communist ties because the national Congress of Industrial Organizations (CIO) backed her and because of her friendship with Vice-President Henry Wallace. A poster from a Democratic opponent depicted Douglas, labelled "Lady Bountiful," coming down out of the hills of the fifteenth district and asking a passerby, "Where's the Fourteenth District?" None of this criticism bothered Ford. He wrote to a friend that Douglas would "carry on in the tradition of Stand by the President [and] put the 14th on the map." Douglas wrote to Eleanor Roosevelt, "Well, I am really in the campaign and I never knew anything could be quite so repulsive."

Douglas conducted an issue-oriented race, championing the New Deal record and emphasizing her confidence in FDR's leadership. In true Women's Division style she went armed with facts, figures, and simple language while refusing to run down her opponents. Although she only hinted that as a member of Congress she would see herself as representing a national constituency, she communicated that what was good for the country was good for her district. Its problems, she argued, mirrored the challenges facing America. She held dozens of meetings in homes, an effort which helped dissipate some of the hostility from housewives who could not envision a woman in Congress. The key to her success lay both in her message and her means of communicating. She knew how to read an audience and emotionally charge a group by using colorful language, vivid analogies, and large dramatic gestures.

Douglas did not let her campaign interrupt her Women's Division work which included campaigning for other congressional candidates. She wrote Mollie Dewson, the first director of the national Women's Division and still a political power, "I feel sometimes that with the weight of the entire state on my shoulders, as well as my personal campaign, that I'm not going to quite last the day or night, and I begin praying for strength." Douglas won the primary, even though they "threw everything at me they could get their hands on," she told Alis De Sola. The Fords were jubilant.

After the Democratic nominating convention in July, where she was the principal woman speaker, Douglas began planning the fall campaign. Her strategy was similar to the one she used in the spring although her campaign committee worked harder to target particular groups, particularly the black community. In the primary, she and Miller had split the black vote, and she wanted to win it in the fall election. She went beyond FDR's position on civil rights by urging a per-
manent Fair Employment Practices Commission and abolition of the poll tax. She also pressed for more general issues—better housing, job training for wartime workers, respect for the rights of organized labor, full protection for small farmers and small business, government support for the physically handicapped, and veterans' benefits.

The Republicans, like her Democratic opponents in the primary, conducted a red baiting campaign. They also emphasized the fact that she lived outside the district and pointed out her connections with Hollywood. Nonetheless, with the hard work of the Lybecks and Fords, Douglas pulled off a victory as Roosevelt swept California. But her margin was less than four thousand votes out of approximately 137,500 cast. Although Douglas gained a majority of the black votes, few black leaders had rallied to her support. Not even the liberal black California Eagle, which later became her strong advocate, did much for her candidacy. Clearly she had a difficult challenge ahead of her to keep her district.

Douglas arrived in Washington early in January, 1945. Congress and the President faced two major responsibilities—to direct the economic re-conversion of wartime America and to formulate policy for the nation in its role as a new world leader. The contours of the critical debates had begun to take shape late in 1943 and 1944. Home-front questions centered on the appropriate role of government in controlling the cost of living, combating the housing shortage, placing unemployed veterans in jobs, and converting factories from the production of wartime goods back to the manufacture of domestic goods. Blacks demanded that a country which fought for freedom abroad with their help should guarantee them equality at home. Many women had developed both a different consciousness of their own abilities as a result of employment in wartime factories and a new sense of independence after long-term separation from their husbands. These women sought the right to economic and personal equality within the legal structure. The demands of labor, blacks, veterans, and women often conflicted, however, with the desire of business to increase rapidly the production of consumer goods with maximum profits.

The United States also faced new responsibilities abroad. Returning to an isolationist position was not an option as it had been after World War I. Assuming a major role in developing an international body to bring countries together to preserve world peace, the American government also accepted an obligation to rebuild its European allies which had
emerged from war burdened by weakened economies and massive physical destruction. The United States had to develop guidelines for dealing with the Soviet Union, another new world power whose status as an American ally during the war developed into an adversary relationship once the war had ended. An American attitude of toughness and mistrust of the Russians led to strong anti-Communist sentiment at home and the development of a Cold War between the two countries. Americans also had to decide how to cope with atomic energy, whether it should be controlled by the military or civilians, whether knowledge should be shared with other countries, specifically the Soviet Union, and how this new source of energy should be developed.

In 1945, the Democrats controlled Congress, but the combination of conservative Democrats and Republicans formed a majority. Thus liberals, including Douglas, feared that Congress would not support a program to promise what they believed every American deserved—the right to a decent way of life including employment, adequate housing, food, and health care—while at the same time preventing rampant inflation. In foreign affairs, liberals argued that Americans should guarantee that the idealistic goals for which the country had fought in the war become a reality. Most initially viewed the Soviet Union if not as a friend at least as a country with which the United States had to work in order to ensure world peace.*

Douglas discovered quickly that in order to have any impact as a new member of Congress, she would have to play a nontraditional role. She was too impatient to wait the length of time dictated by the conventions of the House for new members wishing to assume a position of power. She did not wish to spend an inordinate amount of time learning the fine points of legislative procedure, and she realized that even time did not guarantee power to women. Furthermore, she had a purist's theoretical notion of representative government. Viewing political issues in terms of right and wrong, she believed that govern- ment, run for and by the American people, should be improved by voters who elected legislators to vote for the right programs. This philosophy set her apart from those who believed that legislative success came only with compromise.

Modelling herself after Eleanor Roosevelt, Douglas set out to develop policy for a national and often an international constituency of "ordinary people." She believed the economic interests of the national groups she deemed important, particularly labor and blacks, were identical to those of the key groups in her district. In foreign affairs, she saw herself speaking for every American who wanted peace. What was good for the world, therefore, was good for the country and for the district. She worked hard towards her goals on the floor of Congress, often lecturing her colleagues and inserting

*
articles and speeches in the *Congressional Record*. She took her assignment to the Foreign Affairs Committee seriously. She also spoke before dozens of groups of concerned citizens all over the country urging them to pressure members of Congress.

The outlines of Douglas's liberal philosophy took shape and matured during her first term, the 79th Congress. Initially she had looked to FDR for policy guidelines, and after his death in April, 1945, her ideals came principally from Truman's Fair Deal program. She developed numerous statements including demands for creation of a homeland for the Jews, support for the United Nations, a permanent Fair Employment Practices Commission (FEPC), the end of the poll tax, a full employment bill, extension of social security, construction of low-cost housing, continuation of wartime rent and price controls, additional funds for day care programs and school lunches, more farm loans, an increase in the minimum wage, support for labor's right to strike, and funding for cancer research. She called the economic need of the veterans a national crisis, began a long-term investigation of the problems of water in California's Central Valley, and demanded more attention to the problems of migrant workers. Her principal legislative success was her co-sponsorship of the Atomic Energy Act of 1946, a law which placed the development of atomic energy in civilian rather than military hands. Douglas struck out against those who red baited her with a statement she entitled "My Democratic Credo" in which she explained that the way to keep communism out of the United States was by building a strong economy, controlling inflation, and providing jobs and affordable housing for all Americans.

Douglas's approach to the issue of civil rights illustrates her political style. She was a civil rightsponent in a manner reflective of Eleanor Roosevelt. In the upper-class Brooklyn society of her childhood, her Republican family did not mix with blacks, and Douglas became responsive to blacks only after she entered politics. Eleanor Roosevelt played a key role in introducing Douglas to black leaders during the war, including Mary McLeod Bethune, head of the National Council of Negro Women (NCNW). In 1942, at the First Lady's request, Douglas called a meeting to discuss the employment and housing problems of Los Angeles blacks. She worked with FEPC investigations in defense industries. Once in Congress, Douglas aligned herself with a small handful of congressmen (including the two black representatives, Adam Clayton Powell and William Dawson) who persistently introduced FEPC, anti-lynching, and anti-poll tax bills, despite continual failure to get bills passed. Douglas not only tried to generate public pressure on Congress to pass civil rights legislation, but she also gave speeches for national and local branches of the NAACP and helped the NCNW raise funds. Blacks throughout the country recognized her contributions. The Scroll of Honor that she received in 1946 from the NCNW acclaimed her "superb statesmanship" in her first term in Congress.

Douglas's attitude toward civil rights was also politically astute. Her black community was an identifiable audience, and she needed to play to it. She and Lybeck made certain that the blacks in her district knew exactly what she was doing. After a speech in June, 1945, on FEPC, for example, she sent copies of the speech out to the black precincts while the issue was "hot." She reprinted tens of thousands of "The Negro Soldier," a series of speeches.
she made in Congress at the end of 1945 in which she listed many of the
war efforts of blacks, and had Lybeck
blanket the black precincts with
them. She also worked to bring in-
creased services for blacks into her
district. For example, she worked
with the Los Angeles Committee for
Interracial Progress, a coalition of
fifty organizations, to direct federal
housing funds into Los Angeles
County, particularly into the four-
teenth district, and she secured
funding to expand post office facili-
ties in the heart of the sixty-second
assembly district. 41

Douglas hoped that her civil rights
activities would help her credibility
in the 1946 campaign. Although she
won the primary easily, the fall cam-
paign proved more challenging. Her
Republican opponent Frederick W.
Roberts, a long-time state assembly-
man, was black, and Roberts’s can-
didacy split the black community. Bas-
ing his campaign on the argument
that blacks should send blacks to
Congress, Roberts supported a plat-
form identical to Douglas’s even
though it varied from his legislative
record. The Republican National
Committee helped him financially
and even sent Joe Louis to the dis-
trict to campaign. As in 1944, red-
baiting issues surfaced. One group,
the 14th District League for the Pre-
servation of the American Way of Life,
circulated a flier asking Douglas why
she had made a “secret trip to Mos-
cow” the previous year. Douglas
could not campaign in person to
counter charges against her, because
Truman had appointed her to the
1946 General Assembly of the United
Nations. Although this added to her
prestige it kept her out of her district
during the fall. She spoke weekly
on the radio but left Lybeck to make
all the daily decisions about cam-
paign strategy. 42

Douglas’s liberal stance on issues
in general, her work on behalf of
blacks nationally, and her careful cul-
tivation of the black community paid
off. In the midst of a landslide Re-
publican victory nationwide in
which the party took control of both
the House and the Senate for the
first time in sixteen years, Douglas
almost doubled her margin from
1944. She was particularly delighted
that she gained the majority of
votes in the black precincts and in-
terpreted this to mean that she had
won the confidence of blacks that
their interests would be more effec-
tively served by a white Democrat
with a liberal record than by a black
Republican. 43

When the 80th Congress
opened in January,
1947, the Democrats
lost control of committee chairs and
the House speaker. Frustrated from
the start, liberal Democrats became
increasingly angry as the 80th Con-
gress progressed. 44 The conserva-
tives refused to pass any of Truman’s
Fair Deal legislation; furthermore,
they passed the anti-labor Taft-
Hartley Act over Truman’s veto. Re-
publican support for some reform
legislation, including the extension
of social security, increases in mini-
mum wage, and a housing bill, was
not satisfactory to the Democrats,
who objected to the diluted versions
of these bills which were enacted.
In the arena of foreign affairs Con-
gress gave bipartisan support to aid
in Greece and Turkey, the Marshall
Plan for rebuilding Europe, and the
National Security Act.

Douglas on the balcony of her home
in the 1940s.
Liberal groups clamored for Douglas's attention, and she played a leading role in the futile attempts to buck the Republicans. She stepped up her speaking schedule, addressing a wide range of issues in an effort to reduce voter apathy.

Douglas considered inflation to be the most pressing domestic problem and high rents and the lack of inexpensive houses on the market to be its principal cause. She did not, however, ignore other contributing factors. Her most dramatic and memorable speech in the 80th Congress addressed the rising costs of food. In March, 1947, she delivered a carefully prepared speech which she called her "Market Basket" speech. Her staff filled a basket of groceries which Douglas placed over her arm as she strode to the front of the chamber, announcing that she had just come from the "lowest-priced chain store in the city" located only three blocks from Congress. It took $15, she pointed out, to buy the same items that had cost $10 in June, 1946, shortly before the removal of price controls.35

Although Douglas viewed her fight for housing and against inflation as the most important contribution she could make to her district, she continued to seek federal funds for other district needs. She also responded to pressure from Bethune, Lybeck, and black district leaders to hire a black secretary. Douglas was enthusiastic about the idea despite the fact that the woman's salary would have to come from Douglas's personal funds. She had used up her staff funding and did not want to replace existing staff. She and Lybeck eventually agreed to hire Juanita Terry, the daughter of active campaign worker Jessie Terry.46

During the 80th Congress Douglas became more outspoken on women's issues, attacked the House Un-American Activities Committee (HUAC), and took strong positions on foreign policy issues. She urged the extension of social security to cover more women and supported legislation for equal pay for equal work. She opposed Equal Rights Amendment efforts, as did all pro-labor legislators who feared that such an amendment would kill hard-earned special interest legislation favoring working women. Her opposition to the House Un-American Activities Committee focused on its mode of investigation, particularly its use of contempt citations during its 1947 investigations of Hollywood. In foreign affairs, she deviated from Truman in opposing aid to Greece and Turkey in 1947 (on the grounds that the aid should come from the United Nations), but she regularly spoke out in favor of the Marshall Plan and numerous related issues.

By early 1948, Douglas not only had blacks solidly behind her but labor as well. She had worked more closely with labor union leaders during the 80th Congress and had campaigned against the Taft-Hartley bill. Labor unions and liberal magazines like the Nation and the New Republic gave her top ratings for her voting record. As labor stepped up efforts to influence the 1948 elections, she stood to benefit from the unions' careful political organization. Nevertheless, Douglas entered the campaign facing two unknown quantities — how hard the Republican National Committee would work to defeat her and how much support Henry Wallace's newly-organized Independent Progressive Party (IPP) would draw.47 Neither threat hurt her primary campaign. In September, the IPP candidate withdrew from the race, although Wallace and local IPP
supporters gave Douglas only lukewarm support. Campaigners for her Republican opponent, William Braden, once again raised red-baiting issues. "Doctors for Braden," for example, implied that Douglas was part of the left-wing influences in Congress. Braden also played up her fifteen congressional district residence—referring to her as the Hollywood representative who lived in the "hotsy-totsy area of Hollywood" as compared to the "modest home of our good neighbor and friend" Braden in the fourteenth district. Lybeck responded with widely-distributed speeches and pamphlets tailored to different constituencies. Despite Braden's attacks, Douglas took each assembly district, even the conservative fifty-fifth. Her vote of approximately 88,000 to Braden's 43,000 surprised even Douglas.49

In January, 1949, at the opening of the 81st Congress, Douglas enjoyed enormous popularity in the eyes of labor, blacks, Jews and other minority groups, and civil libertarians. Many of her supporters agreed with Douglas's somewhat egotistical evaluation of herself as possibly the most conscientious member of Congress. She saw herself as a "people's representative" fighting for America's working class. She had grown accustomed to letters telling her that she was only hope left for America. Organizations frequently presented her with laudatory awards and citations, reinforcing her self-image. Local, state, and national Democratic Party organizations as well as liberal special interest groups regarded her as an unusually persuasive public speaker as indicated by the constant stream of speaking invitations that came into her office.49

At the same time that she had built herself a national support group, Douglas had gained the confidence of a broad majority of her constituents. The vast majority in her district, low income and poorly housed, agreed with her position on veterans, housing, unemployment, inflation, and civil rights. In addition, her forthright stand in favor of Israel and her willingness to defend the civil liberties of accused Communists attracted local support, both volunteer hours and financial contributions, among the more elite pressure groups including Jewish associations, Hollywood political groups, and university faculty. She also generated loyal and enthusiastic support among her key group of core campaign workers.50

When Douglas announced late in 1949 that she intended to run for the United States Senate, she found that many of her supporters were dismayed and concerned. Many who believed she could continue as long as she wished to represent the fourteenth district did not think she had much of a chance to win a statewide race. Lybeck, for example, knew she did not have the statewide political base or the experience to run for the Senate. Further, while her political views suited her district, they did not reflect majority opinion in the state. Another long-term backer took a
trip up and down the state in late 1949 to sound out support. He came back discouraged and urged Douglas not to run. But she remained undaunted.41

Douglas explained her decision to run by her intense dislike for the aging incumbent, Sheridan Downey. Although Downey had enjoyed liberal support in the late 1930s and early 1940s, he had become progressively more conservative after the war. Douglas believed Downey’s vulnerability lay in his opposition to the 160-acre limitation on water usage, and she justified her entry into the race on this issue alone. As she told Malone, still a political power in the party, the issue was not going to the Senate but prohibiting Downey from destroying “a program that is essential to the wellbeing of the West Coast.”42 When Douglas entered the race, however, she quickly broadened the issues. She portrayed herself as representing the lower- and lower-middle-income people of California—veterans, small farmers, women, blacks, ethnics and small businessmen—and Downey as favoring the big farmer, private utilities, oil, and big business.

Despite Downey’s strong corporate support, Douglas made him nervous. His health was failing as well. At the end of February, rumors circulated that he planned to back out. A month later he formally withdrew, throwing his campaign support behind Manchester Boddy, the editor of the liberal Los Angeles Daily News who had provided critical support to Douglas during her first two terms. Boddy took Douglas and many Democrats aback when he not only made clear that his views suddenly reflected Downey’s but also turned to red baiting as the key to his campaign strategy. Although Douglas’s reason for entering the race had vanished, her enthusiasm for winning did not.

As she had before, Douglas campaigned strictly on issues. She cited her continuous support for Truman’s Fair Deal program. She also pointed out that she believed she had played an instrumental role in the refining of foreign policy as the fourth-ranking member of the House Foreign Relations Committee. She insisted that while she opposed HUAC, she hated communism as she had explained in her “Democratic Credo.” Douglas also emphasized her support for federal rather than state control of tidelands oil reserves.

Douglas’s views cut her off from the major funding sources. The oil industry, big business, and corporate farmers all backed Boddy. Although the Democratic party could not formally take sides during the primary, Boddy enjoyed the informal support of its power structure. None of this bothered Douglas; she had never sought corporate support but had claimed it would compromise her voting. Many union friends offered considerable assistance, although labor could not play a formal role in the spring election. Douglas also got help throughout the state from ethnic groups, academics, Jews, farmers, blacks, and liberal women’s groups. Eleanor Roosevelt conducted a major fundraising effort in her behalf, and many Hollywood friends offered time and money. Conservative Democratic women found Douglas’s views, particularly her stand against the Equal Rights Amendment, distasteful.

Despite Boddy’s financial edge
and his potentially devastating allegations that Douglas had Communist sympathies, Douglas won the primary by a comfortable margin; her 730,000 votes were nearly double Boddy's. She won for several reasons. Boddy did not have as effective a campaign organization as Douglas, who took advantage of her contacts from Women's Division days to set up strong offices in each county. Boddy's late entry in the campaign and sudden conservative turnaround after years of state-wide reputation as the well-respected editor of a liberal paper cost him votes. Nor did he have Douglas's charismatic appeal as a speaker and ability to articulate issues clearly.53

But Douglas's victory did not bode well for the fall. Congressman Richard M. Nixon, the leading Republican senatorial candidate, cross-filed on the Democratic ticket with the hope that he might pull conservative Democrats away from Boddy, since their political positions were similar. Nixon and his campaign strategist Murray Chotiner viewed Douglas as a far less threatening candidate than Boddy for the fall campaign. Nixon won more than 300,000 Democratic votes, which boosted his total over the million mark. If he could take most of Boddy's votes in the fall, he had an easy edge over Douglas.54

A member of the House since 1946, Nixon had attained significant national visibility as a member of HUAC, particularly in the committee's investigation of Alger Hiss and as the co-sponsor of the Mundt-Nixon Communist control bill. Nixon and Douglas, as members of the southern California congressional delegation, had shared concerns over nonpartisan issues, but Douglas had developed considerable antipathy towards HUAC committee members, particularly Nixon. In 1946 he and Chotiner had conducted what Douglas and many others considered a ruthless red-baiting campaign against New Dealer Jerry Voorhis, Douglas's close friend and colleague.

Over the summer, Nixon and Chotiner decided to follow Boddy's lead and concentrate on Douglas's vulnerability on the issue of "red-blooded Americanism." Both domestic and foreign events fed this decision. Americans were up in arms about the so-called fall of China to communism, blaming it on Truman's incompetence. In early 1950 United States Senator Joseph McCarthy embarked on his search for American Communists. McCarthy's "revelations" heightened irrational fears about internal security and resulted in a bipartisan Congress passing the Internal Security Act over Truman's veto with Douglas one of the few voting against the bill. In June, 1950, the Korean War began when Americans aided the South Koreans in their struggle against invading communist troops from North Korea. All these events made Nixon's dubbing of Douglas as the "Pink Lady" an effective device.

Nixon won the election by a margin of 2,200,000 to 1,500,000. Most commentators credited the victory to what they called a dirty and ruthless "red smear" campaign.55 Other leading liberals who lost in red-baiting races that year included Senator Claude Pepper in the primary and senators John Carroll and Elbert Thomas, Senate majority leader Scott Lucas, and several other House members in the fall. Even without the "dirty campaign" issue, however, it seems clear that Nixon still had an edge on Douglas. Republicans swept most of the statewide California races—Earl Warren, for example, easily beat Jimmy Roose-
veld for governor without raising the
cry of “Communist fellow-traveller.”
Nixon’s position on issues such as
taxation, government spending, labor, and farm policy more ac-
carately reflected the general senti-
ment of Californians. Nixon matched
Douglas’s skill as a speaker; though
their styles were different, Nixon
could work a crowd as effectively as
his opponent. The Republicans also
profited from a substantial financial
edge, particularly in the Nixon cam-
paign, and from poor Democratic
party organization. Nixon also had
the luxury of many “Democrats for
Nixon” campaign workers, many of
whom had initially backed Downey
and Boddy. One of the most effec-
tive organizers of this group was
George Creel, a prominent member
of Woodrow Wilson’s administra-
tions, who went beyond Nixon in
his red baiting. Finally, the fact that
California had not elected a woman
to high state-wide or national office
for twenty years was cause enough
for Douglas’s loss.

Although Douglas later denied it,
she believed into election night that
she would win, despite all evidence
to the contrary and the decline of
her support around the state. Once
the primary ended, her organization
tended to melt away because many
of those who viewed her as a more
attractive alternative than Nixon saw
her as a losing candidate and turned
away to work for others. Even the
numerous Washington luminaries,
including Vice-president Alben W.
Barkley and cabinet members Charles
P. Brannan, J. Howard McGrath, and
Oscar Chapman, who came to Cali-
fornia principally to support Doug-
las, could not change what seemed
a foregone conclusion.

Douglas had mixed feelings about
her Senate loss. Winning would
have thrust her into a very unusual
spot for a political woman, but she
also felt relieved. Although her mar-
riage was still intact, the previous
eight years had placed a strain on
Helen’s relationship with Melvyn
and the children. Melvyn had spent
three years in India during the war.
When he returned, he based himself
in Los Angeles, but he also toured
with several productions. The chil-
dren, after several months with
Helen in Washington at the begin-
ing of her first term in Congress,
atended boarding school in Los
Angeles. In 1950 Helen knew it was
critical to reassemble the family.
She and Melvyn decided to make
New York their home base as Melvyn
had decided he wanted to leave
movie production and return to the
theatre. Helen also wanted to begin
spending as much time as possible
in Vermont at the family home in
Fairlee.

Douglas never wielded significant
political power in Congress; she
could not make or break presidents
or legislation. Yet she stood out
among her colleagues as an idealist
who spoke and stood for goals that
more pragmatic politicians hesitated
to embrace. She had a magic as a
speaker, and her passionate appeals
gave hope to the citizens she re-
presented—not only those in her own
district but “little people” around
the country—that someone cared. It
is unlikely that there will ever be a
count of those for whom her inspira-
tion tipped the balance between
political involvement and apathy at
a grassroots level. But the outpour-
ing of expression which marked her
death suggests that Helen Gahagan
Douglas forged a durable legacy of
political principle.

See notes beginning on page 310.
The Italian painter Leonardo Barbieri has always seemed to be something of a phantom in the annals of California's art history. He arrived as if from nowhere in the late 1840s only to disappear a few years later. His legacy is an impressive series of portraits, the majority depicting members of prominent Californio families or Americans who married into those families. Over the years, various legends have circulated about Barbieri, few of which have been based on fact.

Generally, the story is told that the artist was brought to California from Mexico to paint the portraits of the delegates to the Constitutional Convention held in Monterey. After painting about a dozen portraits (none of which are of delegates to the Convention), he disappeared from the scene about 1853. Possibly he accompanied the French filibusterer Count Raousset-Boulbon on his ill-fated campaign to Sonora and met with the same fate as the count (Raousset-Boulbon was executed in 1854). As it now becomes apparent, not much of even this scant information is correct.¹

Recent research has revealed enough data to provide a clearer picture of Barbieri's life, although the story is still far from complete. The list of portraits done in California now contains over thirty names and includes members of the Carrillo, Castro, Estudillo, de la Guerra, and Pacheco families. Recently located and translated documents, including several letters from Barbieri to the Count de Monclar, shed new light on the artist and his activities.² What emerges is the story of an adventurous yet humble man who crossed three continents seeking, but never really finding, fame and fortune.

According to de Monclar, who knew Barbieri late in life, the artist was born in Savoy sometime around 1810.³ Many Italian artists have had the name Barbieri, and Leonardo is known to have had a brother who also studied art.⁴
The most famous artist with this surname was the 17th century Bolognese painter Giovanni Francesco Barbieri (1591 - 1666), called "Il Guercino." So far, however, no connection has been established between Leonardo and any of these other artists.

The Duchy of Savoy was ruled by Italians until the battle of Marengo in 1800 when Napoleon annexed Savoy and Nice directly into France. After Napoleon's decisive defeat at Waterloo, the Congress of Vienna ordered the two provinces to be returned to the Italian Kingdom of Sardinia in 1815. These confusing political events provided the context in which the young artist was raised and educated, perhaps feeling ties to both Italy and France. Certainly he was fluent in both French and Italian, and eventually Spanish as well.²

The barrier formed by the Alps between Savoy on the western slope and Italy to the east may have contributed to Barbieri's decision to study art in Lyon rather than one of the centers in Italy. Whatever his reason for going there, while in Lyon the artist suffered some personal disappointment or humiliation that was serious enough to make him want to leave Europe completely.² He departed for South America and by the fall of 1844 was recorded in Buenos Aires, Argentina, residing in the home of the Italian artist Sauveur Ottolenghi. *La Gaceta Mercantil* of October 14, 1844, announced that Barbieri made "portraits in oil in all sizes with complete resemblance to the model."³ Another announcement in March of 1845 mentions his ability to paint portraits, teach drawing, and produce "all types of paintings particularly of a religious nature for churches."³ How long Barbieri stayed in Argentina is not known, but de Monclar states that he also taught drawing at the university in La Paz, Bolivia, for a time. When word of the California gold strike reached him, however, Barbieri apparently left to seek new adventures.³
Like so many others, the artist discovered that gold was not so easily found as the extravagant stories would have it, and he soon returned to his easel to make a living. It has been claimed that Barbieri was commissioned to paint portraits of the forty-eight delegates to California’s Constitutional Convention held in Monterey in September and October of 1849 and that this was even his reason for coming to California. Since no portraits by Barbieri of any participant in this convention have ever been located or even recorded, it seems doubtful that such a commission was actually made.

The first reference to Barbieri in California is in the San Francisco newspaper, Alta California, December 31, 1849, which reported:

We visited the studio of Signor Leonardo Barbieri [sic], an Italian artist who has established himself in San Francisco and were much pleased with the evidence of his talent. He has on hand several portraits of residents of this place and some of them most excellent likenesses. Among them is one of Edward H. Harrison, Esq., ex-collector of this port, who leaves for the United States on the 1st proximo. The friends of Mr. H. solicited him to sit for his portrait and employed Sig. Barbieri to place him upon canvas. He has succeeded and the friends of Mr. H. will have an agreeable memento in their possession. We commend the artist to the patronage of the public.

Two additional notices in the same paper on January 2, 1850, state:

We would call attention to the advertisement of Sig. Leonardo Barbieri [sic] in another column, announcing his change of residence. We have already spoken in praise of the Signor’s abilities as an artist and
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commend him to the consideration of the public.

Signor Barbieri has changed his residence from the house of Mr. Pendergrast to the building known as the Lafayette Restaurant, up stairs, where he will be happy to receive calls.

So far, none of Barbieri's portraits from this early period have been located, and it may be that they fell victim to one of the fires that often ravaged San Francisco.

The earliest known dated portrait by Barbieri is his likeness of Rosario Estudillo Aguirre done in San Diego in 1850. Rosario's husband, José Antonio Aguirre, was a prosperous merchant and rancher. Aguirre is known to have been in San Francisco in 1849 on business with his partner William Heath Davis. Don Antonio must have seen some of Barbieri's work while in San Francisco and asked the artist to paint his wife. Aguirre returned to San Diego in 1850 with his partner Davis when they and four associates attempted to start a "New Town" closer to San Diego's bay.

The portrait of Rosario was probably painted in the Casa de Estudillo as the Aguirre home was not completed until at least a year later. According to family tradition, the artist stayed with the family and received $500.00 for his work. Doña Rosario is depicted as a lovely young lady of twenty-two, seated in an armchair and holding a fan. The artist has paid special attention to her costume and jewelry such as the long gold chain around her neck, bracelet set with a cameo, embroidered mitts, and rings. The sitter's face is animated by the slightest hint of a smile, making the portrait one of Barbieri's most charming.

Another portrait which must date to this same period is the apparently unsigned likeness of María de Jesús Estudillo, wife of William Heath Davis and cousin of Rosario Aguirre. Like Rosario, Doña María is depicted seated in an armchair and holding a fan. She
is wearing embroidered mitts identical to those worn by Rosario, and both paintings are exactly the same size. Davis was in San Diego in 1850, and it seems likely that he would have had his wife accompany him, since she had relatives in San Diego and was particularly close to Rosario. It is also possible that Doña María had been painted earlier in San Francisco, where Aguirre could have seen the painting of Rosario and been inspired to have his own wife portrayed.

While in San Diego, Barbieri may also have been the author of a bust-length portrait of Lt. Thomas “Fighting Tom” Sweeny. The lieutenant arrived from Monterey on April 8, 1849, and some time later quartered with the U.S. Army troops stationed at the San Diego Mission. Since he did not leave the area until October of the following year, he would have been in San Diego at the same time as the artist. After extensive recent conservation, this painting now appears to be stylistically close to other early portraits by Barbieri, the major difference being in the pose. Nearly all of the artist’s subjects are depicted three-quarter length, seated before a monochromatic background, with both hands showing. Lt. Sweeny had lost his right arm in the war with Mexico, and this may have been the reason for the smaller format. Shown in a dark blue uniform with high collar, epaulettes, and silver buttons against a dull-green background, the sitter displays a rosey-cheeked boyish face which belies the fact that he was a stern disciplinarian who was later court-martialed for use of excessive force in controlling his troops.

When next we hear of Signor Barbieri, he has set up a studio in the Carrillo Adobe in Santa Barbara for the three summer months of 1850. Although several portraits of Carrillo family members are known, the only one dated 1850 is the likeness of Don José Antonio Julián de la Guerra y Noriega, husband of María
Antonio Carrillo. Don José is depicted seated with one hand on the arm of his chair and the other tucked into his dark green jacket with black velvet trim. A small cap rests on his head. The portrait is firmly painted, and its stern facial expression is appropriate to this patriarch who was twice commandante of the Presidio of Santa Barbara.

At least two other Carrillo family portraits, neither of which is signed or dated, appear to belong to the summer of 1850. One of these depicts María Josepha Raymundo Castro y Romero, wife of Carlos Antonio Carrillo. She is shown wearing a close-fitting black hat and shawl fastened with a pin of clustered pearls, and her hands are clasped over a handkerchief in her lap. The second painting is a likeness of Judge Joaquin Carrillo, nephew of Carlos Antonio Carrillo and godson of José de la Guerra y Noriega.

Although he spoke no English, Joaquin was named first District Judge of Southern California in 1850 because of his fine record. His direct gaze and formal attire fortify his image as prominent judge and prosperous rancher.

A fourth Santa Barbara portrait which survives in fragmentary condition depicts Ramona de los Angeles Lorenzo. Although only the face, neck, and upper portion of the dress survive from Barbieri’s original, the treatment is very similar to the portraits of Rosario Aguirre and María Estudillo, indicating an early date. We can assume that the original followed Barbieri’s usual format of a three-quarter length figure seated with both hands showing. As it now exists, the remaining fragment has been inserted into a larger bust-length canvas and inpainted to diminish the damage. Ramona’s thin headband, jewel and teardrop earrings, and pearl necklace with pendant provide clues to the portrait’s original detail.

In the fall of 1850, the people of Santa Barbara asked Barbieri to paint Father José Gonzales Rubio of the Santa Barbara Mission. Rubio had arrived in California in 1833 and was initially as-
signed to Mission San José. In 1842 he was reassigned to Santa Barbara, where in addition to serving as parish priest he became in 1850 administrator of the Diocese and vicar general. Today the Mission Archives contain the original document, dated September 25, 1850, which lists the names of all the donors who contributed toward the $300.00 Barbieri asked for the portrait. On this list are the names of at least four people who also sat for Barbieri portraits.13

Father Rubio is depicted in the habit and tonsure of the Franciscan order. He is seated at a cloth-covered table holding a quill pen in his beautifully painted right hand. His left hand rests on an open book, the spine of which is inscribed with the artist’s signature. The priest's rich brown eyes are full of intensity and compassion. In the lower corner is the painted inscription in Spanish:

_The Most Illustrious Father José María de Jesús Gonzales Rubio of the Franciscan Order, Ruler of the Diocese of Both Californias, which the people of Santa Barbara had painted in testimony of their warm affection and public appreciation and which is to be preserved as a precious memorial of his eminent virtues and as a grateful memento of his unquenchable charity towards the poor and his love for everyone._14

No Barbieri portraits dated 1851 have come to light, and the artist drops from view for over a year. Some sources claim that Barbieri painted in Sonoma, but so far no works have been located so dates cannot be checked.15 It seems likely that he returned to the San Francisco Bay area, for a signed portrait of General Guillermo Castro dated 1852 exists; it may have been started late in 1851.

Castro owned the extensive Rancho San Lorenzo Alto in the valley north of Mission San Jose now occupied by the city of Hayward. Besides his career as soldier and rancher, Castro was also a judge, politician, and, unfortunately, gambler. We can assume that the portrait was painted early in 1852, because
in that year Castro lost most of his fortune in a high-stakes card game. Seated in a blue jacket holding a cigar, Castro displays soft, almost sad, eyes accentuated by a long aristocratic nose and generous sideburns.

A letter from Henry Halleck in San Francisco to Pablo de la Guerra in Santa Barbara dated January 29, 1852, states:

"Mr. Barbieri has this morning paid the sum of fifty dollars on your Note and Mr. Joe Thompson has promised to pay the other hundred as soon as he is informed that Mr. Barbieri has painted a portrait of Don Carlos Carrillo. Mr. B goes down in the steamer today and is the bearer of this."  

The nature of Barbieri's debt to de la Guerra is not specified in this or subsequent correspondence, but it was completely paid by March 17. We do know from this letter that by early February Barbieri must have been back in Santa Barbara to paint the portrait of Carlos Antonio Carrillo, whose wife he had already depicted. Don Carlos was a highly regarded scholar and politician, holding the office of Governor of California in 1837. His fancy black hat and jacket stand out against the dull red background, and the pleated shirt, generous sideburns, and cigar-ette held in his right hand add a distinguished air to this portrait, painted in the last year of the sitter's life.

Barbieri spent the remainder of 1852 in Monterey, where he produced a remarkable number of portraits. Although several of these are undated, they were probably all painted in 1852 or early in 1853. All but two of the Monterey portraits presently known fall into four family groups. Apparently, as was the case in San Diego and Santa Barbara, Barbieri took up residence with a family and produced portraits of several of its members.

One family which was the subject of four presently known portraits is the Munras/McKee family. It seems likely that other portraits from this family may once have existed, as the 1850 census shows that the household con-
sisted of thirteen people. Catalina Manzanelli de Munras was the wife of Esteban Carlos Munras, patriarch of the family, who had died in 1850. Doña Catalina was portrayed seated with hands folded in her lap, her right hand holding a white handkerchief. The severity of her black dress and black lace shawl are set off by a string of cut jet beads, gold filigree earrings, and several gold finger rings with diamonds and pearls.

Dr. William McKee, who had an office on Alvarado Street in Monterey, married Concepcion Munras, eldest daughter of Catalina and Esteban Munras, and lived with the Munras family. The companion portraits of the McKees can now be seen in the Munras room at Mission San Carlos Borromeo in Carmel. Both subjects are seated, she facing toward the right, he toward the left. Concepcion, wearing a long gold chain around her neck, is holding a book in her right hand; her left arm rests on a wooden shelf. William is seated at a table writing with a quill pen, and his left hand holds a cigar.

The McKees had two children, and there is a portrait of Roberto McKee. Barbieri’s only known painting of a child, it is unusual for several reasons. The corners of the canvas have been painted to give the appearance of an oval mat. It is also the only known Barbieri work where the subject is shown standing and outdoors; a vague hilly landscape can be seen in the background. Young Roberto is dressed in a green outfit with red braid trim and a white collar with ruffled edge. In his right hand the child holds a toy riding crop, while the left holds a green ribbon, presumably attached to a toy horse he is pulling.

A second group of Monterey portraits centers around the Amesti family. Out of what may have originally been five or six paintings, three survive. José Amesti was a prominent merchant and rancher whose two-story adobe is a Monterey landmark, now owned by the National Trust for Historic Preserv-
tion. Although the paintings are currently not available for viewing, photographs taken while they were on loan to the Old Custom House in Monterey many years ago reveal the likenesses of Don José, his wife Prudencianna, daughter of Ignacio Vallejo, and their daughter Celedonia Amesti de Arano.18

The companion portraits of Don José and Prudencianna are penetrating character studies, remarkable for their simplicity. With hands resting on his legs, José engages the viewer with an open gaze and a slight smile beneath his long Spanish nose. One can sense the presence of a shrewd businessman. Prudencianna's face, on the other hand, appears tired and care-worn. She looks uncomfortable sitting on the side of a chair with her left arm resting on the chair back and her right hand holding a handkerchief in her lap. Prudencianna barely survived the birth of her first child, and for the remainder of her life suffered from a hunched back. Certainly sitting for the portrait must have been an ordeal, and Barbieri has masterfully captured her inner strength.

Celedonia's portrait appears from the photograph to survive in poor condition. She is seated facing toward the left, her right hand resting on an open book, a string of beads around her neck. The hint of a smile perhaps reflects her recent marriage to Francisco Arano. It has been reported that Barbieri also painted the Amestis' other daughters, Carmen, Epitacia (Santa), and Tomasa Madariga, the orphaned child of Prudencianna's sister, who had been adopted by the Amestis. These paintings were in such poor condition that they were discarded many years ago.19

The largest surviving group of family portraits by Barbieri are the seven he painted for the Pacheco/Malarin family. Six of the seven were dated, five to 1852 and one to 1853, indicating that they were probably begun in the later months of 1852. Francisco Pérez Pacheco was one of the region's weal-
thiest rancheros. Don Francisco is seated dressed in a black jacket and tan vest, his right hand on his leg and his left resting on a small table holding a book with green binding and gold decoration. His wife, Feliciana Gonzáles y Torres Pacheco, is seated with hands folded in her lap and, like the other family matriarchs depicted by Barbieri, she holds a white handkerchief. A double string of pearls is partially hidden by a figured black net collar, and she wears a gold pin and rings as well as a small black cap.

Don Francisco and Doña Feliciana had eight children, three of whom survived childhood to be painted by Barbieri. Juan Pérez Pacheco was the owner of Rancho San Luis Gonzaga. Seated with his left arm resting on the back of a chair and his right hand on his leg, Juan is dressed in a white shirt with black jacket, vest and tie. His ruddy, handsome face, with piercing brown eyes, is framed by curly hair and chin whiskers. His sister, María Encarnación Teodora Pacheco, is seated in a red velvet dress with embroidered white net collar and cuffs.

A second daughter, María Isidora (Lola) Pacheco, married Joseph Mariano Pablo Malarín, a wealthy rancher who served as judge, coroner, supervisor and member of the assembly. Isadora wears a purple velvet dress with white sleeves and white lace collar and cuffs. Her right arm rests on a small table, and in her left hand she holds a piece of sheet music inscribed with the artist's signature and date. Barbieri's eye for realism carried even to the slight shadow of a moustache on the sitter's upper lip. Mariano's right arm rests on a table draped in blue that is stacked with books and a mounted globe, perhaps indicating his education in Peru. For some unknown reason, Barbieri has painted a trompe-l'oeil fly on the sitter's right hand.20

The final portrait in this group depicts Mariano's mother, María Josepha Joaquina Estrada Malarín, and is dated 1853. Doña Josepha was the wife of
Juan Malarin who had died in 1849. Her plump face is framed by two pairs of perfect log-curls and a small cap, with a string of pearls around her neck. She is wearing an elaborately pleated black silk dress with black net sleeves. Both hands are in her lap, her left hand holding some white flowers.

Apparently it was also in 1853 that Barbieri painted the portraits of William E.P. Hartnell, grantee of Alisal Rancho and first inspector of the Custom House in Monterey, and his wife, Teresa de la Guerra Hartnell. Barbieri had painted Doña Teresa’s father, José de la Guerra y Noriega, in Santa Barbara in 1850. Doña Teresa appears wrapped in black satin wearing a small cap and string of pearls, her arms crossed in her lap. William rests the knuckles of his left hand against his leg while his right hand, resting on a table, grasps a scroll of paper.

Two isolated portraits also belong to Barbieri’s Monterey period and should be mentioned here. One of these was the likeness of María Ignacia Bonifacio dressed in a red velvet gown. María was the daughter of Juan B. Bonifacio who, before his death in the 1830s, had been employed by William Hartnell. Sadly for today’s art historians, at the sitter’s request, the painting was unframed and placed in her coffin when she was buried in 1916. The second portrait has the distinction of being the only known Barbieri portrait of an Anglo woman. Born in England, Mrs. Jane Bushton Allen came to Monterey via Australia in 1850. In order to support her children from two marriages, she operated a boarding house, and it is probable that Barbieri painted her portrait in exchange for room and board. Dressed in black with her right arm resting on a draped table, Jane, her hair pulled tightly back in the fashion of the time, gazes out with a look of firm determination.

After finishing the portrait commissions in Monterey, Barbieri may have returned to San Francisco before leaving California forever. Why he left is not
known, but by July of 1853 he had taken a steamer to Acapulco.23 From there he traveled to Mexico City in the company of Count Gaston de Raousset-Boulbon.

Whether Barbieri had known Raousset-Boulbon in San Francisco is uncertain, but by the time they reached Mexico City, they were good friends. Both men stayed at the same hotel, La Grande Sociedad, and the two Europeans shared artistic interests.24

In the last months of 1853, Barbieri painted a bust-length portrait of the count. Barbieri’s own description of Raousset-Boulbon best describes the image in this painting:

*His character was jovial; nevertheless you could feel something was wrong, a quiet sadness that on several occasions made me worry, and I used to ask him if I could help him, and he used to answer: I’m satiated of life, I have enjoyed too much in my youth ruining myself. I search for amusements, emotions and I don’t find them, everything is monotonous and repetitious.*

Barbieri admirably succeeded in capturing this complex personality, even though he later wrote:

*How could I, a simple peasant [serrano], express his character with my weak brush? Only a genius such as Titian or Raphael could have managed . . .*

Mexico was still in chaos from the war with the United States. The Americans had failed to prevent the incursions of raiding Apaches into Sonora as promised, and customs revenues had been greatly reduced by smuggling.

The Mexican government’s precarious financial situation resulted in almost total abandonment of protection for the northern frontier. Raousset-Boulbon developed a plan to settle Sonora in exchange for sharing mineral rights in the gold-rich state, but president Antonio López de Santa Ana was becoming suspicious of the French and began to stall in accepting Raousset-Boulbon’s plan. Angered by what he felt was a broken agreement, Raousset-Boulbon and his men set out for Guaymas, the
port of Sonora, where on July 13, 1854, they staged an ill-fated attack. Called a hero by the French and a pirate by the Mexicans, Raousset-Boulbon was taken prisoner and executed on August 13, 1854.27

Although de Monclar later referred to Barbieri as a lieutenant in Raousset-Boulbon's petit armée, it is not known if the artist was actually with Raousset-Boulbon at Guaymas. In January, 1854, José Abrego of Monterey wrote to Pablo de la Guerra in Santa Barbara, mentioning that Malarin had received a letter from Barbieri who was in Acapulco at the time.28 Due to the unstable political and economic situation in Mexico, Barbieri probably did not remain long in that country, and if he was indeed with Raousset-Boulbon at Guaymas, he may have been forced to leave.

Barbieri's lean trail of clues next places him in Peru, where a drawing from his hand of the Andes Mountains is dated May 18, 1856.29 By January, 1860, he had opened an academy of painting and drawing, the only one of its kind in Lima. There was no tuition for the poor, and those who could afford it were charged ten pesos a month.30 Barbieri's first students were all non-paying, but this did not discourage him. He even approached the government with a plan to start a Free Public Academy.31

In order to kindle some interest in the arts and call attention to the artists of Peru, Barbieri proposed a collective exhibition of paintings, the first ever to be held in Lima. Although he received little encouragement from official sources, Barbieri was allowed to use two leaky rooms in the ancient convent of San Pedro. Assisted by the Peruvian artists Montero and Loso, Barbieri was able to bring together fifty-eight paintings and numerous objects of local craftsmanship. The exhibit opened on August 7, 1860, and remained on view for twenty days, receiving excellent reviews. Barbieri exhibited nine of his own portraits, including one of his black servant, shown cleaning brushes
and preparing colors, which was considered to be particularly excellent. 32

Barbieri apparently stayed in Peru for more than ten years, teaching and painting portraits to support himself. Among his students were the Peruvian artists Federico del Campo (1837-1914), José Effio (1840-1900?), and Daniel Hernández (1856-1932), who took charge of Barbieri's classes when the artist returned to Europe. 33 In 1863, Barbieri became a professor of drawing at the Colegio Nacional de Nuestra Señora de Guadalupe. 34 It was while he was in Peru that Barbieri met the Count de Monclar, who was attached to the French Embassy in Lima. In later correspondence, Barbieri refers to Monclar's wife as his "friend and pupil," so she must have taken instruction from him at some time. 35

Barbieri's dream of a municipal school finally became a reality about 1870, and he was named Head Director and given authority to appoint professors. 36 Unfortunately, it came too late. From Barbieri's letters we learn that his final years in Peru were marred by poverty and ill health. In total discouragement, he wrote:

... the present is the most pitiful situation I've ever lived in my life, poor, with miserable revenue, and so you see me without servants, without furniture, without work and without talent.

He continued:

... I'm only waiting for the money in order to make the trip to regain my health, waiting for months in the same condition, vegetating, suffering and my illness getting worse ... 37

And, finally:

... I, completely lost physically and morally, I only want to put an end to this mournful life because there is no longer help for me anymore, my life doesn't belong to me. 38

It appears from the surviving correspondence that both Barbieri and de Monclar returned to France in 1871. France at this time was in the midst of a major political crisis that saw its defeat at the hands of the Prussians, the loss of Alsace-Lorraine, and the birth of the Third Republic. A letter from Barbieri to Madame de Monclar dated February 17, 1871, inquires about her father in Paris and asks if the "damned Prussians" respected their houses.

The Barbieri letters are somewhat confusing, parts of them written in Spanish, French, and Italian, because, as he wrote, "I like the variety, even in the furniture!!!" Two final letters are dated December 21 and 29, 1871, the latter sent from Paris. In them, Barbieri stated that his health had returned, and that every day he was growing a bit more corpulent (panciuto; literally "big-bellied"). He also mentions his intention to leave for Frouthignon after the first of the year. Barbieri's brother had married the daughter of a businessman at Frouthignon, and de Monclar records that Barbieri stayed with the couple for "some months" before returning to die in his native village in Savoy. Presumably he died there some time in 1872 or 1873.

Leonardo Barbieri was one of the first professionally trained artists to produce a significant body of work in California. 39 Although he was in California for less than four years, Barbieri's portraits are almost the only contemporary visual record, by an artist possessing both insight and ability, of the players in one of the most dramatic periods of California's history. Not only did he capture the features of his subjects with realism and compassion, without stooping to flattery, he also preserved a wealth of important details of costume, jewelry, furniture, hair styles, and even mourning customs, since many of his sitters were recently widowed and dressed in black mourning clothes. His ability to grasp and portray the inner character of his sitters is ably demonstrated by the penetrating likenesses of Father Rubio, Juan Pacheco, José and Prudenciana Amesti, and Count Raoussset-Boulbon, among others. Perhaps even more remarkable, of the nearly thirty portraits presently known to have been painted by Barbieri, there is not a single one with an unidentified sitter. Such care indicates that his work was revered by the descendants of those portrayed for many generations. 40

Known Portraits by (or here attributed to) Leonardo Barbieri
Note: All dimensions are in inches, height x width.

Edward H. Harrison
present location unknown
Rosario Estudillo Aguirre (1828 - 1895) oil on fabric, 32 x 26 signed and dated 1850 private collection, Lompoc, California illustrated in:
Exhibition of Historic Art, catalogue, California Centennial Celebration, San Diego, 1950
Mary Haggland "Don Jose Antonio Aguirre: Spanish Merchant and Ranchero"
Journal of San Diego History, XXIX: 1 (Winter, 1983), 58
María de Jesús Estudillo (1829 - 1906) oil on fabric, 32 x 26 The Bancroft Library, Berkeley, California illustrated in:
Exhibition of Historic Art, catalogue, California Centennial Celebration, San Diego, 1950
Edwin A. Beilharz, San Jose, California's First City (San Jose: Continental Heritage Press, 1980), p. 35
Lt. Thomas W. Sweeney (1820 - 1892) oil on fabric, 26 x 22 San Diego Historical Society illustrated in:
Norman Neuber, and Iris W. Engstrand Early California Reflections catalogue #112, San Juan Capistrano Regional Library, 1986
José de la Guerra y Noriega (1779 - 1858) oil on fabric, 34 x 25 signed and dated 1850 Santa Barbara Historical Society illustrated in:
Fr. Joseph A. Thompson, O.F.M. El Gran Capitan, (Los Angeles: Franciscan Fathers of California
Munras oil

Borromeo private

Mission approximately 1904)

Jaquin Ramona Maria


Thompson, El Gran Capitan, facing page 218

Guillermo Castro (b. 1810) oil on fabric, 32 x 26

signed and dated 1852

Hayward Area Historical Society

Carlos Antonio Carrillo (1783 - 1852) oil on fabric, 34 x 26

signed

Santa Barbara Historical Society

illustrated in:

Noticias, IX: 2 (Summer, 1963), 25

Catalina Manzanelli Munras (1798 - 1894) oil on fabric, 34 x 22

private collection, Dublin, Ohio

Dr. William McKee oil on fabric, 39 x 33

Munras Room, Mission San Carlos Borromeo

Concepcion Munras McKee (1823 - 1914) oil on fabric, 39 x 33

Munras Room, Mission San Carlos Borromeo

Roberto McKee (b. ca. 1848)
oil on fabric, 24 x 29

private collection, Dublin, Ohio

Jose Galo Amesti (1788 - 1855) present location unknown

Prudencia Vallneo Amesti (1805 - 1883) present location unknown

illustrated in:

Antiques Magazine, November, 1953: 37

Celedonia Amesti Arano (1828 - 1915) present location unknown

Francesco Perez Pacheco (1790 - 1860) oil on fabric, 40 x 32

signed and dated 1852

de Saisset Museum, University of Santa Clara

illustrated in:

Albert Shumate, Mariano Malarin: A Life that Spanned Two Cultures (Cupertino: California History Center, 1980), p. 3

Albert Shumate, Francisco Pacheco of Pacheco Pass, (Stockton: University of the Pacific, 1977), facing title page

Feliciana Gonzlez y Torres Pacheco (ca. 1798 - 1857) oil on fabric, 40 x 32

signed and dated 1852

de Saisset Museum, University of Santa Clara

illustrated in:

Shumate, Francisco Pacheco of Pacheco Pass, facing page 1

Juan Pdez Pacheco (1823 - 1855) oil on fabric, 40 x 32

signed and dated 1852

de Saisset Museum, University of Santa Clara

Maria Encarnacion Teodora Pacheco (1826 - 1857) oil on fabric, 40 x 32

signed and dated 1852

de Saisset Museum, University of Santa Clara

Maria Isidora (Lola) Pacheco Malarin (1829 - 1892) oil on fabric, 40 x 32

signed and dated 1852

de Saisset Museum, University of Santa Clara

illustrated in:

Shumate, Francisco Pacheco of Pacheco Pass, facing page 28

Joseph Mariano Pablo Malarin (1827 - 1895) oil on fabric, 40 x 32

signed and dated 1852

de Saisset Museum, University of Santa Clara

illustrated in:

Shumate, Francisco Pacheco of Pacheco Pass, facing page 14

Maria Josepha Joaquina Estrada Malarin (b. 1808) oil on fabric, 35 x 27

signed and dated 1853

de Saisset Museum, University of Santa Clara

William Edward Petty Hartnell (1798 - 1854) present location unknown

illustrated in:


Teresa de la Guerra Hartnell (b. 1809) present location unknown

illustrated in:

Dakin, The Lives of William Hartnell

Maria Ignacia Bonifacio (d.1916) painting buried with the sitter

Jane Bushton Allen (ca. 1813 - 1904) oil on fabric, 34 x 26

Casa Serrano, Monterey History and Art Association

illustrated in:

Monterey Herald, February 17, 1981

Count Gaston de Raousset-Boulbon (1817 - 1854) oil on fabric, 19 x 15

signed and dated 1853

California Historical Society

illustrated in:

Helen Broughall Metcalf The California French Filibusters in Sonora California Historical Society Quarterly, XVIII: 1 (March, 1939), 2

Museo Italo Americano Italian-American Artists in California 1850 to 1925, (San Francisco, no date).

Reports that Barbieri painted portraits of Francisco de Haro and family are probably erroneous as Don Francisco died in 1848.

See notes beginning on page 314.
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THE PHILANTHROPIST AND THE ARTIST

THE LETTERS OF

PHOEBE A. HEARST TO ORRIN M. PECK

Richard H. Peterson

In 1862, Phoebe Apperson Hearst was on her way to San Francisco as the young pregnant wife of millionaire miner and later United States Senator George Hearst. On the boat trip via Panama to California, she began a friendship with Mrs. David Peck and her young son Orrin. She was especially taken with the two-year-old boy, with whom she eventually developed a strong personal bond and lifelong correspondence. In effect, he became a member of the famous Hearst family.

Peck also became a respected California artist, especially in San Francisco. However, he lived, studied, and worked much of the time in Munich, Germany, where he earned a reputation as an accomplished portrait and landscape painter. When World War I began, Peck returned permanently to San Francisco. A rotund, good-natured friend of Phoebe's only child, newspaper magnate William Randolph Hearst, Peck was hired in 1920 to design the landscaping for the fabulous Hearst estate at San Simeon, California. His sudden death in 1921 denied him the opportunity to complete the project, but his portraits of George, Phoebe, and William Randolph and his son, George, remain as a legacy of his close personal and professional relationship with the Hearst family.

During the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, Peck and Mrs. Hearst kept in close communication. Indeed, she referred to herself on many occasions as his "Other Mother." Although the letters that she wrote contain references to such mundane matters as lost luggage, mutual friends, and shopping sprees, they also reveal much about the personal character, lifestyle, and philanthropic and cultural interests of one of California's most memorable women, who donated about $21 million to various individuals, charities, and educational institutions, many of which still bear her name. According to Gloria R. Lothrop, she is one of the women most frequently cited in California history. Yet, relatively little is known about her today and no scholarly biography has chronicled her public benefactions and personal activities. In a more general sense, the Hearst-Peck correspondence, including letters to Peck's sister and mother, at the Huntington Library and Art Gallery in California typically shows how the super-rich lived in the Gilded Age and Progressive era.

Standard behavior for America's wealthy in this period included the socially obligatory grand tour of Europe. As early as the 1870s, Phoebe took an extensive trip abroad, touring...
My dear other boy,

We arrived this afternoon about three o'clock, and I was much pleased to find a letter from you waiting for me.

The voyage was rough, but I am glad I went on it.

I am write this letter when I am a little tired. As I wake up able to get it into three or four lines when I have a letter to write.

You must write a letter or two from New York by this time.

Am very sorry you cannot be here for Christmas. I think that you could come on the Los Angeles route and I could all have a jolly good time there.

May your Xmas bring you many more friends.
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ing museums, cathedrals, and art galleries with her ten-year-old son. On still many other occasions she travelled the continent, including visits with Peck in Munich. This she had to do alone or with relatives and friends other than her husband. As she wrote in 1885, "Mr. Hearst will never cross the ocean with us. Even if he should, he would disapprove of all that we might enjoy." Unlike many American elites of the era, Phoebe toured and enjoyed India, Egypt, and the Orient and seemed especially intrigued by Japan, as indicated in a 1903 letter to Peck from the Kanaya Hotel in Nikko, a resort town and center for both Shinto and Buddhist pilgrims in central Honshu Island:

We came yesterday to this beautiful place among the mountains and expect to stay four days. I should like to stay a week at least but it is late in the season and the hotels close soon. The scenery is fine, and the autumn foliage wonderful, especially the maple is at its best. The little Japanese Princesses looked very small in European dress. They wore wonderful gowns and jewels. One was extremely pretty but it is dreadful that they should not dress in their own exquisite costumes. The Prince and high officials were gorgeous in gold embroidered coats and many decorations.  

The materialistic Mrs. Hearst was duly impressed with the embellishments of costume and dress. But she was also open-minded enough to appreciate the merits of other countries and culture in an age when many Americans were not only deeply nationalistic, but strongly nativistic. In California, in particular, Japanese immigrants suffered much discrimination with opportunities for naturalization, land ownership, and even immigration to the United States eventually denied. Although Mrs. Hearst's positive perception may have been partially due to her association with local officials and elites rather than the working class abroad, including in Japan, she did not travel in a cocoon. After touring a poverty-stricken country like India, including teeming Bombay and Delhi, she wrote Orrin emphatically "that no description can do justice to the wonders of India."  

Despite some problems adjusting to unfamiliar living conditions, she even found roughing it in Egypt a worthy educational experience. In 1905, she wrote to Janet, Orrin's sister: "The air is very pure and good at the Pyramids and there is plenty of it. The views also are fine. It is most interesting to be there, but a bit uncomfortable at times. I don't at all mind living in mud and stone houses, but the lack of conveniences in such places are a little trying at times. However, it is all very good discipline and makes us appreciate home more than ever." From Cairo, in a letter to Orrin, she seemed ecstatic: "We saw a tomb opened where they found two statues. If you had seen me hanging over the edge of the place looking down to see the figures as they were uncovered, you might have thought it right to class me with excavators. I was more excited than any one." Notwithstanding some criticisms of foreign locations, it would certainly appear that
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Mrs. Hearst was a cultural relativist rather than an American chauvinist, and as such a woman ahead of her time. The world outside America did not appear to be culturally inferior in her eyes.

Like other wealthy women of America’s Gilded Age, Phoebe delighted in entertaining public officials and affluent individuals, and she played hostess to high society in California and especially in Washington, D.C., when her husband served in the United States Senate from 1886 until his death in 1891. She also relished attending social functions. For example, she noted that she tried to help a Washington friend put on a concert by taking “two boxes ($50.00)” and giving him “cards to some of the best people.” Abroad she found similar social opportunities and contacts among the elites. In 1903, she wrote to Orrin from Japan: “It is difficult to write many or long letters when travelling. It is doubly so if one goes in for a little society.”

Despite her extensive social and charitable interests, she always found time for precious private periods with her family at her hacienda estate in Pleasanton, thirty miles east of San Francisco. On one occasion, she wrote Orrin of the happiness her grandson provided: “Little George is a dear child. He and his Grandma Hearst are great friends. Wm (William Randolph) and Millicent (his wife) go east, but George will stay with me until Dec. and I hope, all winter and all next spring, summer and fall.” Although best remembered for her public services and reputation, she had a soft private side typical of doting grandmothers and mothers perhaps everywhere. In her own son’s case, she made available something approaching $10 million to support his newspaper career and empire. A complaining letter from Paris to Orrin in 1899 suggested, however, that William’s ambition tested even her generosity: Will is insisting upon buying a paper in Chicago. Says he will come over to see me if I do not go home very soon. It is impossible for me to throw away more money in any way for the simple reason that he has already absorbed almost all . . . . It is madness. I never know when or how Wm will break out into some additional expensive scheme . . . . no argument can induce me to commit such a folly as that of starting another newspaper.

Nonetheless, charity, even for the publicly generous and spirited Mrs. Hearst, began substantially at home. Insight into Phoebe’s personal character is offered by her devotion to children, which she did not reserve only for her grandson and son. The author received a letter from a seventy-nine-year-old California woman who related a childhood experience expressing Mrs. Hearst’s private thoughtfulness:

When I was eleven years old, I travelled by train from S.F. [San Francisco] across the continent with my parents. I think I slept in the upper berth above Mrs. Hearst. At any rate, daytimes she sat across the aisle from my parents and me. She was a charming lady to a little girl, asked me to sit with her, talked and played with me. At the end of our jour-

Artist Orrin Peck as a young man. Phoebe Hearst became a friend of his mother’s and took a special interest in Orrin when he was two. Eventually she contributed substantially to support the entire Peck family.

Phoebe Apperson Hearst.
ney, she said she would like to give me a present—but I must promise to use it always. Naturally, I said I would gladly accept her present. I was surprised, but very honored, when she gave me her name for my middle name. So I was Helena Phoebe Duryea until I married when I thought I should sign only my legal name.”  

This gesture appears to have been much more an act of caring than conceit. As with young Orrin Peck, Phoebe had a special rapport with and fondness for youth.

She also had varied cultural interests, many of which she pursued abroad. On one occasion, she wrote Janet about her eager anticipation at attending some notable European operatic and operatic performances: “[I] will be glad if you can engage seats for the performances. I will enclose a list. If the seats are not all together it will not matter.”  

For Phoebe, the cultural experience seemed temporarily to take precedence over the close and good company of fine friends. On another occasion she informed Orrin that she had “been to the Luxembourg [Palace and Gardens] and three times to the Louvre—each day spending two hours.”

Despite her fascination with foreign travel and culture, she still loved California and had a great stake in its educational future as the first female regent of the University of California from 1897 until her death in 1919. She was a major financial donor to students, free kindergartens, libraries, hospitals, orphanages, and, of course, university facilities, including the Hearst Memorial Mining Building, the Museum and Department of Anthropology, the women’s social and athletic center known as Hearst Hall, and a comprehensive campus architectural plan at Berkeley, among many other gifts. However, in 1904, she cut back support for some university programs, including the Hearst Domestic Industries (a work-study experimental program) and some women’s clubs and found herself the target of criticism as well as praise from the local press. Perhaps the consensus was best expressed by the Oakland Tribune, June 9, 1904:

She is under no obligation to give her money to the University or to anything or anybody else. But her very generosity has made her subject to comment, which, if not openly critical, is at least covertly invidious. Other rich people who have given nothing at all are exempt from this invidious comment. Never was there a more flagrant instance of looking a gift horse in the mouth and complaining of its points. A sense of decency and propriety should stifle further comment on Mrs. Hearst’s refusal to contribute further to this or that benefaction or enterprise. Her privacy should be respected even if her generosity is indifferently appreciated.

In 1905, she wrote Janet from her beloved retreat Wyntoon on the Mcloud River in northern California: My special reason for going to town [San Francisco] is to attend a very important meeting of the [University of California] Regents. I will return here on the 10th and stay until the last of the month. I should like to stay until October. This country is so beautiful. It has been a joy to live among the pines and near the river that I really love. The air is perfect. I am thankful that my home is in California.”
Even one who had travelled the world, experienced the culture and met the officials of cosmopolitan European cities and exotic countries, could delight in the pleasure of life amongst the pines, albeit in a rubblestone castle with servants to attend her every need. Perhaps, Wyntoon, though infrequently used, offered a kind of physical and emotional renewal from an engaging social and public life.

Not surprisingly, Phoebe was very vigilant when hiring those who waited on or served her, whether at Wyntoon or elsewhere. An interesting letter to Orrin’s mother in 1899, while Mrs. Hearst was in London, suggests the need to research carefully a prospective employee’s credentials and character:

I am thinking of engaging a girl who is at present in London, to go to America as maid, she is a great friend of Mrs. [John] Spreckel’s maid who recommends her highly and she has a good letter from a family in Munich whose name and address I enclose, and will you please write at once in German asking Mrs. Allerstein [her apparent former employer] if she was thoroughly honest, good tempered and all particulars. She is a decent and rather bright looking girl but I am so afraid of taking anybody that I do not know about.

In an age known especially for its crass materialism and corruption, a wealthy widow such as Mrs. Hearst had to temper her goodwill with caution and discretion. Such were the concerns of the always vulnerable rich.

Her money, of course, was used for more meaningful altruistic purposes than the hiring of domestic help. Throughout her long life, Phoebe took an avid personal and financial interest in the education and careers of young and struggling but talented artists, writers, musicians, architects, and others. Orrin Peck was no exception. Like so many others she helped him receive instruction abroad. In fact, he was originally sent to Munich at Phoebe’s expense in appreciation for the kindness shown her by Peck’s mother on the trip to San Francisco years before. Not only did he paint portraits of the Hearst family, but she commissioned him to do her father and mother as well and apparently arranged for portraits of friends. On one occasion, she wrote “I hope he may receive orders for pictures. He takes over to SF a picture for Mr. Furry, ‘My Girl in a Pumpkin Patch’ is in the salon.” Yet, she admonished him: “Are you really doing lots of work? I don’t mean working one or two days and then doing none for a week or two. You may say or think that your O.M. [‘Other Mother’] should not doubt your doing work. I am anxious for your success. If you do not [sic] the lovely home cannot possibly be maintained. You must realize that.”

Phoebe Hearst and the Pecks traveled frequently in Europe and were particularly fond of Bavaria. Orrin Peck lived for years in Munich. According to an anonymous friend or relative who penciled notes on the back of many of Janet Peck’s family photographs, the castle of Ludwig, the “mad king” was a favorite excursion.

Orrin Peck did not travel as far or frequently as his benefactress, but various photographs depicting him in picturesque costumes indicate that he shared her taste for the exotic. This image is annotated, “Orrin Peck in his Chinese costume.”
It would appear that Mrs. Hearst was willing to pay for some of Peck's occupational and living expenses judging by a letter to "my dear other son": "I hope Wm [William Randolph Hearst] will arrive in Munich very soon. We will settle for all the studio chairs when I return, also pay your bill for clothes."\textsuperscript{36} Although Orrin Peck had a very special relationship with Phoebe, it was not unusual for the wealthy to patronize or subsidize the arts or to have themselves immortalized on canvas. In fact, Phoebe indicated a desire to have the famous American painter, John Singer Sargent, do her portrait. In a letter from Paris to Janet, she wrote:

Has Sargent returned to London yet? Wm told me that he wanted him to paint a portrait of me, also of him (Wm) and family. I suppose one must try to arrange with Sargent a year or more in advance. That is if he would do the work at all. If he should have time and be willing to paint a portrait of me, I could go to London anytime next Spring or Fall. I am not growing younger and do not care to wait very long.\textsuperscript{37}

Many members of the Gilded Age elite owned art collections which were usually more distinguished by their cost than by their quality. Phoebe made her extensive collection available for public viewing in 1916 at the Palace of Fine Arts in San Francisco.\textsuperscript{38} However, her fascination with art went beyond social custom to personal fulfillment and pleasure, with Orrin Peck as the favorite beneficiary of her genuine artistic interest.

In 1906, from Rome, the peripatetic Mrs. Hearst urged him to "hurry up, get ready some things for the Royal Academy and see where you can hold an exhibition of your work in the Spring."\textsuperscript{39} However, in the same letter she seemed insulted by his infrequent correspondence: "Have you been so very busy working on those pictures, that you could not send me a line. You don't paint in the evenings and there is an occasional dull light after five p.m.\textsuperscript{40} Several years earlier, regarding a possible New York exhibition of his work, Phoebe pleaded with Orrin: "Do come east soon. I miss you very much. You will surely have to come and help me give entertainments after your exhibition. . . . I shall expect a letter. You see I do not ask for much—a letter now and you later."\textsuperscript{41}

Obviously, Peck was not just another protégé. He was more like a surrogate son, perhaps the kind she wanted but never had. In a bitter letter to Orrin in 1885, she complained that Will "is selfish, indifferent and undemonstrative as his father. Both have their good qualities, but the other side of their natures are most trying."\textsuperscript{42} Certainly, some of her son's female companions displeased Phoebe. She anxiously wrote Mrs. Peck (Orrin's mother): "I am so distressed about Will that I don't really know how I can live if he marries Eleanor Calhoun. She is determined to marry him and it seems as if he must be in the toils of the Devilfish."\textsuperscript{43} The marriage never took place, but her son's fascination with then socially suspect dramatic actresses and theatre people like Miss Calhoun caused much consternation for the proper Mrs. Hearst.\textsuperscript{44}

Although Mrs. Hearst could be domineering toward Orrin, the Hearst-Peck correspondence reveals a deep personal bond between two friends, especially as expressed by
Phoebe. In addition, what emerges from the letters is the fact that Mrs. Hearst, particularly after 1900, was an extensive and expensive world traveller, when not plagued by periodic illness such as neuralgia or rheumatic fever. Rather than a member of the idle rich, she was nearly always on the move on sometimes uncomfortable steamer trips across the Atlantic and from city to city in Europe, particularly London, Paris, Rome, and Naples. Although she kept an apartment in Paris, it would be inappropriate to call her an expatriate, for she spent considerable time in California and Washington, D.C. But her letters to the Peck family are full of references to unidentified people undoubtedly of the European upper class. She was acquainted with such aristocrats as Baroness Franchetti in Paris and frequently met such Americans traveling abroad as various members of the famous Spreckels family. She obviously delighted in good friends, new experiences, and increased knowledge. At one time, she even stayed in a makeshift hospital tent to observe a University of California archaeological excavation she had financed in Egypt. In short, the sprightly Mrs. Hearst liked to be where the action was, and if nothing interesting was taking place, she was apt to create her own kind of excitement. Notwithstanding substantial self-indulgent travel, she always found time to help others. Free kindergartens, orphanages, libraries, hospitals, charity organizations, service clubs, struggling students, and especially the University of California at Berkeley benefitted from her willingness to give. Artist Orrin Peck is a revealing case study of her personal devotion and ample generosity toward others and her patronage of the arts throughout her life. In an age when American women were still handicapped by many Victorian taboos and traditions, Mrs. Hearst was a peripatetic philanthropist who dared to assert her interests and independence at home and abroad. Yet, her letters to the Peck family often suggest ambivalent behavior. Her self-centered materialistic flourish, social snobbery, and world travel were consistent with elitist activities of the Gilded Age. Nonetheless, her life, above all else, was a consummate act of public and private kindness and compassion typical of the heightened social consciousness of America's turn-of-the-century Progressive era. This was the paradox of Phoebe Hearst—a kind of self-indulgent altruism. In sum, she enjoyed herself, but she also enjoyed helping others, perhaps even more. Although not unique, her considerable charity substantially set her apart from many other wealthy women of her generation who, like women generally, are only now beginning to find their way deservedly into California history texts.

The author would like to thank the Henry E. Huntington Library and Art Gallery in San Marino, California, for permission to publish portions of letters from the Orrin M. Peck manuscript collection. See notes beginning on page 316.
TO THE SOURCE

The founding of labor-oriented archival programs in the 1950s and 1960s coincided with the rise of interest in social history and the study of the American labor movement and worker. Labor studies courses, such as those at San Francisco Community College, San Francisco State University, Merritt College, and San Jose State University; new publications such as Labor History; and the establishment of labor history societies, such as the San Francisco Bay Area Labor History Workshop, brought together historians, labor leaders, and rank-and-file trade unionists. With the help of archivists, these same people made considerable progress during this period in locating and preserving the historical documentation of working men and women and their unions.

Before 1950, archival repositories consisted mainly of public archives on the national and state levels. Beginning with the 1970s many new archival programs were established and some already in existence were expanded—especially those affiliated with colleges and universities. These archives branched out into new fields of study, often with a geographical area or region as their focal point of collecting. Others selected a subject theme around which to develop an archives not linked to spatial boundaries. The best examples of these subject-oriented programs are the Schlesinger Library on the History of Women in America (formerly The Women’s Archives) at Radcliffe College and the Archives of Labor and Urban Affairs (formerly the Labor Archives) at Wayne State University.

Since the opening at Wayne of a first-rate archival program devoted to labor, over a dozen others have been established across the country, including the Robert F. Wagner Labor Archives, a part of the Tamiment Institute Library at New York University; the Southern Labor Archives at Georgia State University; the Texas Labor Archives at the University of Texas, Arlington; the Pennsylvania Historical Collections and Labor Archives at Pennsylvania State University; the George Meany Memorial Archives at Silver Spring, Maryland, established by the AFL-CIO Executive Council; and the Labor Archives and Research Center at San Francisco State University, the newest library devoted exclusively to labor.

In addition to these theme-oriented...
The central role of "labor history" in all history is dramatically illustrated by this image of workers at the Lockheed Air Terminal in Los Angeles doing maintenance work on planes flying the Berlin Airlift in 1949.

ARCHIVAL COLLECTIONS FOR CALIFORNIA LABOR HISTORY

compiled by Lynn A. Bonfield
collections, other libraries with more general collecting policies began to search out and acquire labor related material. In fact, most college and university collections as well as historical societies became aware of the importance of material relating to working men and women.

In California, we are fortunate to have a wide range of these general collections which embrace labor topics. The University of California, Los Angeles, Stanford, and San Diego State University have the personal papers of individuals and the archives of organizations connected with labor. The California Historical Society has the Burnette Haskell papers as well as broadsides and photographs from the building trades and P.H. McCarthy's years as San Francisco mayor and head of the San Francisco Building Trades Council. Eighty oral histories with California labor leaders were conducted and transcripts with some supporting manuscript materials were deposited in the Society's library. The Sacramento History Center has a fine collection from the local typographical union to complement its strong newspaper archives. California labor unions, too, have maintained libraries through the years, often collecting their archives at the international and local level, such as the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union (ILWU) and the Sailor's Union of the Pacific (SUP), both in San Francisco.

Labor organizations and issues cross state boundaries, as do individual labor leaders and workers, so many materials relating to California are located outside of the state. The University of Oregon, Special Collections, for instance, has the papers of Morris Watson, longtime editor of the ILWU newspaper; the University of Washington's Manuscript and University Archives has the Inlandboatingmen's records, which include early material from the time when the union was simply the Ferryboatmen's Union of California. Although the union is now headquartered in Seattle, its records continue to reflect the California scene as well.

Going east, a researcher of California labor would want to visit the Western Historical Collections at the University of Colorado, Boulder, to see the Western Federation of Miners (WFM) and the International Union of Mine, Mill, and Smelter Workers (IUMMSW) collections. In Madison, Wisconsin, a researcher can identify collections using "A Guide to Labor Papers in the Historical Society of Wisconsin."

The Bentley Library at the University of Michigan has many oral histories which relate to California labor, including those in the Twentieth Century Trade Union Woman Project. At Pennsylvania State University researchers can see collections on the San Francisco General Strike of 1934 and on District 38 of the United Steelworkers of America in Los Angeles. In Atlanta at Georgia State University, a researcher would find the papers of the International Association of Machinists with its large membership from the California aerospace and airlines industries. The Southern Labor Archives there has also acquired the archives of the Professional Air Traffic Controllers Organization (PATCO) whose records were seized by the court when PATCO was forced into bankruptcy in 1981 as a result of President Reagan's response to the air controllers strike.

The following pages contain a description of the California labor collections at five California libraries and Wayne State University's Walter P. Reuther Library. These presentations were part of a panel at the annual meeting of the Southwest Labor Studies Conference on March 14, 1986, at San Francisco State University. At the time many people in the audience expressed an interest in obtaining written copies of the presentations, and the Labor Archives took on the job of transcribing and editing the talks. Those not directly relating to California—the University of Colorado, Georgia State University, and University of Washington—are not included here but may be obtained by writing directly to the archivists of those repositories.

Most archival repositories, including those connected with business, cultural programs, and associations, have material relating to the men and women who
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made the activity function. These collections and photographs may not be labelled as "workers" or "labor," so researchers will need to talk personally with the archivists and librarians in charge. Never assume that a collection has ignored the employees or the trades and skills needed to run the organization. Archivists, too, need to put aside their assumptions that historians are our only researchers or our only important researchers. Today, more often than not, the researcher in an archival repository is a media specialist, and in collections relating to labor, the researchers may be rank-and-file workers finding their place in history.

The Archives of Labor and Urban Affairs was established in 1960 to collect the records of the American labor movement, with special emphasis upon industrial unionism and related social, economic, and political reform movements in the United States. A second major theme has been workers, working conditions, and the nature of work. Later, the theme of urban affairs was added to the collecting scope, limited primarily to the southeastern Michigan region. The majority of archival collections relate to the period after 1920, though there are some significant materials from the early twentieth century. As of 1982, the Archives contained about 45,000 linear feet of records, 500,000 photographs, broadsides, posters, and illustrations; more than 20,000 films, tape recordings and other audio-visual materials; and a reference library of 10,000 volumes.

As a part of its agreement with various international unions, the Archives accepted responsibility for affiliated local unions in all parts of the United States and Canada. In some cases, the archival collection of all locals was solicited—such as the Industrial Workers of the World (IWW), the United Farm Workers (UFW), and the United Auto Workers (UAW). A more selective policy was adopted for the local union records of the American Federation of Teachers (AFT) and The Newspaper Guild (TNG). Interestingly, the largest single group of records generated by unions, especially on the local level, relates to the grievance process. For all General Motors plants of the UAW, more than 300,000 grievances are handled each year. In all, the Archives has received more than 2,000 linear feet of such files. Local union records are vital in understanding
the origin of many international union policies and programs, especially those representing unions with local dissident elements. Local union records also document the nature of work, working conditions, the employment of minorities and women, and the relationship of unions to community activities and politics.

Detailed guides to all our collections are available to researchers at Wayne State University and also at the Labor Archives and Research Center at San Francisco State University. It is impossible to mention even a fraction of our collections in this space, but among our highlights are the records of the Congress of Industrial Organizations (CIO), consisting of 120 linear feet of material covering the years 1935 to 1955. The internal operation of the CIO is reflected in these files as well as the organization’s involvement in a wide variety of activities such as civil rights, social security, housing, universal military training, southern organizing campaigns, international affairs, World War II, communism, and union racketeering.

Another major collecting area has been reform organizations particularly involved with the American labor movement. Among collections in this area are the Workers Defense League, the Association of Catholic Trade Unionists, the National Farm Worker Ministry, National Sharecroppers Fund, the People's Song Library, Center for Community Change, Committee for National Health Insurance, Citizens Crusade Against Poverty, National Campaign for Agricultural Democracy, and the Coalition of Labor Union Women.

Obtaining the papers of union reform and dissident movements is also a major collecting goal of the Archives. Among those which it now holds are: The Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement (DRUM); Miners for Democracy; Steelworkers Fight Back; Teamsters for a Democratic Union; and the Association for Union Democracy.

The personal files of the labor leaders at the national, regional and local level, rank-and-file union members, reformers, community leaders, labor journalists, church leaders, public officials, and others involved in related reform movements match the organizational files in importance and research value. Happily, detailed information on the hundreds of such collections here is available in Pflug’s A Guide to the Archives of Labor History and Urban Affairs, the Archives Newsletter, and other publications.

Because of the interest of a large number of researchers in the subject of women’s rights and the role of women in unions, the Archives has established a high priority for such collections. The records of women’s departments and women’s auxiliary groups of unions have great research value, as do the personal papers of women. The Mary Heaton Vorse papers, for example, represent one of the largest and most important collections in the Archives. Ms. Vorse, who was born in 1874 and raised in Amherst, Massachusetts, achieved her initial success as a writer of light fiction. She also wrote news articles for the International News Service, United Press, Labor Press Association, Federated Press, and newspapers in New York, Washington and Paris. From 1912 to her death in 1966, Vorse traveled throughout the United States and abroad observing and reporting on strikes, civil disturbances, wars, revolutions, and political upheavals. Her activities, views and interests, especially on women’s rights, are described in her voluminous correspondence with the political and literary intelligentsia of the first six decades of the 20th century.

Other important women’s collections include: Ann Blankenborn, Phyllis Collier, Edith Christenson, Katherine Pollack Ellickson, Jean Gould, Lillian Hatcher, Dorothy Haener, Mildred Jeffrey, Dolores Huerte, Mary Herrick, Selma Bronkardt, Mary Van Kleek, Olga Madar, Loretta Moore, Matilda Robbins, Carrie Overton, Mary White Ovington, Moragh Simchak, Marjorie Stern, Mary Wheeler, and Raya Dunayavskaya.

The Archives, from its establishment, has utilized oral history to supplement its holdings of archival, manuscript, and library materials. The first oral history project related to the unionization of the automobile industry. More than 140 people active in the founding and early history of the UAW were interviewed. Other oral history projects sponsored by the Archives deal with the role of women and minorities in the labor movement, and the histories of the American Federation of State, County
and Municipal Employees, the American Federation of Teachers, the Newspaper Guild, and the United Farm Workers.

The audio-visual section of the Archives contains extensive source material on labor. More than half a million photographs have been collected from a variety of sources—unions, union members, personal donors, newspapers, and commercial photographers. They document important strikes and other events, conventions, meetings, parades, and Labor Day and other celebrations. Among the IWW-related collections, for example, are unique photographs of the funerals of Joe Hill, Frank Little, and other union martyrs, the Everett “massacre,” the deportation of “Wobblies” from Bisbee, and the famous Wheatland, Patterson, and Lawrence strikes. The Ludlow Massacre is shown in fifteen rare glass negatives. Interior scenes of mills and factories, stores and shops, mines and mining camps, as well as photographs of work in lumber camps and other outdoor settings depict work places, tools, and workers. Acquisition of 140 photographs by the distinguished artist Lewis W. Hine adds immeasurably to the Archives holdings.

Broadsides, strike posters, bulletins, cartoons, murals and paintings, and other illustrations represent another important segment of the audio-visual collection. The cartoons of Ernest Reibe, originator of the “Mr. Block Series,” which appeared in the Industrial Worker and other IWW publications, are a rich source for the education and propaganda policies of the IWW. In 1981, the Archives obtained four postcards written by Joe Hill between 1911 and 1914, each containing a cartoon. One, drawn in color while Hill was awaiting execution in the penitentiary in Salt Lake City in 1914, depicts a Christmas scene celebrated by Hill the year before.

The film collection is also rich, and, for the most part, an untapped source. Several thousand films—mostly raw footage—depict strikes, work scenes, conventions, meetings, parades, and other historic events. These sources have been used extensively by television and documentary film producers but seldom by scholars.

Access to union and other records is controlled by legal agreement with each donor. The UAW, for example, has opened to scholarly research all its inactive records that are ten years or older; those files less than ten years old are closed except by special permission of the union. All published materials are available without restriction. Other unions, organizations, and personal donors have adopted access provisions which
range from twenty years of closure to no restrictions.

The Archives of Labor and Urban Affairs has a Kaiser Family Foundation Travel Grant Program whereby researchers can be awarded up to $700 for expenses to come to Detroit to use the Archives. Application forms can be obtained from the Director of the Archives.

The Bancroft Library
University of California, Berkeley

by Bonnie Hardwick
Head, Manuscript Division

The Bancroft Library, on the University of California campus at Berkeley, is one of the largest collections of manuscripts, rare books, and special collections in the United States. Hubert Howe Bancroft began gathering Western Americana in the 1850s, concentrating first on California and the Pacific states but soon extending his collection to encompass the entire western region from Alaska to Panama and east to the Rocky Mountain states. Included among the Bancroft's more than 12,000 collections today are the papers of individuals and organizations representing such diverse fields as literature, politics, journalism, theater, conservation, education, science, and business.

From these vast holdings, I have compiled a highly selective bibliography of materials relating to the labor movement. Not listed are larger collections of personal papers in which only small sections deal with labor relations; but I have included small collections of very early materials, such as the Eureka Typographical Union of San Francisco for which we have a record book of minutes for 1853–1859, and a handful of early records of the Knights of Labor. Various other unions are represented. Most of them are fairly predictable in terms of California geography and history, with emphasis on sailors and maritime unions, printing, mining, and agriculture. There is also much material relating to labor strife in agriculture. Business papers of other industries, too, often contain information on labor relations, presenting management points of view. Also held are some personal papers of labor activists, such as Tom Mooney, and papers of legal firms that dealt with labor-related cases, such as the Gladstein, Leonard, Patsey and Anderson firm. The latter contains 100 cartons of Norman Leonard's cases, mainly dealing with the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union (ILWU).

The Bancroft's Regional Oral History Office has been active for over thirty years and during that time has conducted a number of interviews dealing with labor issues. The catalog of oral histories completed by the office between 1954 and 1979 describes twenty-three oral history projects relating directly to business and labor, including, for example, interviews with Warren Billings and Louis Goldblatt of the ILWU. Another group concerns the wine industry in California; and among the many oral histories of the Earl Warren Era Project are the views of labor leaders of that time.

The following list indicates some of the labor-related manuscript collections in the Bancroft Library. By fully utilizing various catalogs and finding aids, as well as the able assistance of the reference librarian, a creative researcher will discover additional caches of labor movement materials in other Bancroft special collections, such as sound recordings of speeches by Cesar Chavez and a fine group of 247 photographs of the ILWU strike and the San Francisco General Strike of 1934.

California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Immigration and Housing. Records, ca. 1913–1937. 92 cartons, 9 packages, and 8 card files. Preliminary inventory available.

Coast Seamen's Union of the Pacific
The iron workers who built the Golden Gate Bridge were among those whose dramatic roles were celebrated in the 1987 commemoration of the bridge's fiftieth anniversary.
Trade and the Employing Printers' Association.

Knights of Labor. Records, 1886–1892. 1 portfolio and 1 volume.

Lewis, Austin. Correspondence and papers, 1913–1944. 1 box and 4 cartons. Materials re: Tom Mooney and Warren Billings; California's criminal syndicalism law. Inventory available.


Mooney, Thomas J. Correspondence and papers, 1906–1942. 50 cartons, 84 volumes, 37 scrapbooks, 15 packages, and 1 portfolio. Inventory available.


Roney, Frank. Correspondence and papers, 1870–1925. 2 boxes. Early labor movement in San Francisco, with emphasis on the iron industry and the Federated Trades Council.


papers, books, films, videos, tapes, long-playing records, histories of locals, and biographies of people in the labor movement are available for researchers to use.

The Archives is co-sponsored by the San Francisco Bay Area Labor Foundation, a non-profit corporation whose charter authorizes it to receive funds and properties from private and public sources for purposes relating to labor education. The Labor Foundation worked for many years to establish a repository for the documents of the Bay Area labor movement. In 1984, the Labor Foundation concluded an agreement with San Francisco State University to house the Archives. At the official opening of the Archives on February 13, 1986, over 300 labor officials, union members, retirees, and university faculty and staff joined in the celebration.

The Archives maintains exhibit cases displaying photographs, ephemera, labor art, and union records from its collection. Plans are underway to host programs, special events, and exhibits on a regular basis. The first program in the spring of 1986 honored P.H. McCarthy of the Building Trades Council in San Francisco, who was elected mayor of the city in 1909. Later programs have highlighted New Deal arts, IWW "rebel art," and the building of the Golden Gate Bridge.

Southern California Library For Social Studies and Research

by Sarah Cooper
Director

I would like to do three things: to explain what I consider to be the political nature of the labor collections at SCL; to highlight a few of our collections and mention the varying forms of archival materials we have; and to discuss the role our institution has played and can play in documenting Southern California labor history. What do I mean by the political nature of our labor collections? I mean two things primarily.

First, our labor archives are not primarily the official records of unions or locals of unions. Rather they are the correspondence, legal files, and pamphlets of labor activists battling the government or employers in fighting for the political right to protest and to organize. These are papers of individuals as well as support organizations.

Second, I mean by the political nature of our collections that the library itself has a political origin. It was founded by Emil Freed, a longtime Los Angeles activist and member of the Communist Party, who participated in or observed many of the movements the library documents, such as the organizing of the CIO.
the Hollywood Studio strikes, and
the fight against McCarthyism in the
1950s. Over the past year we have
been sorting through and organizing
materials he collected from the thirties
until his death in 1982. Indeed,
Freed's personal papers at the library
reflect his political experience: they
consist mainly of his correspondence
from the Lincoln Heights Jail,
where he served ten months in 1949
for having picketed during the 1946
Hollywood Studio Strike.

In reflecting on the history of our
library, I have found a statement by
Howard Zinn to be particularly ap-
propriate. Addressing a meeting of
archivists in 1970, he said “knowledge
has a social origin and a social
use. It comes out of a divided, embattled
world and is poured into such a world.” Viewing archives as
a concrete part of a larger body
of human knowledge, I see the his-
torical materials we all collect as having
a social origin and social use. Cer-
tainly the collections at the Southern
California Library come out of a
divided, embattled world.

Let me highlight a few of our labor
collections to illustrate how much
they reflect the political battles Cal-
ifornia labor activists have faced over
the past fifty to sixty years. What is
very well documented in them is a
form of political harassment that
could be used against only foreign-
born labor activists: that is, of
course, the threat of deportation.
This threat is the genesis of the most
significant archival collection we
have: the legal files of lawyer Richard
Gladstein pertaining to the many
attempts by the U.S. government to
deport his well-known client, long-
shore leader Harry Bridges.

Bridges was never deported, but
other labor activists of the same
period were, such as Guatemalan-
born Luisa Moreno, who had been
an organizer for the cannery and ag-
gricultural workers union. Her unsuc-
cessful fight against deportation is
documented in the papers of her
lawyer, Robert Kenny, a civil liberties
champion and one-time California
Attorney General. Other civil rights
and civil liberties collections we have
document the struggle of minorities
as well as labor people fighting for
their rights. This theme runs through
our collections from the Los Angeles
chapters of the Committee for the
Protection of the Foreign Born and
the Civil Rights Congress.

The library also maintains materi-
als on Los Angeles organizations
currently involved in protecting
the rights of foreign-born residents,
in particular Mexican and Central
American immigrants to Los Ange-
les. Though we do not as yet have
full-blown archival collections on
these groups, we do have the litera-
ture files of a number of local support
organizations, such as El Rescate,
Casa El Salvador, Guatemala Informa-
tion Center, and the Central Ameri-
can Refugee Center. These are part of
our contemporary Heritage Proj-
et.

We have about 20,000 catalogued
pamphlets, many of which date
from the 1930s. I view our pamphlets
as primary source materials, as ar-
chival materials, really, because
political pamphlets have not been
systematically collected by research
libraries. Our labor or union pam-
phlets are diverse, though there is a
preponderance of pamphlets from
unions in which the Communist
Party played a significant role such
as the Mine, Mill, and Smelter Work-
ers, the United Electrical Workers,
and the Cannery and Agricultural
Workers Union. We also have scat-
tered pamphlets from the Trade
Union Unity League and the Inter-
national Labor Defense.

In highlighting the different forms
of archival materials at SCL, I need
to mention our documentary film
collection, which includes a number
of labor films from the 1930s and
1940s. Under a grant from the
American Film Institute/National Endowment for the Arts preserva-
tion programs, we have been able
to have preservation negatives made
of several of our films, as well as
positive prints that can be used for
reference. Included in this collection
are short films on the El Monte berry
pickers and Kern County cotton
strikes of the thirties, demonstra-
tions in San Pedro and Los Angeles,
and demonstrations in support of
Tom Mooney.

As far as I can tell, SCL and the
Urban Archives at Northridge are
the only two Southern California in-
stitutions that have articulated a
focused collecting interest in labor
history, though there is of course
some good labor material at other
regional institutions, particularly
UCLA. Thus, small as we are, SCL
has an important role to play in
doing what we can to document
some aspects of labor history in our
region of the state. We can be en-
couraged by what has happened in
northern California with the de-
velopment of the Labor Archives and
Research Center at San Fran-
cisco State University. It is my hope
that in due course Southern Californi-
awill develop a similar conscious-
ness of its own labor history and
seriously begin the task of preserv-
ing it.

The Anne Rand Library
International Longshoremen's
and Warehousemen's Union

by Carol Schwartz
Former Librarian

The Anne Rand Library of the
International Longshoremen's and
Warehousemen's Union (ILWU) is a
research library and archives. As an
in-house archives, it has its own
unique organization and arrange-
mens that reflect the growth of the ILWU.

The union arrived on the scene in the late 1930s with the organizing of the CIO, and the collection reflects not only the tremendous organizing of the ILWU during those years but the dedication of the workers to the union movement.

The ILWU brought together top people to become staff workers in the union. It hired Morris Watson from New York as the first editor of The Dispatcher, the union’s official newspaper. It also brought Lincoln Fairley, a Harvard economist, who, in turn, hired Anne Rand as the first librarian in 1945.

The library collection grew rapidly with a broad scope. It encompasses not only the materials necessary to run a union, but resource material on major social issues on which the union takes positions. Anne Rand drew students, writers, anyone interested in labor history into the library. It became a public resource open to all people and groups interested in labor history and the social issues of the day. It was always said that Anne Rand not only provided the material that you were seeking, but she sought to expand your mind.

The collection achieved historical significance as an archives somewhere in the 1950s or 1960s. Before that it was known only as a research library with a cataloged collection of about 3,000 volumes. It collected materials on the CIO unions. We have convention proceedings of the Mine, Mill and Smelter Workers, and the United Electrical Workers (UE). There is very good, cataloged documentation of the fifties, the persecution of these unions during the McCarthy years, and their expulsion from the CIO. We have the transcript of the ILWU expulsion. There are proceedings and records from the California CIO as well as the National CIO.

The Archives holdings deal primarily with the ILWU. Records are available to researchers with approval; anything in the public domain is available without restriction. They include the legal documents, the trial transcripts, and material developed around support committees. We have organizing files of the Mine, Mill and Smelter Workers Union, which are unique because or-
organizers never used telephones in the 1930s and 1940s but were accountable by handwritten correspondence for what they had done every day; in many cases their days were divided by the hour. The Archives contains convention transcripts and longshore division caucus transcripts as well as all other documents from conventions and conferences. We have correspondence files; a section of about 120 file drawers (about 250 cubic feet) holds the history files which organize material on the union activity by subject. In that section is almost every article that has been printed on Harry Bridges. The union subscribed to a clipping service from newspapers all over the country, and any article that mentioned Harry Bridges or the ILWU was sent to us. Now the service is restricted to the states where the ILWU has locals.

Since 1942 the Library has maintained an index to The Dispatcher, the union newspaper, which is a tremendous finding aid for activities undertaken by the ILWU, providing a time and place for almost every union action, and serving as the first reference in any research project.

Also held by the ILWU are the records of federations in which the ILWU was a member. The Committee for Maritime Unity existed right after World War II, headed by Harry Bridges and Joe Curran of the National Maritime Union. The Pacific Coast Maritime Industry Board was a tripartite World War II organization dedicated to getting maximum production on the home front.

The Urban Archives Center
California State University,
Northridge
by Robert G. Marshall
Archivist

The Urban Archives Center at California State University, Northridge was started in 1978 with a National Endowment for the Humanities grant and one from the National Historical Publications and Records Commission. We are still a rather small shop and have not yet sought out large labor collections. The Urban Archives is waiting until the new wing of the Oviatt Library is built. This will provide automated shelving access, which will increase the Urban Archives space to around 5,000 cubic feet. As soon as we move, we can start collecting much more.

We presently have around fifty to sixty collections at UAC, about half of which deal with labor history. We also have an oral history collection. Our acquisition focus is the geographical limits of Los Angeles County. Our acquisition program also concentrates on acquiring records from voluntary associations, which include labor organizations, and individuals directly involved with voluntary associations.

The Urban Archives relationship with the Cal State student body is extremely close. Since Cal State is a "teaching university," we are concerned about acquiring collections that correspond with the course work being taught in the various departments on campus, cross-covering several disciplines. One of the major policies is collecting for the undergraduate as well as the graduate student, providing undergraduates with their first experience in doing "research." I go to about ten classes a semester, bringing archival tools and examples of primary documents with me. I also give a class tour of the archives, taking students through the stack area and talking to them, trying to get them excited by history. The talks and tours are interdisciplinary, thus I go to classes in history, journalism, education, social science, political science, geography, and urban studies.

In acquiring collections that virtually "excavate" the layers of Los Angeles historical development, we are documenting a unique urban experience. We have, for example, the papers of the Van Nuys Chamber of Commerce which reveal the business community's drive to develop the San Fernando Valley. We also have many materials documenting the experience of workers and labor movements during the valley's growth. The opportunity to study such contrasting forces offers students a holistic way to touch history.

The Urban Archives Center has several major subject areas. We have holdings on "Chambers of Commerce and Related Associations." These reveal the impact of business on the growth and development of the Los Angeles area and include the papers of the Northridge Civic Association, the West Van Nuys Chamber of Commerce, and others. We have the papers of the Greater Los Angeles Visitors and Convention Bureau, an extensive promotional collection documenting early attempts to per-
suade people to visit and live in Los Angeles.

The UAC is also interested in the educational development of the Los Angeles community. We have the papers of Dr. Robert L. Docter, who was president of the Board of Education in the seventies when the students’ rights movement was especially active. This was also the period of integrating the Los Angeles public school system and the beginnings of school busing. In contrast to the pro-integration papers, we hold the papers of the “Bus Stop” group, which attempted to stop busing. We will be acquiring lawyer case files dealing with this issue. We have the papers of Dr. Julian Nava, who was president of the Board of Education before Dr. Docter. Nava’s basic concerns were bilingual education and ethnic studies. Minority and ethnic activities are further documented through our collection on the Supreme Council of the Mexican-American Movement. We also hold the papers of the California Association for the Education of Young Children, which coincides with the nursery school program being taught at Cal State.

In our “Political Organizations” collection, we have the papers of the California Young Democrats, Senator Thomas C. Carroll, Representative James Corman, and other politicians. We have the papers of the League of Women Voters of Los Angeles, which cover a wide variety of subjects, including labor, as well as other issues that are politically important throughout Los Angeles history.

The UAC collects the papers of several social service organizations. Our most extensive collection in the area is the “United Way of Los Angeles Collection.” If one wants to see what voluntary work was going on, for example, in Los Angeles during World War II, the “War Chest” records of the United Way are an excellent source.

As Los Angeles is diverse, so is its labor force. So, at the UAC we are collecting in several areas. Again, in education, we have the papers of the California Federation of Teachers. To cover the motion picture industry, we have the Directors’ Guild of America and the Motion Picture Screen Cartoonist Guild papers, which document the Disney strike. The latter provide a view of Walt Disney different from the “official” benevolent version.

We have the Los Angeles County Federation of Labor papers, those of the Newspaper Guild, and a fascinating collection of the International Longshoremens’s and Warehousemen’s Union, Local 13, papers. The Local 13 collection is about forty cubic feet. We recently acquired some legal papers of Norman Leonard, whose case files deal with Local 13’s issues.

Complete details on our holdings and collections may be found in a brochure we have published. [38]
Trusted by Californians since 1852.

Wells Fargo comes through.

For over 130 years we've come through with what Californians have needed most. We turned gold dust into hard cash. We weathered financial panics, earthquakes and fires. Through boom times and bad, we kept our word—and our customers' trust. Since those early days of the Wells Fargo stagecoach, we've developed one of the strongest and most innovative banking systems in the West. Now we are one of the ten largest banks in the country, so we'll be around for a long time to come. Wells Fargo Bank.
Isadora: Portrait Of The Artist As A Woman


Reviewed by Gloria Ricci Lothrop, Professor of History at California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.

This volume captures the spirit of William Wordsworth's "Well Wrought Urn." From title page to type face, from chapter headings to offsets surrounding the right gallery of illustrations by Arnold Genthe and Edward Steichen, among others, this is a beautifully crafted volume. It is above all, however, a comprehensive study which in drawing from conventional accounts as well as French, German and Russian sources, places this prototypical interpreter of modern dance within historical perspective. It is simultaneously a graceful narrative and a rigorous exercise in scholarship in which scrupulous research and careful epigraphy have served to correct a number of longstanding misconceptions, some perpetrated by Duncan in her own hurried autobiography.

While the thoroughness of the study underscores the dedication of the author, this is no artful paean. Blair neither canonizes nor condemns, but rather, in a manner which would merit Isadora Duncan's praise, presents a biography of a woman who is ultimately broken but "undiminished by life."

The richly detailed account opens against the background of California in the 1870s where at San Francisco's Masonic Roof Garden young Isadora appeared for three weeks in the role of "The California Fawn." Shortly thereafter she departed via cattleboat for Europe where in due course she was showered with accolades by audiences in Vienna, Paris, and Leningrad and unabashedly admired by such respected mandarins of European culture as Rodin, Stanislavsky, D'Annunzio and Eleanor Duse.

Blair traces the life, loves, and losses of this maverick artistic pioneer during her precarious and sometimes impetuous pilgrimage from Budapest to Buenos Aires to Long Beach, where she briefly operated a Duncan School of Dance. While avoiding intrusive clinical analysis, the author delves into the background of the protagonists of this drama, explaining the dynamics of each of Isadora's romantic relationships. With perceptiveness arising from evident mastery of her subject, Blair probes the motivations behind both the self-destructiveness and the yearning for maternity which in her latter days rendered Duncan a tortured Niobe, drawn by her need to nurture and love, but unsuccessful in her implacable efforts to achieve either.

The counterproductive efforts of these contending forces is clearly evident in Duncan's precipitous efforts to establish schools which offered continuity for the style of dance to which she had given birth. At the same time, by continually battling the status quo in order to justify her unique lifestyle, she alienated every source of possible support, including a steamily ruffled Walter Lippman as well as the "bourgeois Philistines of Boston" before whom she danced wearing a scarf of Soviet red as an insignia of her new allegiance. As a result of such impolitic challenges, the daring innovator once described as a "sister to Samothrace," for a time even found herself to be a woman without a country.

Despite the rolling tensions of her personal life, Isadora Duncan successfully popularized the new mode of dance which was individually expressive, liberating and, above all, natural, emanating deep from within the center of the body. To achieve this, she tirelessly devoted herself to the study of how the fundamentals of shape and dynamics could convey both gravity and weightlessness as well as meaning. As Blair points out, the historic significance of these innovations lies in their legacy. It is a matter of record that Duncan's classical, folk, and work themes inspired groups as diverse as Denishawn and the Moisevitch Dancers. Her magical use of movement and gesture admittedly inspired Pavlova in the "dying swan" sequence as well as Fokine and Diaghilev.

No less innovative was her use of the single color draped stage set and the introduction of the Greek tunic to achieve a freedom of movement and to display "the ideal form of woman." Her use of classical musical compositions, while challenged by some, gave new importance and dignity to what had often been reviewed as little more than a decorative art. As a result, in the estimation of Agnes de Mille, she elevated the status of the dance.

By Jacqueline Baker Barnhart. (Reno: University of Nevada Press, 1986, 136 pp., $15.00 cloth.)

Reviewed by James J. Rawls, Instructor of History, Diablo Valley College.

The history of women in California and the West remains largely unwritten. Women are invisible in most accounts; their contributions often deemed unimportant.

When attention has been paid to the role of women, historians have tended to portray them in stereotyped images. Joan M. Jensen and Darlis A. Miller recently assembled these images into four major categories: gentle tamers, helpmates, hellraisers, and bad women. The gentle-tamer category includes all those imagined women who carried westward the trappings of civilization, and who assumed the major responsibility for re-establishing the social and cultural values of their former homes. The helpmates were the long-suffering women who not only performed traditional chores but who also helped by doing "men's work" during times of need. More masculine women were the hellraisers, the Calamity Janes who acted more like men than women. Bad women, quite simply, were the prostitutes. Jensen and Miller challenge historians to move beyond these stereotypes, to ask new questions, and to apply more sophisticated methods of analysis. What is most needed, they conclude, are studies of how women fit into the economic structure of the West through their labor.

Jacqueline Baker Barnhart, as if in response to the call of Jensen and Miller, re-examines one of the most common stereotypical roles of western women. She analyzes the prostitutes of San Francisco, not as deviants or victims, but as a group of professional workers. She places them within the tradition of gold-rush entrepreneurship, noting that most prostitutes came to California seeking economic opportunity and that many were willing to leave lucrative jobs working for others to open businesses of their own. In stressing the economic motive of these westering women, Barnhart confirms that for many nineteenth-century Americans California was indeed synonymous with opportunity.

Barnhart pays special attention to the complex economic structure of prostitution. In the early period, 1849 to 1850, prostitution in San Francisco was relatively undifferentiated. Most prostitutes were of the entrepreneurial elite known as parlor-house prostitutes. In a society over ninety percent male, the market was clearly in their favor. The prostitutes' ability to take advantage of this unique opportunity determined their economic success and social freedom. As market conditions changed, other categories of prostitutes appeared and competition increased.

A major contribution of Barnhart's work is her analysis of the relationship of prostitution to the larger society. In the period 1849 to 1870 prostitutes were at first admired, then tolerated, and finally ostracized. The changing status of the prostitutes was reflected in the terms used to describe them. Between 1849 and 1851 prostitutes were known by a variety of euphemisms. The coarse and abusive term whore, according to Barnhart, was never used. Twenty years later the term was commonly used, and prostitution was no longer tolerated. With the arrival of families—gentle tamers included—San Francisco fell "under the influence of the Victorians," and prostitution was seen as a threat to public respectability.

Like most practitioners of the new social history, Barnhart is also interested in the question of social mobility. She describes the causes of upward and downward mobility, but is unable to answer the question of whether the San Francisco prostitutes enjoyed greater or lesser mobility than their counterparts back east.

The Fair but Frail is a slim volume, suggestive rather than comprehensive. It is a valuable book, an important contribution to the yet to be written history of California women.

"The Tools of My Trade":
The Annotated Books in Jack London's Library

By David Mike Hamilton. (Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1986, 326 + xiv pp., illus., $25.00 cloth).

Reviewed by Earle Labor, Professor of English at Centenary College of Louisiana and editor of the forthcoming The Letters of Jack London.

"I regard books in my library in much the same way that a sea captain regards the charts in his chart-room," Jack London wrote to The North American in 1913. "It is manifestly impossible for a sea captain to carry in his head the memory of all the reefs, rocks, shoals, harbors, points, lighthouses, beacons and buoys of all the coasts of all the world; and no sea captain ever endeavors to store his head with such a mass of knowledge. What he does is to know his way about the chart-room, and when he picks up a new coast, he takes out the proper chart and has immediate access to all information about that coast. So it should be with books . . . . I, for one, never can have too many books; nor can my books cover too many subjects."
Contrary to his popular impression, Jack London was—as David Mike Hamilton's new book makes clear—essentially a scholar in the Emersonian sense: influenced as much by books as by nature and action. He read widely, avidly, and omnivorously. Moreover, he assimilated what he read and used much of it in his own practical affairs: sailing, ranching, and—most important—writing. Great fictions like *The Call of the Wild, The Sea-Wolf, To Build a Fire,* "Love of Life," and *The Star Rover* were in fact drawn more from his reading than from his living. During his lifetime he accumulated a library of over fifteen thousand volumes on an astonishing diversity of subjects: "evolution, biology, psychology, economics, political theory, travel, navigation, and philosophy, as well as drama, poetry, and fiction"—in short, says Hamilton, "on almost every conceivable subject." The record of London's annotations in these volumes—instructively complemented by Hamilton's own commentaries about London's life and works—provides us with fresh, direct insights into the complex process of authorship.

That process was initiated at a very early age. "I learned to read and write about my fifth year," London attested in a letter to his first publisher, Houghton Mifflin. "Folks say I simply insisted on being taught . . . . Remember reading some of Trowbridge's works for boys at six years of age. At seven I was reading Paul du Chaillu's *Travels, Captain Cook's Voyages,* and *Life of Garfield.* All through this period I devoured what Seaside Library novels I could borrow from womenfolk and dime novels from the farm hands. At eight I was deep in Ouida and Washington Irving. Also during this period read a great deal of American History." By the age of twenty London had read *Das Kapital,* the *Communist Manifesto,* and Henry George's *Progress and Poverty.* When he went to the Klondike at the age of twenty-one, he carried his personal copy of Marx, along with Darwin's *Origin of the Species,* Spencer's *Philosophy of Style,* and Milton's *Paradise Lost.*

The influence of all four is evident in his writings, as is that of such other favorites as Shakespeare, Browning, Poe, Melville, Tolstoy, Nietzsche, Schopenhauer, Kipling, Stevenson, and William (but not Henry, whom he claimed he could not read) James. Kipling (for his style) and Spencer (for his philosophy) are perhaps the strongest early influences. London's later works are more powerfully shaped by the fiction of Joseph Conrad and by the psychological theories of Sigmund Freud, whom he had read during the summer of 1912, and C.G. Jung, whose *Psychology of the Unconscious* he discovered six months before his death in 1916. Hamilton has counted more than three hundred notations in this latter volume and suggests that, had London lived longer, it "might have influenced his writing more than any other." In any case, London wrote a half-dozen stories during his last months into which he incorporated Jung's ideas about the unconscious—the first American fiction to be deliberately informed by Jungian theory. Only recently have these unique creations been discovered by our academic critics.

Other significant discoveries will undoubtedly be made as those critics encounter Hamilton's excellent study, which should be graded as an indispensable tool to the London scholar's trade.[6]

Gold Rush Sojourners in Great Salt Lake City 1849 and 1850.

By Brigham D. Madsen. (Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1983. xii, 178 pp. $17.50 cloth)

Reviewed by M. Guy Bishop, Los Angeles County Museum, author of various articles on nineteenth century Utah railroad history and on the anti-slavery activities of the Lyman Beecher family.

The interaction between Mormon settlers in the valley of the Great Salt Lake and gold rushes migrating to California during 1849 and 1850 has proven to be a topic worthy of scholarly consideration. This highly readable monograph by Brigham D. Madsen, professor of history at the University of Utah, seeks to analyze the economic and social relationships which were formed between Mormons and non-Mormons during this two-year period.

Madsen's narrative begins with the settlement of the Salt Lake Valley in 1847 by the vanguard of Latter-day Saint refugees driven from the Midwest by religious persecution. In June of 1849, when the first westbound argonauts arrived at the Mormon Halfway House of Great Salt Lake, Utah Territory, 1851. Lithograph by E.C. Roberts for Golden Era.
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Salt Lake City, there were some 4,200 residents dwelling mostly in adobe or wagon-box homes. This was not, however, the first Mormon involvement with the gold rush. Several Latter-day Saints, recently released from the Mormon Battalion, were employees of Johann Sutter and were present when James Marshall made his famous discovery in January 1848. By the spring of the following year the human flood across the continent was underway and Salt Lake City proved to be a conveniently located oasis for many exhausted, hungry, or sick overlanders.

The Mormons tended to view the arrival of the argonauts with mixed opinions. They had, after all, migrated to the Great Basin to escape the Gentile world. But by 1849 the Saints were in need of many of the items which overburdened gold rushers had to trade. In return the Mormons provided the wayfarers with fresh animals, home cooked meals, and, if needed, medical assistance. As the author has surmised, it was a mutually beneficial arrangement.

Some sojourners complained about the treatment they received from the Mormons. They accused the Saints of charging exorbitant prices and dishonest business practices. But the most frequent commentaries centered upon the Mormons' religious practices—particularly plural marriage (polygamy). The debate over the relationship between Saints and Gentiles at Salt Lake City has not necessarily been settled by recent scholars. The late John D. Unruh, Jr., in his path-breaking study The Plains Across, wrote in regard to those gold rushers who stayed for an extended period at the Mormon city that many “deeply regretted their decision” to linger. Professor Madsen has taken a different stand. He states that for the 1849-1850 period, the gold seekers and their hosts left a “heritage of friendship and good feelings” (p. 125).

While perhaps still leaving some aspects of this Mormon-Gentile relationship open to further discussion, Gold Rush Sojourners in Great Salt Lake City has made a solid contribution to the study of the social and economic history of the era. The book is complimented by informative maps and well chosen illustrations. It should prove to be a welcome addition for libraries, scholars, and collectors of Western Americana.

Barons of Labor: The San Francisco Building Trades and Union Power in the Progressive Era


Reviewed by David F. Selvin, editor emeritus, Northern California Labor, labor historian.

Over a period of some thirty years—stretching roughly from a depression in the 1890s to another in 1921—the Building Trades Council (BTC) of San Francisco, as Michael Kazin sees it, “accumulated, used, and then lost a great deal of urban power.” It won and held an overpowering, often arbitrary, dominance over the city's building industry. It invaded and captured a prominent place and influence in the city's political life. It gave voice to “the aspirations, cultural practices, and racial prejudices” of the white workers who were its constituents. It contributed mightily to the city's national reputation as “a good union town.” In the end, it fell victim to a broadly-based, well-financed, and determined anti-union, open-shop campaign.

Kazin argues effectively for a fresh view that sees unionism of the time, not in terms of the “pure and simple” so favored by some historians, but as a significant force that fought for a voice in the political, as well as the economic, decisions of its time. His account traces the BTC's political involvement, and especially that of P.H. McCarthy, its chief architect and spokesman, from opposition to the Union Labor Party to McCarthy's election as its candidate for mayor. It was a political force, often feared, frequently effective, and devoted in the main to the progressive creed of the day.

Kazin, too, describes the system devised principally by McCarthy as a rigid and arbitrary substitute for collective bargaining. He records the unhappiness of contractors with the BTC's many work rules and dictatorial ways and the appropriate union responses. And his account covers in detail the differences, as well as the moments of accord, between the BTC and the San Francisco Labor Council, which represented the city's other—and larger—trade union movement.

Overall, Kazin offers a close-up and highly useful view of the BTC during that decisive time. It fills in many of the gaps, the crevices and potholes in the few customary accounts of San Francisco's turbulent labor history. It accords the building trades their rightful place in that panorama. And it has been crafted with impeccable research and admirable style.

The same record can be seen from a somewhat different perspective. For example, the BTC's ironfisted job control bore little resemblance to collective bargaining as unionists came to understand it. It simply replaced employer dictate with union—BTC—dictate. Reinforced by its political aggressiveness, BTC's rule raised the union-haters' anger above its usual, endemic level and constituted a major force in inciting the drive that brought the BTC down—not that the union-haters needed an excuse, but here was reason enough, ready-made confirmation of all the evil they had come to believe about unionism.

The question needs to be asked whether the McCarthy formula produced edible and otherwise useful gains for the men on the job. The sketchy wage history in an appendix shows virtually no
gains in wage rates (for six trades for which figures are provided) from 1908 to 1916. In that same period, average wage rates for journeymen building tradesmen across the country rose 24 percent. The comparison should not be pressed too far—explanations other than the obvious are quite possible and other yardsticks could be employed—but what was the impact of the BTC on the everyday lives of building tradesmen—their earnings, living standards, lifestyles?

Kazin does not skimp on the BTC's problems with the rest of the labor movement, in both economic and political battle. All too often concord or discord seems to hang on McCarthy's personality or ambition, rather than any necessary trade union difference. The BTC's separatism, though, was a serious chink in San Francisco labor's armor.

My alternative view may be no more than a matter of emphasis, surely the author's prerogative; but it might, on the other hand, seriously affect the way in which trade unionism—the BTC kind of unionism—is interpreted to the reader. If that question interests you, Kazin provides an abundance of data for pondering the matter.

Southern Pacific.
Reviewed by Richard J. Orsi, Professor of History, California State University, Hayward.

This slim, inexpensive volume is not a comprehensive, scholarly history of the Southern Pacific Company, but then it should not be read—or reviewed—as one. To be sure, the author aspires to cover a subject too vast, complex, and significant for 126 pages; he could have been more critical of the railroad's role in the development of the American West; and he writes, for the most part, without documentation. Southern Pacific was, however, intended not as a reference work for experts, but for general readers seeking a succinct survey, along with brilliant visual images. Within its genre, this is a fine book.

Highlighting the volume and consuming most of its space are more than 150 photographs, some of them taken by Yenne himself. The photographs, along with excellent maps drawn by the author, vividly document California's most important historic corporation, from its founding as the Central Pacific Railroad in 1861, to its on-again, off-again, merger into the arch-rival Santa Fe in the 1980s. To Yenne's credit, he chose artistic and historically valuable photographs, many
of which have never, or rarely, been published. These include not only the customary portraits of the powerful and famous leaders who have long been associated in the public eye with the Southern Pacific—the likes of Judah, Stanford, Huntington, and Harriman—but also photographs of ordinary railroad workers. From the assemblage of rumpled, bewhiskered Sacramento shops men, mugging the camera in 1889, radiates the skill, grit, and good humor of thousands of laborers, without whom this great company could never have been built and operated (p. 27). Binding these vivid images together is Yenne’s lucid narrative, enlivened with passages from original letters, speeches, documents, and recollections.

Its brevity and a few factual errors aside, the book manages to cover much good history and to suggest—though rarely explained fully—some fresh insights into the Southern Pacific’s complex history. Rather than following the lead of many writers who have romanticized Theodore Judah’s contributions, Yenne properly emphasizes the role of the Big Four in organizing and financing the Central Pacific and then pulling off the miracle of its construction. Yenne also attempts to integrate the railroad’s history with that of its hinterland and to point out what most academic historians have ignored, that the Southern Pacific often played a positive, creative role in the settlement and development of the West. Overall, Yenne maintains a balanced stance towards a controversial subject.

The book is marred by some flaws. There are too many, repetitious mug shots of locomotives, undoubtedly to the delight of rail buffs. Yenne’s account of some important episodes—such as the Big Four’s consolidation of their holdings into the Southern Pacific Company in the 1880s—is so attenuated as to be misleading. Yenne also avoids some uncomfortable subjects, such as labor discontent and Huntington’s embittering ouster of Stanford as company president in 1890.

The book’s most serious weakness, however, is Yenne’s dismissal of anti-railroad movements as conspiracies by a few “zealous crusaders and issue-hungry politicians” to attack an overwhelmingly popular railroad (p. 74). While the traditional anti-railroad historiography has exaggerated to the extreme both the Southern Pacific’s malevolence and the public’s opposition, one cannot ignore the real economic and political conflicts that the company, and railroads in general, aroused.

All in all, though, this is a satisfying book. Those interested in a more comprehensive treatment, particularly for the twentieth century, should consult Don Hofsommer’s *The Southern Pacific, 1901–1985*. Readers seeking a brief, but lively and informative introduction to the railroad’s history will enjoy Yenne’s volume. [38]

"The Politics of Insurgency: The Farm Worker Movement in the 1960s.

By J. Craig Jenkins. (New York: Columbia University Press, 1985, 320 pp., $35.00 cloth.)

Reviewed by Mario T. Garcia, Professor of History and Chicano Studies, University of California, Santa Barbara.

The plight of the California farmworker is not an unknown story. The early studies of Carey McWilliams, Paul Taylor, and Ernesto Galarza come readily to mind, not to mention John Steinbeck’s fictive accounts of the Oakies and Arkies of the San Joaquin Valley. The history of Cesar Chavez and the United Farmworkers has also received its share of popular and scholarly attention. Jenkins’ history of farmworkers in California does not necessarily break new ground; however, it is one of the first scholarly studies of its kind that puts the farmworkers’ movement of the 1960s and 1970s into the proper historical perspective.

As Jenkins correctly notes, the history of the United Farm Workers (UFW) is a subject of the history of the insurgent social movements of the late 1960s and early 1970s. Jenkins sees his study as primarily one of the 1960s using the farmworkers’ movement as a case study of what he terms the politics of insurgency. According to Jenkins, the farmworker exemplified the basic goals, strategies, and characteristics of the sixties social movement. He defines social movements as insurgencies aimed to further the interests of previously unorganized and excluded groups. Social movements of the 1960s utilized protest actions to mobilize external support. While earlier writings on social movements have discounted their impact on social change, believing them to be too transitory and marginal, Jenkins, like many scholars trained in the 1960s, revises this view and persuasively argues that indigenous social movements in fact produce significant change.

Jenkins compares the contemporary movement with that of the earlier efforts by the National Farm Labor Union (1947-1952) and the Agricultural Workers Organizing Committee (1958-1966). While all these efforts to organize farmworkers had similar goals, only the UFW succeeded due, according to Jenkins, to its strategy of mobilization built on both organizing workers and external supporters and due to the favorable political environment of the 1960s, far more conducive to reform than the Cold War years of the late 1940s and 1950s.

Jenkins makes a good case that the success of the contemporary movement has much to do with its emergence in the tumultuous 1960s. Understanding the spirit of the times, the UFW combined a basic labor struggle with a broader social movement that captured national attention and positioned the movement with what Jenkins considers the left-of-center governing coalition of the 1960s.

Jenkins’ study is useful, although it promises more than it delivers. In presenting his study as a means of better
conceptualizing social movements, Jenkins gets bogged down in detail. The title, moreover, is deceiving since he should have integrated theory and history to a much greater extent. The prose is cumbersome; Jenkins's editor was apparently unable to find a bilingual proofreader to check the names and titles. Moreover, since most of Jenkins's data goes no further than 1980, his conclusions concerning UFW successes do not take into account the rollback in the 1980s of many earlier gains. Finally, Jenkins gives too much credit, perhaps, to external forces in explaining the success of the UFW. Although he recognizes the farmworkers' movement as an indigenous mass-based insurgency, the farmworker is not placed at center stage. Greater accessibility of the UFW archives at Wayne State University will, in time, increase public awareness and understanding of the history of the United Farm Workers.

Jenkins's study is important and can be usefully integrated with the broader history of the 1960s, of western agriculture, labor history, and Chicanos.


Reviewed by Robert W. Winter, Arthur G. Coons Professor of the History of Ideas, Occidental College.

Anyone interested in fine architecture in the United States in the twenties and thirties must look to Southern California. Few architectural historians have. Even the pioneers of the modern movement, R.M. Schindler and Richard Neutra, have not received the attention they deserve, except from Southern Californians. The "second generation" of the followers of the machine ethic in the Southland would be unknown except for Esther McCoy's book on them. Somewhat broader in scope is David Gebhard's and Harriette von Breton's L.A. in the Thirties (1975) which covers various aspects of the modern and also the period revivals of the time.

That we know anything about the individual period revivalists is largely thanks to Gebhard and to Alson Clark, the author of the text of this book on Wallace Neff, next to George Washington Smith of Montecito, the greatest of them. Born to wealth, Neff grew up in Alhambra in the wonderful Queen Anne style house that his grandfather, Andrew McNally, had built. Its fabulous Islamic smoking room was said to be a fragment of the Turkish Pavilion at the 1893 Chicago Worlds Columbian Exposition of which McNally had been a commissioner. Wallace's romantic nature was thus given the ambience and the capital on which it could flourish. It was further burnished by his Swiss grammar school education, which seems to have consisted largely of languages, painting and drawing. It was not good enough to gain him entrance to Pasadena's Throop Polytechnic Institute, now Cal Tech, but Ralph Adams Cram, the architectural doyen of M.I.T., thought it was just the thing to prepare Neff for his great bastion of American Beaux-Art training and entered him as a special student in 1915. Although his talent for drawing was immediately apparent, Neff did not graduate from M.I.T. America's entry into World War I brought him back to Southern California and a job in the shipbuilding industry. The war was short, and in 1919 Neff was able to launch into a career in architecture by designing a weekend cottage for his mother.

After a short stint as a designer for a speculative builder, he managed to get an architect's license in 1921 and opened his own office the next year. Charming, intelligent and talented, he quickly built up a practice in Pasadena, a town bracketed as the wealthiest per capita in the United States. By 1927 he was turning down commissions for houses costing under $50,000. Those that qualified could be spotted throughout the most affluent areas of Pasadena and San Marino. The movie colony heard of him and soon the Hollywood Hills were dotted with houses designed by Neff. Most are very large.

His finest works are in the style loosely termed Mediterranean, an amalgam of details derived from Italian and Spanish Renaissance architecture and massing from the rural architecture of those styles and even of North African Islamic work. Neff gave his clients a romantic atmosphere that enhanced the lives of lawyers, doctors, and business people as well as the movie-makers whose fantasy life extended beyond their work. He could draw on his European sketches for English Tudor and Norman features. When a Los Angeles doctor asked him to build a country house whose facade would be a two-thirds scale replica of the Villa Colalazzi attributed to Michelangelo, he carried through but fifty years later was still angry about having to imitate anything. Like other derivative architects of the period, he had no intention of producing facsimiles. His aim was to get ideas from the past and then manipulate them in his own way.

His success was sustained well into the 1960s when the modern movement dominated the architectural journals. Commissions diminished but only toward the end of his life in 1982 did his designing hand lose its touch. All this is well told by Alson Clark in a long essay and then in more specific notices, accompanying pictures and floor-plans. The pictures are mostly excellent and well-produced, many of them contemporary with the early years of the houses. We are just as grateful for the floor-plans from which we derive as much information as from pictures and text.

Neff was an image-maker important in creating the myth of Southern California. This book does justice to the man and the myth.
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I wish to thank the National Endowment for the Humanities for a very generous Research Division grant which permitted travel funds, research monies, and salary. I also appreciate the financial assistance of the Eleanor Roosevelt Institute at the Franklin D. Roosevelt Library and the American Philosophical Society, the institutional support of the University of California, San Diego, and the assistance of Elizabeth Snapp, Director of Libraries, Texas Woman’s University. The Regional Oral History Office at the Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley, made all their research records pertaining to their substantial interview project on Douglas as well as on California political women available to me. The staff of the Carl Albert Congressional Research Center and the Western History Collection at the University of Oklahoma have patiently helped me during this long-term project. Helen Douglas permitted me access to her private files. In addition, I had the unusual opportunity to live with her for two weeks while going through her private papers. Melvyn Douglas, family members, and close friends of the Douglases have shared important family materials and have granted permission for interviews. I also appreciate Martha Kendall Winnacker, editor of California History, for her interest in Douglas, her willingness to wait for the article until I had essentially completed my book, her historical, editorial, and artistic skills, and her good sense of humor.

Since this article scans Douglas’s life through the 1950 campaign, drawing from an almost completed book manuscript, it became a challenge to decide how to limit the footnotes. My primary research has included the use of over one hundred manuscript collections in a wide variety of archives, various oral history collections, my own interviews, and materials from private individuals.

The footnotes only suggest the different sorts of information sources I have found helpful in developing the manuscript. My citations from the voluminous literature on Broadway, Hollywood, Democratic politics, and the Roosevelt and Truman years are limited to works which specifically treat Douglas or deal with key topics for this article. I have, however, drawn on this literature in formulating my ideas. A full documentation which will include references to the materials about Douglas’s personal life will appear in my forthcoming biography of Helen Gahagan Douglas, to be published by Atheneum Publishers. Transcripts of my interviews have been deposited in the Indiana University Oral History Project archives and will become available to researchers after publication of the book.


2. Ernest Lilienthal to Melvyn Douglas (MD), July 20, 1980; Claude Pepper to MD, July 22, 1980; and Harry R. Major to MD, June 29, 1980, all in Melvyn Douglas Private Papers (MDPP).

3. Helen Gahagan Douglas, "Congresswoman, Actress, and Opera Singer," an oral history conducted in 1973, 1974, and 1976 by Amelia Fry, in Helen Gahagan Douglas Oral History Project, Vol. IV, Regional Oral History Project, The Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley, 1982, p. 4 [hereafter referred to as ROHO Interview with Douglas.] Further citations to the Douglas project will be referenced as the HGD ROHO Project. Other sources providing information on Gahagan’s early life include Helen Gahagan Douglas, A Full Life (Garden City: Doubleday, 1982); author’s interview with Martha Allen, March 28, 1981; author’s interview with Walter Gahagan, Jr., October 26, 1980; and various family papers. As Douglas’s ROHO interview and her autobiography make repeated reference to the themes mentioned in this article, I do not specifically cite them unless I have used a direct quotation or need to refer to an important point in these documents. The same holds true for Melvyn Douglas and Tom Arthur, See You at the Movies: The Autobiography of Melvyn Douglas (Lanham, Maryland: The University Press of America, 1986), which draws principally from Arthur’s interviews with Melvyn Douglas. But the book is helpful in building a picture of Helen and Melvyn and their lives together.

Various books, manuscript materials, and pamphlets provide information on Brooklyn and the Park Slope district, including Henry W. B. Howard, ed. The Eagle and Brooklyn (Brooklyn: The Brooklyn Daily Eagle, 1893); Trow Business Directory of Brooklyn and Queens for the early twentieth century; Ralph Foster Weld, Brooklyn Is America (New York: Columbia University Press, 1950); David Ment, The Shaping of a City: A Brief History of Brooklyn (Brooklyn: Brooklyn Educational and Cultural Alliance, 1979); and Brooklyn Rediscovery and Brooklyn Educational and Cultural Alliance, Building Blocks of Brooklyn: A Study of Urban Growth (Brooklyn: The Brooklyn Educational and Cultural Alliance, 1979).

articles and other materials relating to the Capon School and Dartmouth College.


6. Reviews of Gahagan’s plays and interviews with her throughout her Broadway period appeared in numerous New York, Chicago, Boston, Philadelphia, and Washington papers plus local newspapers which reviewed her touring shows. As the 1920s witnessed an improvement not only in the quality of plays but also in dramatic criticism, Gahagan had the opportunity to be reviewed by a number of noted critics, some of them theatre scholars, including Brooks Atkinson, Heywood Broun, George Jean Nathan, Kenneth MacGowan, and Alexander Woollcott. Many books provide overviews of legitimate theatre in the 1920s; Burns Mantle’s Best Plays, published annually, offers useful compilations and commentaries.

In addition to Young Woodley, productions with Tyler included the starring roles in two all-star touring groups performing revivals of Sir Arthur Wing Pinero’s Treachery of the Wells and Victorien Sardou’s Diplomacy.

On Gahagan’s move to voice, see in particular Douglas’s autobiographical materials listed in footnote #3 and newspaper interviews with Gahagan, including the New York American, June 10, 1928.

7. Author’s interviews with De Sola and Elizabeth Evans’s interview with George Cehanovská, January 24, 1975, in Helen Gahagan Douglas Personal Papers (HGDPP). Her concerts included stops in Ostrava, Czechoslovakia; The Hague; and the Salzberg Festival. Reviews appeared in numerous papers including Mdatvskoleszký deník in Ostrava, Levent’r Svetovej from Scheveningen, near The Hague, and the Salzburger Chronik. Translations from the originals suggest that Gahagan’s perception of her success resulted from the “selective” translations that her agent used in American publicity about her European tours. Among the letters which discuss her feelings about her European tours is Gahagan to her mother, Lillian Gahagan, July 25, 1929 (HGDPP).

8. Melvyn Douglas, born Melvyn Hesselberg, was the son of the Russian Jewish pianist Edouard Hesselberg and Lena Shalkelford, a Kentucky girl. Melvyn had had various stage experiences with stock companies as well as a year with the noted Detroit producer-director Jesse Bonstelle’s repertory group. He made his Broadway debut in 1927 at which time he changed his last name to Douglas, a family name from his mother’s side. Much material exists on Douglas’s personal and professional life; see, for example, Hollywood trade papers from the 1930s through the 1970s, “Melvyn Douglas Biography,” MDPP, Douglas and Arthur, See You at the Movies, and Melvyn Douglas obituaries.

Dozens of articles about Tonight or Never appeared in New York papers —reviews on and interviews with Gahagan, articles on Belasco (who died during the run), and accounts of the wedding. Several critics considered Gahagan one of the top four or five actresses on Broadway in the 1930 season. See for example, New York City Variety, January 28, 1931.

9. On Hollywood in the 1930s, see, for example, Leo C. Rosten, Hollywood: The Movie Colony, The Movie Makers (New York: Harcourt Brace and Company, 1941) and Arthur Knight, The Liveliest Art: A Panoramic History of the Movies (New York: Macmillan, 1957). Gahagan’s numerous performances from 1931 to 1937 included a disastrous vaudeville performance in 1932, the two Broadway plays were written by California playwright and family friend, Dan Tovery—Moor Born and Mother Lode in 1934, and the lead in Franz Lehár’s The Merry Widow with the Los Angeles Civic Light Opera, in 1936. De Sola’s interviews offer good material for this period.

10. Gahagan sang in most of the same cities as on her earlier trip but she added Paris, Prague, and Budapest. She was reviewed after each concert by the local papers. Gahagan described the Nazi contact on many occasions in later life; see ROHO Interview with Douglas, pp. 49–50; A Full Life, pp. 130–33; in an interview with Lee Israel reported in “Helen Gahagan Douglas,” Ms., II, No. 4 (Oct. 1973), pp. 112–13; and in author’s interviews with De Sola.


Although John Steinbeck’s Grapes of Wrath, published in 1938, stirred the widest public concern for the migrant plight, other books including Carey McWilliams, Factories in the Field (Boston: Little, Brown, and Co., 1939) helped call attention to this problem.
14. See, for example, “Summary Report of ‘Christmas for One-Third of The Nation’ Project,” n.d. [December 1938], Helen Gahagan Douglas Collection, Carl Albert Center, Western History Collections, University of Oklahoma Library, Box 222, Folder 6 (hereafter cited as HGD Papers); “Program of the John Steinbeck Committee of Northern California,” n.d. [1939], HGD Papers, Box 165, Folder 17; Map, “Streams of Interstate Farm Labor Migration” [with notations by Gahagan], [n.d.] [1939], HGD Papers, Box 167, Folder 1; Buell Maben, Acting Director, State Relief Administration, to HGD, March 25, 1939, HGD Papers, Box 165, Folder 17; “Helen Gahagan Plays a Leading Role in Progressive Hollywood,” Daily Worker, June 6, 1939, clipping in Players Collection, Performing Arts Research Center, the New York Public Library at Lincoln Center. During this period, the Douglases also expanded their friendships outside of Hollywood. They became particularly close, for example, to Remsen Bird, president of Occidental College, and his wife Helen.

15. In the HGD Papers are dozens of letters from groups such as the organizers of the National Catholic Welfare Conference and various chapters of the League of Women Voters, asking her to speak on migration. For quote see Arthur Goldschmidt, Douglas Memorial Service, December 2, 1980, New York City, Ingrid Winther Scobie collection of unpublished materials on Douglas.

16. Letter, Aubrey Williams to FDR, July 18, 1939, Franklin D. Roosevelt Presidential Papers, Official File, 444d, Franklin Delano Roosevelt Library hereafter cited as FDR Library. Correspondence between Helen Douglas and Eleanor Roosevelt (ER) are in the Anna Eleanor Roosevelt Papers, FDR Library, and the HGD Papers.


17. Douglas resigned because the committee took action on certain issues with which she did not agree. (HGD to Executive Board, Committee to Aid Agricultural Workers, March 29, 1941, HGD Papers, Box 165, Folder 17).

18. HGD to Jerry Voorhis, March 12, 1940, HGD Papers, Box 212, Folder 9.

19. Helen Gahagan, “FSA Aids Migratory Worker,” The Democratic Digest, XVII, No. 2 (February 1940), p. 37. Documentation of Gahagan’s involvement with the Women’s Division is located in various manuscript collections including the Mary M. Dewson Papers, Anna Eleanor Roosevelt Papers (hereafter cited as ER Papers), Lorena Hickok Papers, National Committee of the Democratic Party Papers (hereafter cited as DNC Papers) and the Women’s Division, National Committee of the Democratic Party (hereafter cited as Women’s Division Papers), all at the FDR Library; the Harold Ickes Collection, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, as well as the HGD Papers.

20. HGD to ER, March 31, 1941, HGD Papers, Box 216, Folder 1; ER to HGD, April 12, 1940, and HGD to ER, April 19, 1940, ER Papers, Personal Correspondence, Box 1547; HGD to Paul Taylor, April 8, 1940, HGD Papers, Box 165, Folder 8. ER wrote about the trip in several of her “My Day” columns at the beginning of April, 1940.

21. Scobie, “Helen Gahagan Douglas and the Roosevelt Connection,” pp. 169–72. The fight between Jones and Gahagan continued all through Gahagan’s political career. Materials on this relationship are located primarily in the HGD Papers and the Women’s Division Papers. The New York Times and Women’s Division press releases offer the most thorough coverage of women in the convention, including Gahagan.

Many letters critical of Gahagan were sent between Democratic women in the months following the convention. Some were even directed to ER and FDR. See for example, Mrs. Willouby Rodman to ER, August 30, 1940, Women’s Division Papers, Box 181, FDR Library.

22. Helen worked through the Women’s Division, and both Helen and Melvyn helped organize the very active Hollywood for Roosevelt Committee. On the work of the committee see, for example, Ralph Block to Margaret Lehand, FDR Papers as President, President’s Personal File, Box 7024, FDR Library. On both Douglases’ contributions see Harold L. Ickes to Helen and Melvyn Douglass, November 7, 1940, HGD Papers, Box 212, Folder 23.


24. For quote see Gladys Tillett to HGD, September 24, 1941, HGD Papers, Box 165, Folder 12. Extensive materials exist on this conference, particularly in the Women’s Division Papers and the HGD Papers.

25. Documentation appears in numerous places in the Women’s Division Papers. See also A Full Life, pp. 160–61.


27. DNC Secretary to HGD, November 19, 1942, DNC Papers, Box 1144.

28. Author’s interviews with Dorothy McAllister, March 3, 1981, and Lisa Bronson, March 21, 1980, offer useful insights into Douglas’s Women’s Division work and complement the Women’s Division Papers, FDR Library.

29. See for example Thomas F. Ford to HGD, October 20, 1943, HGD Papers, Box 163, Folder 1.

(hereafter cited as Lybeck Papers).

31. The most useful materials on the ethnic and socioeconomic composition of her district appear throughout the Ed Lybeck Papers, Special Collections, Collection 901, University of California, Los Angeles (hereafter cited as Lybeck Papers), in United States census data (both 1940 and a special 1945 mid-decade census), and author’s interview with Susie Clifton, April 12, 1984.

32. Fliers in HGDPP.

33. Thomas F. Ford to Samson Lindauer, March 9, 1944, HGD Papers, Box 163, Folder 3, and HGD to Eleanor Roosevelt, March 16, 1944, ER Papers, Box 1756.

34. HGD to Molly Dewson, March 31, 1944, Mary M. Dewson Papers, Box 20, FDR Library. Lillian Ford to HGD, May 30, 1944, HGD Papers, Box 163, Folder 1.

35. The HGD Papers, the Lybeck Papers, the California Eagle, May, June, and September through November, 1944, and author’s interview with Clifton provide the bulk of information about the campaign. Both ER and FDR followed the campaign closely. FDR wrote an endorsement letter for her campaign materials. The Roosevelts were delighted when Douglas won. See for example, FDR to HGD, November 27, 1944, Papers as President, President’s Secretary Files, Box 151, FDR Library.

36. Douglas continually expressed her concerns about the 79th Congress in speeches on the floor of Congress and to numerous groups as well as in letters (see the Congressional Record, HGD Papers and Lybeck Papers.)

37. Bills Douglas sponsored in the first session of the 79th Congress include one for a permanent Fair Employment Practices Commission and an anti-poll-tax bill. She also spoke out on numerous other issues and included speeches of other liberals and newspapers editorials in the Congressional Record Appendix. See Congressional Record, 79th Congress.

Although Douglas did not stress her relationships to other women in Congress or women’s issues, she did work against ERA, as did most Democrats and labor, and fought for an equal pay bill. Her closest woman friend in Congress was Representative Mary Norton from New Jersey. Two periodicals are very helpful in tracing women in political life during this period — Independent Woman which covered women of both parties and the Democratic Digest which limited itself to Democratic women. For good treatment of women in the New Deal, see Susan Ware, Beyond Suffrage: Women in the New Deal (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1981). On women in general in the 1930s see Ware, Holding Their Own: American Women in the 1930s (Boston: Twayne Publishers, 1982) and on women in the 1940s, Susan M. Hartmann, The Home Front and Beyond: American Women in the 1940s (Boston: Twayne Publishers, 1982).


Douglas’s backing of Truman’s policies is reflected throughout the Congressional Record, in letters, and in speeches (see HGD Papers). See for example her nationally broadcast speech over CBS entitled “Let Your Leaders in Washington Know,” December 4, 1945, which was reprinted in the Congressional Record Appendix the following day (U.S., House, 79th Cong., 1st sess., v. 91, pt. 13, pp. A5316–17).


40. See Congressional Quarterly, Congressional Record and HGD Papers. The Chicago Defender among many other black papers early praised Douglas for her civil rights work (Chicago Defender, January 13, 1945.)


Material on the post office appears throughout documents from the first two terms in both the HGD Papers...
and the Lybeck Papers, UCLA. On Douglas and Bethune see, for example, Mary McLeod Bethune to HGD, March 14, 1945, HGD Papers, Box 23, Folder 4. Books on black soldiers do not acknowledge her contribution; see for example, Richard M. Dalfiume, Desegregation of the U.S. Armed Forces: Fighting on Two Fronts, 1939–53 (Columbia: University of Missouri Press, 1969).

42. See Lybeck Papers and two of the district’s black papers, California Eagle and Los Angeles Sentinel, Los Angeles Daily News, and Florence (Susie) Clifton papers, Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley.

43. HGD to the Lybecks, November 6, 1946, Lybeck Papers, Box 1, Folder 4.

44. See, for example, one of Douglas’s many statements against Taft-Hartley in U.S., House, 80th Cong., 1st Sess., Congressional Record, April 17, 1947, v. 93, pt. 3, pp. 3650–51.


46. On housing reform during the Truman period see Richard O. Davies, Housing Reform During the Truman Administration (Columbia: University of Missouri Press, 1966). Douglas’s speeches on housing, inflation, and veterans appear frequently in the Congressional Record for the 80th Congress.

On hiring a black secretary see Lybeck Papers and Juanita Terry Barbee, “Helen Gaugahan Douglas’s Office Staff—Work and Relaxation,” an oral history conducted 1976 by Fern Ingersoll, in HGD ROHO Project, vol. II.

47. Lybeck to HGD, March 15, 1948, Lybeck Papers, Box 1, Folder 9.

48. See for example, Ed Lybeck, Post-Campaign Report, 1948, Box 4, Folder 2 and other materials in the Lybeck Papers. The United Auto Worker Archives, Wayne State University include information in various collections about Douglas’s campaign. Clifton Interview. The best papers for this campaign are the Los Angeles Daily News and the California Eagle, HGD Papers.

49. HGD Papers, particularly Boxes 211–14, and HGDPP.

50. Evie Chavoor was undoubtedly the most enthusiastic Douglas supporter along with Ed and Ruth Lybeck. Chavoor’s interview with ROHO is very helpful for insights into the entire period for which she worked for the Douglases. See Evelyn Chavoor, “Twenty-Four-Hour-A-Day Support Person,” an oral history conducted 1980 by Fern Ingersoll in HGD ROHO Project, vol. II. Hereafter referred to as ROHO interview with Chavoor.


53. HGD Papers, esp. Boxes 176–204. The most important pro-Douglas paper was the California Eagle. Once Boddy entered the race, his paper, the Los Angeles Daily News, turned against her.


56. One measure of the loss of support was the reduced activity in Douglas campaign headquarters at the county level around the state. See in particular boxes 179 to 190 in HGD Papers. See also, for example, author’s interview with John Vieg, March 22, 1980.

57. See, for example, ROHO interviews with Chavoor and Meyers.

Kamerling, Barbieri Portraits, pp. 262–277.

The author would like to thank the following people for their assistance in providing information helpful to this project: Ruth M. Pico and William A. Dougherty III, both descendants of Barbieri sitters; Mary H. Haggland, James Abajian, Jeanne Van Nostrand, Dr. Albert Shumate, Regina Soria, Edan Hughes, and Dee Clarke Welles, historians of California art and history; and the museum staff and archivists Lawrence Dinneen and Marie Byrne of the Bancroft Library; Michael Redmon and Mrs. Henry Griffiths of the Santa Barbara Historical Society; Grace E. Baker of the Society of California Pioneers; Fr. Francis F. Guest, O.F.M., of the Santa Barbara Mission Archives; Judy Sheldon and Johanna Stokes of the California Historical Society; Georgia J. Douglas of the Hayward Area Historical Society; Margie Gamboa, Celine Cebedo and Cheryl L. Kaiser of the de Saisset Museum; Christine D. Doran of the Oakland Museum; Andrew M. Canepa of the American Italian Historical Association; John Gonzales of the California State Library; and Alexia Luberski of the California Department of Parks and Recreation. In Bolivia, thanks are due to Alberto Crespo of the Central Library at the Universidad Mayor de San Andres; and in Peru, Dra. Maria Rostworowski of the Instituto de Estudios Peruanos, and Dr. Thomas M. Davies of the Department of Latin American Studies at San Diego State University who arranged to hire a student researcher, Carla Casalino, to locate data on Barbieri’s
years in Lima. A very special thank you goes to Amelie Elkinton of the Monterey History and Art Association who provided answers to seemingly endless questions on Barbieri's sitter in the Monterey area. The Barbieri letters and other documents were translated for the author by Paulette Hennum (Italian), Isabel Fransen (French and Spanish), Dr. Iris Engstrand (Spanish), and Corey Braun (Spanish).

1. The main sources of published information on Barbieri are:

2. These letters were purchased by the California Historical Society in 1939 from a dealer in Paris along with a photograph of Barbieri and his portrait of Count Raousset-Boulbon.

3. A handwritten biographical note about Barbieri by the Count de Monclar (hereafter referred to as “Monclar”) accompanied the Barbieri letters (hereafter referred to as “Barbieri” followed by the item number). In this note, Monclar states that Barbieri was about sixty years old in 1869. Monclar also refers to the painter as “Luigi” instead of Leonardo,” but from the contents of the letters there can be no doubt that he is referring to the same person.

4. Benezit’s *Dictionnaire des Peintres, Sculpteurs, Dissimulateurs et Graveurs* lists thirty-one artists named Barbieri. Monclar states that Barbieri’s brother had also been a painter.

5. Barbieri’s letters were written in all three languages.

6. Monclar speaks of a chagrin.


8. Correspondence with Alberto Crespo, Director of the Biblioteca Central, Universidad Mayor de San Andres in La Paz, was unable to confirm that Barbieri taught there. Monclar reports that Barbieri was a miner in California for a time.


10. Mary Haggland, “Don José Antonio Aguirre: Spanish Merchant and Ranchero,” *Journal of San Diego History*, XXIX: 1 (Winter 1983), 62, states that the Casa de Aguirre was not completed until early in 1851. The portrait of Rosario has previously been recorded as unsigned. Upon careful inspection, however, the author was able to locate the signature and date on the left side under the arm of the chair.

11. The painting could not be located for inspection at the time of the author’s visit to the Bancroft Library.

12. Sweeney’s letters (two volumes, bound manuscript copy, San Diego Historical Society, Research Archives) from California request a “clear likeness” of his wife and daughter (Vol. II, p. 87). He was lonely for his family and perhaps had the portrait painted to send them for the same reason. Sweeney’s parents visited him in San Diego in the Summer of 1850, and could have returned with the painting (letters July 25 and August 26, 1850).

13. Don Joaquín Carrillo, Don Carlos Carrillo and wife, and Ramona Lorenzana.


15. Spangenberg p. 15; also Beulah Linnell “Guillermo Castro,” Hayward Area Historical Society Newsletter (May, 1968), p. 6, states that a painting by Barbieri was supposed to be at Lachryma Montis, the home of General Vallejo in Sonoma, but the author was unable to document this.

16. Letter from Henry Halleck to Pablo de la Guerra, January 29, 1852, de la Guerra papers, Santa Barbara Mission Archives, March 17, 1852.

17. These photographs are in the files of the Monterey History and Art Association.


19. Although popular in Dutch art, such surprise effects are unusual in Italian painting, a notable exception being Sebastiano del Piombo’s portrait of Cardinal Bandinello Sauli in the National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., which has a fly painted on the cardinal’s knee.

21. The author was not able to locate these paintings. Susanna Bryant Dakin dates them to 1853 in her *The Lives of William Hartnell* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1949).

22. Spangenberg, Elkinton.


24. Barbieri item #3 states that they sketched together.

25. Barbieri item #3.

26. Barbieri item #3.

27. Metcalf.


29. This drawing is among the Barbieri papers at the California Historical Society.


31. El Comercio, 10 March 1860, p. 1, col. 3.

32. Gesvaldo; also El Comercio 10 July 1860, p. 2, col. 5; 18 July 1860, p. 2, col. 2; 15 August 1860, p. 2, cols. 1-10. Among the other paintings Barbieri exhibited were portraits of Señor Estevos and Señorita Melgar.

33. Carlota Casalina, a student in Lima, prepared a report on Barbieri’s activities in that city for the author. This report, dated April, 1866, consists
primarily of transcriptions of newspaper articles mentioning the artist.

Gesvaldo records that Evartisto San Cristóbal, Jaramillo and Troncoso were also students of Barbieri.

34. Gesvaldo, entry for “Leonardo Barbieri.”

35. Monclar was a cousin of Raoussel-Bouffon, which is probably the reason that Barbieri gave him the portrait.

36. Casalina, introductory remarks relating information from the Municipal Archives in Lima, Peru.

37. Barbieri item #8.

38. Barbieri item #6.

39. Another talented Italian, Giovanni Martinelli, worked in the San Francisco Bay Area about the same time as Barbieri. His family portrait of Peter and Josepha Castro Davidson and their son (dated 1853), and his portrait of Martina Arellanes Martinez are in the collection of the M.H. de Young Museum in San Francisco. William S. Jewett (1812 - 1873), early California’s best-known portrait painter arrived about the same time as Barbieri, as did Charles Christian Nahl (1818 - 1878) and Stephen W. Shaw (1817 - 1900). For other artists active in California at this time see Van Nostrand.


1. George Hearst was a neighborhood friend from Phoebe’s childhood in Missouri. She was only nineteen and her husband was forty-one when they married and left for California. See Richard H. Peterson, “Philanthropic Phoebe: The Educational Charity of Phoebe Apperson Hearst,” California History, LXIV (Fall 1985), 284, and references to Hearst’s mining career in Peterson, The Bonanza Kings: The Social Origins and Business Behavior of Western Mining Entrepreneurs, 1870-1900 (Lincoln and London: University of Nebraska Press, 1977), passim.

2. See W. A. Swanberg, Citizen Hearst: A Biography of William Randolph Hearst (New York: Charles Scribner’s Sons, 1961), paper ed., pp. 6–7. The Orrin M. Peck collection of letters, documents, manuscripts, and photographs at the Huntington Library and Art Gallery, San Marino, California, contains approximately 3,000 pieces. About 100 deal directly with Mrs. Phoebe Hearst’s letters, etc. and form the basis of this article (hereafter cited as OMP).


4. Swanberg, pp. 75, 80-81, 351, 396, 399. “Hearst’s most grandiose creation was of course the castle at San Simeon, an architectural orgy showcasing his $50 million art collection. The San Simeon ‘ranch’ which also possessed the largest private animal collection in the U.S., was the scene of baronial house parties during the interwar period.” See Carol Dunlap, California People (Salt Lake City, Utah: Peregrine Smith Books, 1982), p. 90. Of the various illustrated histories of the Hearst Castle at San Simeon, with a useful text, the best is probably Thomas R. Aidala (text) and Curtis Bruce (photographs), Hearst Castle, San Simeon (New York: Harrison House, 1984).

5. See numerous letters and postcards from Phoebe to Orrin in which the former identifies herself as his “Other Mother” or on occasion as “Yours affectionately, P.A. Hearst who is your other mother.”


8. Phoebe has been overlooked by biographers with the exception of longtime Hearst associate Winifred Black Bonfils, who wrote for the San Francisco Examiner. Her work is hurried, replete with errors, and written in gushy prose, and in no way serves as a scholarly biography of one whom authoritative historian W.A. Swanberg calls “one of the nation’s most remarkable women.” See Swanberg, 321, and Winifred B. Bonfils, The Life and Personality of Phoebe Apperson Hearst (San Francisco: John Henry Nash, 1928). In addition to this flawed published account, portions of an unpublished biography of Mrs. Hearst with related notes written by Adele S. Brooks are available in Phoebe Apperson Hearst, Correspondence and Papers, Carton 5, the Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley (hereafter cited as PAH).

9. Swanberg, pp. 16–19. According to Cora Olden, the wife of Fremont Olden, who edited one of William Hearst’s San Francisco newspapers for over a decade, “she poured the best of herself and the best of all that there was in Europe into her son’s ten-year-old mind.” See Mrs. Fremont (Cora) Olden, William Randolph Hearst, American (New York: D. Appleton-Century Co., 1936), p. 29.

10. Letter, Phoebe Hearst to Jeannie (Orrin’s Mother), April 15, 1885, OMP.

11. Letter, Phoebe to Orrin, November 5, 1903, ibid.


13. Postcard, Phoebe to Orrin, undated, OMP.

15. Letter, Phoebe ("Your Other Mother") to Orrin, February 9, 1905, ibid.
17. Letter, Phoebe to Janet, undated, OMP.
18. Letter, Phoebe to Orrin, November 5, 1903, OMP.
19. Her hacienda estate was known officially as the Hacienda del Pozo de Verona after a well she had brought from Verona, Italy, and placed in the patio. See photographs of the hacienda taken by William Randolph Hearst, August 26, 1904, OMP.
20. Postcard, Phoebe to Orrin, undated, OMP.
22. Letter, Phoebe to Orrin, May 30, 1899, OMP.
23. Letter, Mrs. George B. Thacher to the author, undated, but in the Spring of 1896.
24. Letter, Phoebe to Janet, undated with the exception of a reference to Saturday evening, OMP.
25. Letter, Phoebe to Orrin, May 30, 1899, OMP.
27. For various reactions from the northern California press, although mostly favorable, see the San Francisco Call, June 11, 1904; Oakland Tribune, June 4, 8, 9, 1904; and the Chico Enterprise, June 7, 1904. female students who needed jobs to help defray the cost of their education found employment as seamstresses in the Hearst Domestic Industries, established at the University in 1900. For information on this, see the San Francisco Examiner, April 14, 1919; and the Oakland Tribune, April 27, 1969. Also see letters written by Hearst Domestic Industries students, 1 folder, Carlton 1; and letters, Amanda Hicks (director) to Phoebe Hears, 1900-1905, Hearst Domestic Industries file, Incoming Correspondence, PAH. According to the New York Times, May 28, 1904, Mrs. Hearst withdrew her financial support for unspecified reasons from this organization and several University of California student clubs in 1904.
28. Letter, Phoebe to Janet, August 7, 1905, OMP.
29. Wyntoon in McCloud, Siskiyou County, California, was a five-story, medieval manor house designed by the talented Berkeley architect, Bernard Maybeck. Built in 1902, the castle-like home suited the surrounding rugged northern California fishing country. For a brief biographical sketch of Maybeck's career, see James D. Hart, A Companion to California (New York: Oxford University Press, 1978), p. 265. Also, a recent concise review of his career is available in Georgia Sommers Wright, "Architect's Mind in Flux: Maybeck's Beaux-Arts Triumphs, Trials, and Evolution at Mills College," The Californians, II (July/August, 1984), 31-38.
30. Letter, Phoebe to Jeneuve, June 15, 1899, OMP.
31. For evidence that Mrs. Hearst helped various students to pursue their education, see letters to her from John Bakewell, Edward H. Bennett, Putnam Griswold, Elmer B. Harris, Newell L. Perry, and Jeanette Shafer, Incoming Correspondence, PAH. These letters were written between 1898 and 1915 by students of architecture, music, and drama who were often studying, like Orrin Peck, in Europe.
32. Swanberg, p. 63.
33. Letter, Phoebe to Janet, undated, OMP.
34. Ibid.
35. Letter, Phoebe to Orrin, February 13, 1907, OMP.
36. Letter, Phoebe to Orrin ("my dear other Son"), undated, OMP.
37. Letter, Phoebe to Janet, December 7, 1905, OMP.
38. San Francisco Examiner, April 14, 1919. "Rich Americans spent more money on art during the thirty years from 1880 to 1910 than had ever been spent by a similar group in the world's history." See Thomas C. Cochran and William Miller, The Age of Enterprise: DECEMBER 1987
39. Postcard, Phoebe to Orrin, February 5, 1906, OMP.
40. Ibid.
41. Letter, "P.A. Hearst, who is your other Mother," to Orrin, January 1, 1901, OMP.
42. Letter, Phoebe to Orrin, December 22, 1886, OMP.
43. Letter, Phoebe to Mrs. Peck, undated, OMP.
44. Swanberg, pp. 37–38.
45. For references to Phoebe’s occasional ill health, see letter, Phoebe to Orrin, December 22, 1886, OMP.
46. For reference to Baroness Franchetti, see telegram, Phoebe to Miss Janet Peck, date unclear, OMP. Members of the Spreckels family are mentioned in a letter, Phoebe to Janet, August 4, 1904, OMP. This prominent California family, founded by Claus Spreckels (1828–1908), made its fortune in the California sugar beet industry and in sugar refineries in addition to other investments. See Hart, A Companion to California, pp. 420–421, for a brief summary of the family history.
48. The number of entries referring to women, though not extensive, in elementary, secondary, and college-level texts is documented in Lohrop, "Rediscovering California's Forgotten Women," pp. 4–5.

Due to an oversight in the editorial and production process for the September, 1987, issue of California History, Thomas R. Clark’s article entitled “Labor and Progressivism ‘South of the Slot’: The Voting Behavior of the San Francisco Working Class, 1912–1916” was published with typographical errors which should be corrected as follows:

p. 197, col. 2: the last sentence of the first paragraph should read, “More recently the role of labor has received more attention in histories which treat progressivism not as a unified phenomenon but as a heterogeneous collection of groups often seeking quite different sets of objectives.”

p. 197, col. 2: the third sentence of the third paragraph should read, “San Francisco’s neighborhoods were relatively homogeneous, with predominantly working class districts in the South of Market, middle class districts in the Sunset and the Richmond, and the upper class districts of Pacific Heights and Nob Hill.”

p. 198, col. 3: the first sentence of the last paragraph should read, “Shover’s and Rogen’s conclusions were based almost entirely on the vote for Johnson, yet Hiram Johnson’s ability to secure the votes of working-class voters does not mean that all Progressives, much less ‘progressivism,’ elicited the same response.”

p. 203, cols. 1–2: the sentence joining the columns should read, “Party affiliation did not affect the way candidates for state offices in California were treated in the labor press.” The following sentence, which refers to “the following table” should have been deleted.

p. 204, col. 3–p. 205, col 1: the sentence joining the columns should read, “An unsigned editorial referred to Hughes as the ‘candidate of the plutocracy’ and reckoned that Hughes appeared to have less sympathy (for) . . . American workers than the Czar of Russia has shown for Polish Jews.”

p. 206, col. 2: the first sentence should read, “Working-class voters supported Hiram Johnson and accounted for the greater part of Johnson’s political success after 1914; however, support for Johnson cannot be interpreted as support for all Progressives, much less progressivism.”

p. 207, col. 2: the first full sentence should read, “Quite possibly a close examination of Los Angeles and San Francisco voting results—which were included in Shover’s and Rogen’s data—would yield a different perspective from that presented here and reveal significant differences in the development of Los Angeles and San Francisco.”
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The human skill and the technological power that are at the core of the aerospace industry are documented in photographs such as this of Paul Lancot operating a multiple head drilling machine. Many aerospace workers are members of the International Association of Machinists. This 1964 photograph is by Ed Sievers. Photo courtesy of Southern Labor Archives, Georgia State University.